

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# **Brain Stimulation**

journal homepage: www.brainstimjrnl.com



CrossMark

BRAIN

# Slow-oscillatory Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation Modulates Memory in Temporal Lobe Epilepsy by Altering Sleep Spindle Generators: A Possible Rehabilitation Tool

Alessandra Del Felice<sup>a,\*</sup>, Alessandra Magalini<sup>b</sup>, Stefano Masiero<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Neuroscience, DNS, Section of Rehabilitation, University of Padua, Via Giustiniani 3, 35128 Padua, Italy <sup>b</sup> USO Centro Alzheimer e Disturbi Cognitivi, Neurologia d.O., AOUI Verona, Italy

# ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 29 September 2014 Received in revised form 7 January 2015 Accepted 12 January 2015 Available online 8 April 2015

Keywords: Non-invasive transcranial stimulation Memory remediation Epilepsy rehabilitation Electrical source imaging Sleep spindles

# ABSTRACT

*Background:* Temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE) is often associated with memory deficits. Given the putative role for sleep spindles memory consolidation, spindle generators skewed toward the affected lobe in TLE subjects may be a neurophysiological marker of defective memory. Slow-oscillatory transcranial direct current stimulation (sotDCS) during slow waves sleep (SWS) has previously been shown to enhance sleep-dependent memory consolidation by increasing slow-wave sleep and modulating sleep spindles. *Objective/hypothesis:* To test if anodal sotDCS over the affected TL prior to a nap affects sleep spindles and whether this improves memory consolidation.

*Methods:* Randomized controlled cross-over study. 12 people with TLE underwent sotDCS (0.75 Hz; 0  $-250 \mu$ V, 30 min) or sham before daytime nap. Declarative verbal and visuospatial learning were tested. Fast and slow spindle signals were recorded by 256-channel EEG during sleep. In both study arms, electrical source imaging (ESI) localized cortical generators. Neuropsychological data were analyzed with general linear model statistics or the Kruskal–Wallis test (*P* or *Z* < 0.05), and neurophysiological data tested with the Mann–Whitney *t* test and binomial distribution test (*P* or *Z* < 0.05).

*Results:* An improvement in declarative (P = 0.05) and visuospatial memory performance (P = 0.048) emerged after sotDCS. SotDCS increased slow spindle generators current density (Z = 0.001), with a shift to the anterior cortical areas.

*Conclusions:* Anodal sotDCS over the affected temporal lobe improves declarative and visuospatial memory performance by modulating slow sleep spindles cortical source generators. SotDCS appears a promising tool for memory rehabilitation in people with TLE.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

# Introduction

Temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE) is the most common form of localization-related epilepsy in adults, accounting for 60% of cases [1]. It is associated with cognitive and behavioral comorbidities, and cognitive impairment is often problematic. Mesial TLE is frequently

associated with memory complaints. The epileptogenic focus often originates from the hippocampus and anterior subregions (including the anterior parahippocampal cortex) [2–4], which are areas believed to be involved in both the encoding and consolidation of memory. Memory consolidation is thought to occur through ripples, which are fast frequency discharges (110–300 Hz) interpreted as electric discharges that promote synaptic rearrangement and replay of neural sequences learned during consolidation [5] on the basis of long-term potentiation (LTP) [6,7]. Scalp sleep spindles are believed to represent the cortical equivalent of hippocampal ripples [8,9] and to be a marker of memory consolidation [10–13]. By stimulating early gene expression and glutamate receptors, sleep spindle activity creates optimal conditions for LTP in the neocortex [14]. Spindles are generated by interactions between multiple, synchronous but independent cortical areas [15–17] which have been suggested to

Abbreviations: AEDs, anti-epileptic drugs; EEG, electroencephalography; EZ, epileptogenic zone; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NREM sleep, non-rapid eye movement sleep; REM, rapid eye movement sleep; MOCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; STAY 1-2, State Trait Anxiety 1 and 2; sotDCS, slow-oscillatory transcranial direct current stimulation; tDCS, transcranial direct current stimulation; TLE, temporal lobe epilepsy.

The study was conducted at the High-density EEG Lab, University of Verona.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 049 8212598; fax: +39 049 8218477. *E-mail address:* alessandra.delfelice@unipd.it (A. Del Felice).



Figure 1. Schematic representation of the study protocol and timing of interventions.

underlie the re-processing of specific memory traces in devoted brain regions [18]. In people with TLE, slow sleep spindles show a preferential generator of higher current intensity over the affected temporal lobe [19]. The exact neurophysiological and neuropsychological meaning remains speculative, but the hypothesis that this deviation from healthy volunteers' patterns constitutes a substrate for memory impairment in TLE is intriguing, since it points to either a dysfunction or a compensatory mechanism.

Transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) has recently gained momentum due to its easy applicability and promising early results. TDCS works by applying weak electrical currents to the scalp that induce acute modifications of neuronal membrane potentials [20,21], producing long-lasting changes in the bioelectric activity of underlying brain tissue [22] by either depolarization (anodal tDCS) or hyperpolarization (cathodal tDCS) of the resting membrane potential, usually without eliciting action potentials. Cognitive studies have shown that tDCS can enhance human waking performance, including memory, language, computational, and executive function [23–26]. Results from tDCS during sleep, with a specific time-varying stimulation, suggest that consolidation of declarative memories may occur in young healthy volunteers [23,27] but not in an elderly population [28]. These studies used a slow-oscillating tDCS (sotDCS) paradigm as the induction of oscillations by transcranial stimulation is believed to interact with ongoing oscillatory cortical activities [29]. Animal and human studies have shown that sotDCS boosts slow oscillations during sleep and enhances memory [30,31]. Slow oscillatory activity exerts a grouping influence on faster EEG frequencies during the depolarizing up phase of these oscillations [32] and consequently entrains sleep spindles [33].

Given the strict interplay between sleep spindles and memory consolidation, with an increase in spindle density/amplitude related to better mnemonic performance [10–13,34], increasing spindles by sotDCS appears to be a promising tool. To date, most data in this area are derived from healthy volunteers, with few studies investigating these parameters in populations with neuro-psychological disorders [35,36].

We hypothesized that fronto-temporal anodal sotDCS applied to the side of the discharging temporal lobe could modulate sleep spindle generators and improve mnemonic performances in people with neuropsychological disorders. We set out to test if excitatory depolarizing slow-oscillating anodal stimulation over the affected temporal lobe could affect spindles and thus improve declarative and visuospatial memory performance.

# Materials and methods

## Subjects

Twelve people with pharmaco-resistant mesial TLE [37] and mesial sclerosis were enrolled in the study. As part of their pre-surgical evaluation, all had undergone standard and video-EEG, high-density (256-channel) EEG, 3T MRI with perfusion sequences and, whenever indicated, nuclear imaging studies. Excluded from the study were people with active psychiatric or neurological co-morbidity, drug treatment other than anti-epileptic drugs (AEDs), and major cognitive impairment. The assessment battery included the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) [38], the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) [39], and the State Trait Anxiety (STAY 1 and 2) [40]. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Verona University Hospital. All subjects provided written informed consent to participate.

# Methods

A randomized controlled cross-over design was used. Subjects were randomized by a computer-generated sequence to one of the two arms. The inter-session interval was at least 1 week, stable medication throughout. Group 1 received stimulation during wake before sleep during the first session and sham stimulation during the second session, and vice-versa (Fig. 1). On the recording days, all subjects were partially sleep deprived (awakened at 3 A.M.) to facilitate recording of N2 sleep and were asked to refrain from stimulants until recording was completed. All subjects arrived the study center at 11.30, where they were administered the MOCA test by the examiner during the first session of both study arms; BDI and STAY Y1 and Y2 were self-administered in between learning and recall memory testing in both sessions. After neuropsychological testing, the subjects underwent 30 min of either real or sham stimulation and were then allowed to sleep during 256-channel EEG recording. Lights off was set at 13.30 to control for possible circadian modulations. The subjects were allowed at least 60 min of sleep or 1 h and 45 min of time in bed (TIB), whichever came first. Thirty minutes after awakening, verbal and visuospatial memory recall were retested to avoid sleep inertia interferences.

# Neuropsychological evaluation

Declarative verbal and visuospatial memory were tested. Parallel task versions were used in the two experimental sessions. Declarative verbal memory was assessed with the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT). The Italian adapted version of the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test [41] consists of a 15 noun-word list the subject has to recall immediately after each of 5 consecutive repetitions, and after a 15-min interval (delayed recall) during which the subject has to be engaged in non-mnemonic tasks (i.e., non-verbal testing that does not interfere with recall). An additional recognition trial is performed after the recall with 45 words including the 15 words initially presented from the list plus 30 distractor items. During each session, a different noun list and distractors list was administered. In our study protocol, a free and clued (45 nouns' list) recall was requested 30 min after awakening to evaluate memory consolidation. Assessment included final acquisition (recalled words in trial 5 before nap, free recall [number of recalled words 15 min after presentation and after nap), and retention (number of words in trial 5 before nap subtracted from the number of recalled words after the nap). For the 45-words recognition trial, assessment was based on the total number of recognized words, missed recognitions, and false recognitions (15 min after initial presentation and after nap).

Visuospatial memory was tested with the Rey–Osterrieth Complex Figure Test [42,43] and Rey–Osterrieth Complex Figure B [44]. The procedure contemplates a copy of the given figure (Figure A or B); after 10 min, in which the subject has not to be engaged in other visuospatial mnemonic tasks, the subject has to recall the drawing. In our protocol, figure recall was requested after 30 min from awakening to evaluate consolidation. Assessment included the presence, completeness and localization of elements for the Rey–Osterrieth Complex Figure Test; the number of elements, the proportion between the four principal elements, the overlaps and the precision for Rey–Osterrieth Complex Figure B. In addition, loss of information between the copy task and the memory task (short-term and long-term memory) and the forgetting rate (ratio between short-term and long-term memory performance) were calculated.

The 30 min lag was allowed to avoid any sleep-inertia effect that could have affected the performance. Scores are then corrected according to age and educational level of the participant – i.e., adding or subtracting additional digits obtained from a grid [41], incorporating the aforementioned data. Here, the corrections were calculated on the basis of the standard deviations from the mean value of the test scores obtained from a healthy population during the standardization procedure. This correction explains the presence of fractional scores reported in such testing.

# Stimulation paradigm

Stimulation was delivered through two sponge electrodes (5 × 8 cm) soaked in saline solution and connected to a battery driven generator (Eldith Stimulator, Ilmenau, Germany), with the anode over the fronto-temporal scalp (approximately between F7-T3 or F8-T8) of the affected temporal lobe with the cathode positioned over the ipsilateral mastoid. A sinusoidal fluctuating current (from 0 to 250  $\mu$ A, and a frequency of 0.75 Hz) was applied for six 5-min slots (total 30 min) with an inter-block interval of 1 min [23]. Impedance under the stimulating electrode was kept below 2 K k $\Omega$ . During the sham session, the current was ramped up and down for the first and last 20 s of the stimulation interval to mimic sensations associated with current change.

# EEG recordings

Immediately after tDCS stimulation, 256-channel EEG was recorded (Electrical Geodesics, Inc., Eugene, OR). By virtue of the net's geodesic tension structure, all electrodes were evenly distributed on the scalp at approximately the same location in all subjects. The data were recorded against a vertex electrode reference (Cz) [45] at a sampling rate of 250 Hz and filtered off-line with a bandpass filter (0.1–70 Hz). Electrooculogram (EOG) channels were mounted on the left and right eye cantus; the sampling rate was 250 Hz, bandpass filtered at 0–100 Hz, and the sensitivity set to below 5 mV. The recordings were performed in an electrically shielded, soundproof, darkened laboratory room.

## EEG source imaging

# Spindle selection and averaging

This procedure has been detailed elsewhere [16,19]. In short, spindles were visually scored according to the American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM) guidelines [46] and marked at the exact time point of their beginning. Only those spindles were selected that did not appear to be related to other sleep figures (i.e., vertex waves, minimum distance 2 ms) or contain or be immediately preceded or followed by an epileptic discharge. EEG was segmented according to the marker position and bandpass filtered at 10–12 Hz for slow spindles and at 12–14 Hz for fast spindles. Single electrodes containing artifacts were manually selected and interpolated using a three-dimensional spline interpolation algorithm [47] to keep the number of electrodes the same for all epochs. Segments for fast and slow spindles were averaged for each subject; a grand average over all subjects for each spindle category was calculated.

#### ESI localization

Low-resolution brain electromagnetic tomography (LORETA), a standardized source imaging procedure, was applied to the averaged spindles [48]. LORETA minimizes the squared norm of the Laplacian of the weighted 3D current density vector field. It incorporates the "smoothness assumption" by selecting the inverse solution of the measured data with the smoothest distribution in space [49]. The LORETA inverse solutions were implemented within the GeoSource software package (Electrical Geodesics) using the probabilistic cortical gray matter locations from the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) [50] probabilistic atlas (www.bic.mni.mcgill.ca). Sources were projected only on the cortical gray matter.

For each subject and for each spindle frequency, the cortical sources were calculated taking the time point at the transition from baseline to the initial deflection of the spindle, and identified in terms of Brodmann coordinates and maximum source intensity [45,51].

## Statistical analysis

Neuropsychological performance was tested with a general linear model statistics for repeated measures (test pre\_post stim\_sham test\*pre\_post test\*stim\_sham pre\_post\*stim\_sham test\*pre\_post\*stim\_sham), considering each sub-item of the verbal and visuospatial memory task. A correction for age, years of schooling, MOCA, epilepsy lateralization, and the order of manipulation (stimulation-sham versus sham-stimulation) was run, but given the small sample size and the significance, no more than an LSD was possible, and thus this part was excluded from further discussion. If the sample presented non-parametric data characteristics, a Kruskal-Wallis test for median comparisons was used. Differences between source intensities expressed in nA (nano-Ampére) were tested using a Mann–Whitney t test for independent samples (Z < 0.05), given the non-parametric characteristics of the data (Z < 0.05). Source generator localization between the sham and stimulation procedures was statistically compared using a binomial distribution test (P < 0.05).

#### Polysomnographic data.

	SotDCS	Sham	P value
TST	54.6 ± 5.8	41.9 ± 6.1	0.01
SL	13 ± 5.8	18 ± 6.1	0.01
NREM N1	$9.4\pm3.3$	$9.2\pm2.2$	NS
NREM N2	$31.8 \pm 8.3$	$27.9\pm7.5$	NS
NREM N3	$12.3\pm5.1$	$4.9\pm4.2$	NS
REM	0	0	NS
N° fast spindles	$25\pm12.18$	$21 \pm 10.8$	NS
N° slow spindles	$23\pm5.4$	$19\pm 8.8$	NS

TST: total sleep time; SL: sleep latency; N1: non REM (NREM) sleep stage N1; N2: non REM (NREM) sleep stage N2; N3: non REM (NREM) sleep stage N1; REM: rapid eye movement sleep.

Significant data are indicated in bold.

## Results

All 12 subjects (4 M; mean age  $34.2 \pm 15.4$  years; mean years of schooling  $15.7 \pm 2.5$ ; 2 of which with left TLE) were on sodiumchannel blocking AEDS (carbamazepine, mean dose 700 mg; eliscarbazepine, mean dose 600 mg; lamotrigine, mean dose 100 mg), except for 2 subjects who were on zonisamide as add-on therapy (mean dose 150 mg).

Following the stimulation condition, total sleep time was increased (TST\_stim:  $54.6 \pm 11.2$ ; TST\_sham:  $41.9 \pm 10.7$ ; P = 0.01) and sleep latency reduced (SL\_stim:  $13 \pm 5.8$ ; SL\_sham:  $19.1 \pm 6.1$ ; P = 0.01). After the sham procedure, the mean number of fast spindles was higher than the slow spindles (P = 0.03), but this difference waned in the stimulation session. No other polysomno-graphic parameters showed statistical significance, although a trend towards a higher sleep spindle count during sleep after stimulation was detected. The polysomnographic data are presented in Table 1.

### Spindle cortical generators

The current density of the slow spindle generators was significantly increased after tDCS as compared to the sham procedure (Z = 0.001), whereas no significant difference between the two conditions emerged for fast spindles (Table 3 and Table 1 Supplementary Material, Fig. 2). Multiple and concomitant spindle generators for both slow and fast spindles tended to locate more anteriorly after stimulation, but the distribution did not reach statistical significance (P = 0.06 and P = 0.067). No effect was detectable for fast spindle generators.

#### Table 2

Neuropsychological test results.

	SotDCS	Sham	P value
MOCA	$27 \pm 1$		
BDI	$4.4\pm3.9$	$5.8\pm4.2$	NS
STAY1	$\textbf{37.7} \pm \textbf{9.5}$	$40.4 \pm 11.1$	NS
STAY 2	$37 \pm 15$	$\textbf{37.8} \pm \textbf{15.9}$	NS
Retention	$\textbf{-0.4} \pm \textbf{1.4}$	$-1.5\pm1.1$	0.05
Recollection	$9.8 \pm 2$	$\textbf{8.8} \pm \textbf{2.9}$	NS
Recognition	$13.8\pm0.8$	$13\pm1.9$	NS
Missed recognitions	$1.1\pm0.9$	$2\pm1.9$	NS
False recognitions	$1\pm1$	$1.1\pm0.9$	NS
Rey figure recollection after 10'	$20.7\pm3.3$	$19.6\pm5.8$	NS
Rey figure recollection after nap	$18.2 \pm 3.8$	$19.6\pm5.8$	NS
Loss of information	$15.4 \pm 9.9$	$17.1 \pm 14.3$	NS
Forgetting rate	$\textbf{-7.6} \pm \textbf{9.6}$	$\textbf{6.5} \pm \textbf{3.6}$	0.048

MOCA: Montreal Cognitive Assessment; BDI: Beck Depression Inventory; STAY: State Trait Anxiety Inventory.

Significant data are indicated in bold.

## Table 3

Slow and fast spindles' source intensity for every source as calculated by ESI.

	•			
	Slow spindles	Slow spindles	Fast spindles	Fast spindles
	sham	SOtDCS	sham	SOtDCS
	Intensity (nA)	Intensity (nA)	Intensity (nA)	Intensity (nA)
Subject 1	0.0066	0.0167	0.0213	0.0111
	0.0089	0.0114	0.0256	0.0090
	0.0080	0.0120	0.0228	0.0080
	0.0030			
Subject 2	0.0094	0.0329	0.0191	0.0089
	0.0157	0.0300	0.0146	0.0076
		0.0217	0.0153	0.0036
Subject 3	0.0075	0.0006	0.0075	0.0041
	0.0063	0.0042	0.0062	0.0047
	0.0063	0.0044	0.0055	0.0053
	0.0054	0.0043		0.0051
				0.0035
	0.0114		0.0095	0.0119
Subject 4	0.0100	0.0195	0.0097	0.0114
	0.0083	0.0105	0.0072	0.0126
		0.0167	0.0047	
		0.0098		
	0.0092		0.0206	0.0013
Subject 5	0.0071	0.0917	0.0133	0.0111
	0.0077	0.0647	0.0224	
6 1 · · · 6	0.0087	0.0810	0.01.00	0.0000
Subject 6	0.0184	0.0206	0.0162	0.0082
	0.0164	0.0133	0.0143	0.0085
Subject 7	0.0115	0.0224	0.0129	0.0079
Subject 7	0.0120	0.0230	0.0074	0.0035
	0.0036	0.0158	0.0000	0.0079
	0.0050	0.0209	0.0068	0.0077
	0.0007	0.0205	0.0078	0.0077
			0.0077	
Subject 8	0.0071	0.0178	0.0076	0.0056
j	0.0045	0.0098	0.0056	0.0073
	0.0015	0.0287	0.0176	0.0967
				0.0067
Subject 9	0.0076	0.0079	0.0167	0.0098
	0.0063	0.0098	0.0045	0.0167
	0.0143	0.0167	0.0069	0.0067
	0.0056	0.0276	0.0092	0.0123
	0.0111			
Subject 10	0.0075	0.0368	0.0276	0.0034
	0.0036	0.0324	0.0156	0.0115
	0.0056	0.0099	0.0067	0.0098
		0.0153	0.0193	0.0111
		0.0189		
C. 1 11	0.0045	0.0256	0.0050	0.0056
Subject 11	0.0045	0.0254	0.0056	0.0056
	0.0112	0.0156	0.0149	0.0231
	0.0045	0.0078	0.0063	0.0239
	0.075	0.0470		
Subject 12	0.0089	0.0087	0.0084	0 0043
Subjett 12	0.0045	0.0230	0.0082	0.0076
	0.0078	0.0222	0.0048	0.0065
	0.0035	0.0178	0.0176	2.0005
	0.0087			
	0.0023			
	Z = 0.001		NS	

Only intensities are reported, with statistical significance at the bottom of each category (slow spindles sham vs slow spindles SOtDCS; fast spindles sham vs fast spindles SOtDCS). Note the important source intensities increase for slow spindles after stimulation compared to sham (Z = 0.001), whereas no major effect of SOtDCS was noticed for fast spindles. Sources MNI coordinates and anatomical localization has been reported as Supplemental Material.

# Neuropsychological testing

Differences in neuropsychological test results between the condition "sleep plus tDCS" and "sleep plus sham" were evident (P = 0.022). An improvement in declarative memory after tDCS and nap, with better retention (P = 0.05), was noted. Visuospatial



Figure 2. Grand average of source localization for slow (left column) and fast (right column) spindles in a sham and a stimulation condition. The crosshairs denote the point of maximal source. Slices were adjusted to show the maximum intensity and its area. Note the higher current intensity after SOtDCS, and a shift to more anterior cortical areas, more marked for the slow spindle generators.

testing demonstrated a slight reduction in forgetfulness after stimulation and nap as compared to sham (P = 0.048). No other measures of declarative memory reached significance (Table 2).

## Discussion

Our results suggest that anodal slow-oscillatory tDCS over the fronto-temporal area ipsilateral to the discharging lobe administered before a nap in TLE is effective in increasing declarative and visuospatial memory consolidation. These results are supported by the finding that after stimulation the cortical slow spindle generators tended to shift to more anterior loci and displayed a higher current intensity than in the sham condition. As previously reported [23], sotDCS delivered during SWS also boosted slow wave oscillations, ameliorating polysomnographic parameters such as SL and TST.

This is in line with the observed consolidation of the hippocampus-dependent learning task, measured as the difference between performance at learning before sleep and retrieval after sleep, induced by slow wave sleep and associated slow oscillation activity dominant during early nocturnal sleep [23,52–55]. Here, we focused on sleep-related learning by taking into account N2 sleep spindles, suggested as a marker for memory consolidation during sleep [10–13]. Spindles are brief bursts of waxing and waning oscillations considered to be the hallmark of N2 sleep, described as slower frontal (10–12 Hz) and faster posterior (12–14 Hz) spindles, in both healthy and epileptic subjects [15,56], generated independently, although synchronously, in diverse cortical areas [15–17].

Previously, we observed a skewed distribution of N2 slow spindle cortical generators over the affected lobe in TLE and hypothesized this to be a possible neurophysiological explanation for defective memory consolidation in TLE [19]. The observation that with pre-sleep sotDCS we could modulate the spatial distribution and intensity of these cortical sources, obtaining a concomitant amelioration of specific mnemonic tasks, supports our initial hypothesis, which could inform rehabilitative options for memory complaints in TLE.

The efficacy of sotDCS to improve declarative memory has been demonstrated mainly in healthy young volunteers [23] and recently in adults with schizophrenia [36] and children with attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder [35]. No such studies have been conducted in people with epilepsy, although an animal study on rodents reported a reduction in seizure frequency and spatial memory deficits after cathodal continuous tDCS [57]. To date, tDCS stimulation studies on people with epilepsy have applied cathodal stimulation to reduce neuronal excitability and thus reduce seizure occurrence [58-61]. Differently, our paradigm involved excitatory, oscillating stimulation that could have negatively affected the neuronal threshold over the epileptogenic zone. We did not observe seizures in any of the subjects and none complained of increased seizure frequency in the week after stimulation. One reason for this favorable outcome could have been the low tDCS current intensity which, conversely, could explain why consolidation improvement did not reach statistical significance in all tested items.

In contrast with previously quoted studies [23], in which SOtDCS was administered during SWS in order to obtain a summative effect, we preferred a pre-sleep stimulation protocol given the rehabilitative perspective of the study.

Although SWS and concomitant SOtDCS stimulation could have produced longer-lasting and possibly more robust statistical significance, the efficacy of a tDCS protocol to be administered in an out-of-hospital setting is of paramount importance. Given the userfriendliness of tDCS, this does not appear as a remote possibility also in the realm of cognitive rehabilitation, as such would be the case. Indeed, SOtDCS application during SWS would not be an option in a domestic setting. Given our preliminary results, SOtDCS administered during wake before sleep seems promising, although further studies are needed to confirm the efficacy of home-based administration.

After sotDCS, the subjects demonstrated an increased retention of learned words (Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test) and a lesser degree of forgetfulness in the Rey figure drawing. The modulations we report are mainly expressed as effects on N2 slow spindle amplitude. Generally, EEG signal amplitudes reflect the extent of synchrony and excitability of the underlying neural population [62]. In this view, spindle amplitude may be the epiphenomenon of local cortical activation. A recent EEG-fMRI study showed, in fact, that sleep spindle amplitude is related to reactivation of brain modules involved in prior memory consolidation [63], as well as with hippocampal activation. According to this line of research, the observed increase in the intensity of sleep spindle generators points in the same direction: sotDCS likely contributes to the reactivation process needed for memory consolidation exactly in those areas that appear to be defective in TLE. Although there was no statistically significant difference in sleep spindle count between the two conditions, the more frequent fast spindles recorded in the sham condition (P = 0.03) subsided, with normalization to slow spindles. This indicates that oscillatory tDCS has a slight effect in increasing slow spindles and could explain the neurophysiological mechanism of memory consolidation and retention.

Finally, there was a slight shift of bilateral posterior — mainly temporo-parietal — sources towards fronto-temporal locations after sotDCS-modulation of cortical generators. We cannot explain why the posterior generators were more affected than the anterior ones. One possible reason is that slow spindles originate from frontal areas and that stimulation re-establishes a more physiological pattern with a partial reintegration of memory functions. On the other hand, current flow involved the fronto-temporal areas, strengthening these cortical sources to the detriment of the nonstimulated ones.

There are limitations to our study. All subjects were on AEDs. Treatment was unchanged throughout the study, but sodium and calcium-channel blockers are known to reduce the effects of anodal tDCS [64]. All subjects were on sodium-channel modulators including zonisamide, which also has a minor effect on calcium channels. AED treatment could thus have reduced the efficacy of stimulation, possibly accounting for the significant results seen on only a few sub-tests and with threshold significance values. The sample size was small due to the restrictive inclusion criteria we had to apply to assure data reliability. This restriction could also have influenced the results statistical significance, that was detected only for a few items with a cut-off value. Inclusion criteria implied that our subjects were well-functioning, slightly or not impaired ones (low BDI, relatively low STAY 1 and 2, MOCA into normal range). Cognitive performances could thus only slight improve, given a "ceiling effect", and were mainly detected in the realm of the supposedly less affected hemisphere. One future direction should be to test more significantly impaired TLE individuals to ascertain if such a stimulation paradigm allows noteworthy amelioration. Furthermore, we did not re-analyze the topographical relation of sleep spindle cortical generators with the epileptogenic zone, as this was beyond the scope of the present study.

# Conclusion

Our findings suggest sotDCS to be a promising tool for use in memory rehabilitation protocols for people with TLE.

This assumption rests on the hypothesis that the reinforcement of slow spindle temporal generators could be a compensatory mechanism: if memory does improve when slow spindles are more frequently generated and with a higher intensity over the temporal lobes, then this points indirectly to a causative, compensatory mechanism. Further studies in other forms of localization-related epilepsy are needed to investigate whether cognitive domains other than memory could benefit from similar stimulation paradigms.

## Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2015.01.410.

#### References

- Hauser WA, Annegers JF, Kurland LT. Prevalence of epilepsy in Rochester, Minnesota: 1940–1980. Epilepsia 1991;32:429–45.
- [2] McMillan TM, Powell GE, Janota I, Polkey CE. Relationships between neuropathology and cognitive functioning in temporal lobectomy patients. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr 1987;50:167–76.
- [3] Meencke HJ, Veith G. Migration disturbances in epilepsy. Epilepsy Res Suppl 1992;9:31–9. discussion 39–40.
- [4] Blume WT. The progression of epilepsy. Epilepsia 2006;47(S1):71-8.
- [5] Ferrara M, Moroni F, De Gennaro L, Nobili L. Hippocampal sleep features: relations to human memory function. Front Neurol 2012;3:57.
- [6] Buzsáki G. Long-term changes of hippocampal sharp-waves following high frequency afferent activation. Brain Res 1984;300:179–82.
- [7] Buzsáki G. Two-stage model of memory trace formation: a role for "noisy" brain states. Neuroscience 1989;31:551–70.
- [8] Clemens Z, Mölle M, Eross L, et al. Fine-tuned coupling between human parahippocampal ripples and sleep spindles. Eur J Neurosci 2011;33:511–20.
- [9] Ji D, Wilson MA. Coordinated memory replay in the visual cortex and hippocampus during sleep. Nat Neurosci 2007;10:100–7.
- [10] Fogel SM, Smith CT. The function of the sleep spindle: a physiological index of intelligence and a mechanism for sleep-dependent memory consolidation. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2011;35:1154–65.
- [11] Fogel SM, Smith CT. Learning-dependent changes in sleep spindles and stage 2 sleep. J Sleep Res 2006;15:250–5.
- [12] Mölle M, Born J. Slow oscillations orchestrating fast oscillations and memory consolidation. Prog Brain Res 2011;193:93–110.
- [13] Barakat M, Doyon J, Debas K, et al. Fast and slow spindle involvement in the consolidation of a new motor sequence. Behav Brain Res 2011;217:117–21.
- [14] Born J, Rasch B, Gais S. Sleep to remember. Neuroscientist 2006;12:410–24.
  [15] Nir Y, Staba RJ, Andrillon T, et al. Regional slow waves and spindles in human
- sleep. Neuron 2011;70:153–69.
  [16] Del Felice A, Arcaro C, Storti SF, Fiaschi A, Manganotti P. Electrical source imaging of sleep spindles. Clin EEG Neurosci 2013;45:184–92.
- [17] Dehghani N, Cash SS, Rossetti AO, Chen CC, Halgren E. Magnetoencephalography demonstrates multiple asynchronous generators during human sleep spindles. J Neurophysiol 2010;104:179–88.
- [18] Cox R, Hofman WF, de Boer M, Talamini LM. Local sleep spindle modulations in relation to specific memory cues. Neuroimage 2014;99:103–10.
- [19] Del Felice A, Arcaro C, Storti SF, Fiaschi A, Manganotti P. Slow spindles' cortical generators overlap with the epileptogenic zone in temporal epileptic patients: an electrical source imaging study. Clin Neurophysiol 2013;124:2336–44.
- [20] Creutzfeldt OD, Fromm GH, Kapp H. Influence of transcortical DC currents on cortical neuronal activity. Exp Neurol 1962;5:436–52.
- [21] Purpura DP, McMurtry JG. Intracellular activities and evoked potential changes during polarization of motor cortex. J Neurophysiol 1965;28:166–85.
- [22] Bindman LJ, Lippold OC, Redfearn JW. The action of brief polarizing currents on the cerebral cortex of the rat (i) during current flow and (ii) in the production of long-lasting after-effects. J Physiol (Lond) 1964;172:369–82.
- [23] Marshall L, Helgadottir H, Mölle M, Born J. Boosting slow oscillations during sleep potentiates memory. Nature 2006;444:610–3.
- [24] Fecteau S, Knoch D, Fregni F, et al. Diminishing risk-taking behaviour by modulating activity in the prefrontal cortex: a direct current stimulation study. J Neurosci 2007;27:12500–5.
- [25] Fridriksson J, Richardson JD, Baker JM, Rorden C. Transcranial direct current stimulation improves naming reaction time in fluent aphasia: a double blind, sham-controlled study. Stroke 2011;42:819–21.
- [26] Dockery CA, Hueckel-Weng R, Birbaumer N, Plewnia C. Enhancement of planning ability by transcranial direct current stimulation. J Neurosci 2009;29:7271–7.
- [27] Marshall L, Mölle M, Hallschmid M, Born J. Transcranial direct current stimulation during sleep improves declarative memory. J Neurosci 2004;24:9985–92.
- [28] Eggert T, Dorn H, Sauter C, Nitsche MA, Bajbouj M, Danker-Hopfe H. No effects of slow oscillatory transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) on sleepdependent memory consolidation in healthy elderly subjects. Brain Stimul 2013;6:938–45.
- [29] Bergmann TO, Groppa S, Seeger M, Molle M, Marshall L, Siebner HR. Acute changes in motor cortical excitability during slow oscillatory and constant anodal transcranial direct current stimulation. J Neurophysiol 2009;102:2303–11.

- [30] Binder S, Berg K, Gasca F, et al. Transcranial slow oscillation stimulation during sleep enhances memory consolidation in rats. Brain Stimul 2014;7:508–15.
- [31] Diekelmann S, Biggel S, Rasch B, Born J. Offline consolidation of memory varies with time in slow wave sleep and can be accelerated by cuing memory reactivations. Neurobiol Learn Mem 2012;98:103–11.
- [32] Marshall L, Mölle M, Born J. Spindle and slow wave rhythms at slow wave sleep transitions are linked to strong shifts in the cortical direct current potential. Neuroscience 2003;121:1047–53.
- [33] Steriade M. Grouping of brain rhythms in corticothalamic systems. Neuroscience 2006;37:1087–106.
- [34] Kaestner EJ, Wixted JT, Mednick SC. Pharmacologically increasing sleep spindles enhances recognition for negative and high-arousal memories. J Cogn Neurosci 2013;25:1597–610.
- [35] Wamsley EJ, Tucker MA, Shinn AK, et al. Reduced sleep spindles and spindle coherence in schizophrenia: mechanisms of impaired memory consolidation? Biol Psychiatry 2012 Jan 15;71(2):154–61. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.biopsych.2011.08.008.
- [36] Göder R, Baier PC, Beith B, et al. Effects of transcranial direct current stimulation during sleep on memory performance in patients with schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 2013;144:153–4.
- [37] Kwan P, Arzimanoglou A, Berg AT, et al. Definition of drug resistant epilepsy: consensus proposal by the ad hoc Task Force of the ILAE Commission on Therapeutic Strategies. Epilepsia 2010;51:1069–77.
- [38] Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bédirian V, et al. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA): a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. J Am Geriatr Soc 2005;53:695–9.
- [39] Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, Mock J, Erbaugh J. An inventory for measuring depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1961;4:561–71.
- [40] Spielberger CD. State-Trait Anxiety Inventory STAI (Form Y). Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press, Inc.; 1983.
- [41] Carlesimo GA, Caltagirone C, Gainotti G. The Mental Deterioration Battery: normative data, diagnostic reliability and qualitative analyses of cognitive impairment. The group for the standardization of the Mental Deterioration Battery. Eur Neurol 1996;36:378–84.
- [42] Osterrieth PA. Le test de copie d'une figure complexe. Arch Psychol 1940;30:206–356.
- [43] Caffarra P, Vezzadini G, Dieci F, Zonato F, Venneri A. Rey-Osterrieth complex figure: normative values in an Italian population sample. Neurol Sci 2002;22:443–7.
- [44] Luzzi S, Pesallaccia M, Fabi K, et al. Non-verbal memory measured by Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure B: normative data. Neurol Sci 2011;32:1081–9.
- [45] Michel CM, Murray MM, Lantz G, Gonzalez S, Spinelli L, Grave de Peralta R. EEG source imaging. Clin Neurophysiol 2004;115:2195–222.
- [46] Silber MH, Ancoli-Israel S, Bonnet MH, et al. The visual scoring of sleep in adults. J Clin Sleep Med 2007;3:121–31. Erratum in: J Clin Sleep Med. 15;3:table of contents.
- [47] Perrin F, Pernier J, Bertrand O, Echallier JF. Spherical splines for scalp potential and current density mapping. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol 1989;72:184–7.

- [48] Pascual-Marqui RD, Michel CM, Lehmann D. Low resolution electromagnetic tomography: a new method for localizing electrical activity in the brain. Int J Psychophysiol 1994;18:49–65.
- [49] Ossenblok P, Spekreijse H. The extrastriate generators of the EP to checkerboard onset. A source localization approach. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol 1991;80:181–93.
- [50] Talairach J, Tournoux P. Co-planar sterotactic atlas of the human brain. Stuttgart: Thieme; 1988.
- [51] Michel CM, Murray MM. Towards the utilization of EEG as a brain imaging tool. Neuroimage 2012;61:371-85.
- [52] Diekelmann S, Born J. The memory function of sleep. Nat Rev Neurosci 2010;11:114–26.
- [53] Mölle M, Marshall L, Gais S, Born J. Learning increases human electroencephalographic coherence during subsequent slow sleep oscillations. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004;101:13963–8.
- [54] Gais S, Mölle M, Helms K, Born J. Learning-dependent increases in sleep spindle density. J Neurosci 2002;22:6830–4.
- [55] Tucker MA, Fishbein W. The impact of sleep duration and subject intelligence on declarative and motor memory performance: how much is enough? J Sleep Res 2009;18:304–12.
- [56] Peter-Derex L, Comte JC, Mauguière F, Salin PA. Density and frequency caudorostral gradients of sleep spindles recorded in the human cortex. Sleep 2012;35:69–79.
- [57] Kamida T, Kong S, Eshima N, Abe T, Fujiki M, Kobayashi H. Transcranial direct current stimulation decreases convulsions and spatial memory deficits following pilocarpine-induced status epilepticus in immature rats. Behav Brain Res 2011;217:99–103.
- [58] Auvichayapat N, Rotenberg A, Gersner R, et al. Transcranial direct current stimulation for treatment of refractory childhood focal epilepsy. Brain Stimul 2013;6:696–700.
- [59] Faria P, Fregni F, Sebastião F, Dias AI, Leal A. Feasibility of focal transcranial DC polarization with simultaneous EEG recording: preliminary assessment in healthy subjects and human epilepsy. Epilepsy Behav 2012;25:417–25.
- [60] Yook SW, Park SH, Seo JH, Kim SJ, Ko MH. Suppression of seizure by cathodal transcranial direct current stimulation in an epileptic patient – a case report. Ann Rehabil Med 2011;35:579–82.
- [61] Varga ET, Terney D, Atkins MD, et al. Transcranial direct current stimulation in refractory continuous spikes and waves during slow sleep: a controlled study. Epilepsy Res 2011;97:142–5.
- [62] Buzsáki G, Anastassiou CA, Koch C. The origin of extracellular fields and currents-EEG, ECoG, LFP and spikes. Nat Rev Neurosci 2012;13:407-20.
- [63] Bergmann TO, Mölle M, Diedrichs J, Born J, Siebner HR. Sleep spindle-related reactivation of category-specific cortical regions after learning face-scene associations. Neuroimage 2012;59:2733–42.
- [64] Nitsche MA, Fricke K, Henschke U, et al. Pharmacological modulation of cortical excitability shifts induced by transcranial direct current stimulation in humans. J Physiol 2003;553:293–301.