ORIGINAL ARTICLE

WILEY Transboundary and Emerging Diseases

A wild circulation: High presence of *Porcine circovirus* **3 in different mammalian wild hosts and ticks**

Giovanni Franzo | **Laura Grassi** | **Claudia Maria Tucciarone** | **Michele Drigo** | **Marco Martin[i](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9252-6389)** | **Daniela Pasotto** | **Alessandra Mondin** | **Maria Luisa Menandro**

Department of Animal Medicine, Production and Health (MAPS), University of Padua, Legnaro, Italy

Correspondence

Giovanni Franzo and Maria Luisa Menandro, Department of Animal Medicine, Production and Health (MAPS), University of Padua, Legnaro, Italy.

Emails: giovanni.franzo@unipd.it; [marialuisa.](mailto:marialuisa.menandro@unipd.it) [menandro@unipd.it](mailto:marialuisa.menandro@unipd.it)

Funding information

Emerging Infectious Diseases of Wildlife in Northeastern Italy" of the Department of Animal Medicine, Production and Health (MAPS), Grant/Award Number: BIRD177004/17; Integrated Budget for Interdepartmental Research; University of Padua

Summary

Porcine circovirus 3 (PCV‐3) has emerged as a potential threat for swine industry, being consistently reported in the presence of several clinical signs all around the world. Recently, its presence in wild boar has been demonstrated at high prevalence. This evi‐ dence is surprising since the lower density of wild populations might not be expected to sustain such efficient viral transmission. Porcine circoviruses were proven to exhibit a certain plasticity in the host tropism and were detected in unrelated species, like mice, dogs and ruminants. However, if this scenario applies also to wild animals re‐ mains to be established. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the presence of PCV‐3 in wild ungulates other than wild boar and in related hematophagous ectopara‐ sites. One hundred and nine animals were sampled from different hilly and mountain areas of Friuli Venezia Giulia, including 9 chamois (*Rupicapra rupicapra*), 17 red deer (*Cervus elaphus*), 4 mouflons (*Ovis musimon*), 50 roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) and 29 wild boars (*Sus scrof*a). Additionally, host‐matched ectoparasites were collected when present. *Porcine circovirus 3* was diagnosed using molecular techniques and sequenc‐ ing. This study results confirmed the high PCV‐3 occurrence in wild boar and reported for the first time its presence, at low prevalence, in chamois and roe deer. Moreover, two ticks (*Ixodes ricinus*), one of which non‐engorged, collected from PCV‐3 negative roe deer, tested PCV‐3 positive. The genetic characterization of some of the strains collected from non-swine hosts allowed to prove that, albeit clearly part of PCV-3 species, they were genetically unique, demonstrating the absence of among-samples contamination and thus confirming the actual presence of PCV‐3 genome in these new hosts. Therefore, this study highlights an unexpected broad PCV‐3 distribution and circulation in the wild, rising further questions on porcine circoviruses infectious cycle, epidemiology and origin, which will deserve additional investigations.

KEYWORDS

Italy, molecular epidemiology, *Porcine circovirus 3*, ticks, vector-borne, wild ungulates

1 | **INTRODUCTION**

The Italian environment, and the Mediterranean region overall, have been affected by a major anthropic disturbance for thousands

of years. However, in the last decades, a relevant change in the landscape usage has occurred. Hilly and mountain areas are being abandoned by humans, causing a progressive natural reforesting, particularly in the Apennines and Alps. Mammals and bird species followed a similar pattern, with forest birds, ungulates and carnivores increasing over the same time period (Falcucci, Maiorano, & Boitani, 2007).

These changes were favoured by the progressive introduction of wildlife protection policies, establishment of protected areas, re‐ settlements of extinct or near‐extinction species and a rising of the public opinion about environmental issues (Barnes et al., 2016; DpR *08/09/1997, n. 357,* 2013; *L.R. 6/2008,* 2015; Reg *No. 157,* 1992).

While the emerging scenario can be favourably embraced from several points of view, an increase in wildlife presence comes at some drawbacks, including direct damages to agriculture, livestock and ecosystem (National Research Council (US) Committee on Agricultural Land Use and Wildlife Resources, 1970; Treves, Wallace, Naughton‐Treves, & Morales, 2006).

A particularly relevant issue is the role of several avian and mammalian species as reservoirs of important infectious diseases of humans and livestock. This evidence is particularly significant be‐ cause the extensive agricultural activities and recreational use of less urbanized areas, both increasing in recent years, create frequent opportunities for humans, domestic and wild animal species to inter‐ act. Additionally, the increase in wild populations has not necessar‐ ily been mirrored by a proportional expansion of available land, and their distribution remains limited to confined protected areas. Albeit not comparable with intensive farming, this condition has sometimes determined dense animal populations which can enhance infectious disease maintenance and spreading, with detrimental effects on wild animal health and welfare as well (OIE, 2010).

Several viral diseases impacting on livestock production have been recognized in wild ungulates, which can sometimes play a piv‐ otal role in their maintenance and hinder their control and eradica‐ tion (Moennig, 2015). However, the impact on wild species health, their role in the disease epidemiology and the directionality of the viral flux are less clear in most instances (Ruiz‐Fons, Segalés, & Gortázar, 2008).

Despite being known since the 1970s (Tischer, Gelderblom, Vettermann, & Koch, 1982; Tischer, Rasch, & Tochtermann, 1974), the genus *Circovirus* has caught the attention of the veterinarian community particularly after the 1990s, when a new porcine circovi‐ rus, *Porcine circovirus 2* (PCV‐2) was first recognized and associated with a series of clinical syndromes, now called porcine circovirus diseases (PCVD), that were rapidly reported to occur all around the world, causing severe economic losses due to mortality, reduced growth, increased secondary infection and control‐associated costs (Segalés, Allan, & Domingo, 2005; Segalés, Kekarainen, & Cortey, 2013). The interest raised by this viral group coupled with the re‐ markable increase in research and diagnostic potential has allowed to uncover an unexpected variability of circoviruses in terms of ge‐ netic features and host distribution (Delwart & Li, 2012).

Among the latest discovered circoviruses, *Porcine circovirus 3* (PCV‐3) has claimed an attention comparable to its predecessor (Palinski et al., 2017). Despite its recent discovery, it has been re‐ ported worldwide, often in the presence of clinical signs, including porcine dermatitis and nephropathy syndrome (PDNS), reproductive

disorders, respiratory signs (Ku et al., 2017; Palinski et al., 2017; Shen et al., 2018) and myocarditis (Phan et al., 2016).

However, it has also been reported in asymptomatic animals (Zheng et al., 2017) and its causative role in swine disease is still de‐ bated (Franzo, Legnardi, Tucciarone, et al., 2018; Klaumann, Correa‐ Fiz, et al., 2018).

Remarkably, PCV‐3 was proven to circulate in wild boar popu‐ lations, at high prevalence (Franzo, Tucciarone, Drigo, et al., 2018; Klaumann, Dias‐Alves, et al., 2018). This evidence could be consid‐ ered unexpected since this virus, despite its likely ancient origin (Fu et al., 2018; Klaumann, Franzo, et al., 2018), similarly to PCV‐2, emerged only recently as a global problem, in parallel with the de‐ velopment of intensive farming. Therefore, the high density and high turnover production system are likely to be pivotal in the rise and efficient spreading of these pathogens. Comparable conditions cannot be achieved by the sparser and long‐living wild populations. So, other epidemiological cycles involving different forms of trans‐ mission and maintenance could contribute to this virus success in wildlife.

Ticks transmit more pathogen species than any other group of blood‐feeding arthropods worldwide, including several viral ones (Pfäffle, Littwin, Muders, & Petney, 2013). Tick‐infecting circovi‐ ruses have been described in China (Wang et al., 2018), and other ar‐ thropod species have been proven to be susceptible to Circoviridae infection (Delwart & Li, 2012). Nevertheless, the role of hematopha‐ gous arthropods in PCV‐3 epidemiology has never been investigated.

The presence of other target species could also increase the available host population size, facilitating the virus maintenance in the wild.

The two main porcine circoviruses, while clearly showing a strong preference for *Sus scrofa*, have both demonstrated a cer‐ tain host plasticity, being detected in other species including ru‐ minants, rodents and dogs (Halami, Freick, Shehata, Müller, & Vahlenkamp, 2014; Kappe et al., 2010; Zhai et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2018). Therefore, a similar PCV‐3 presence in wild species can be hypothesized.

Based on these premises, the present study aims to investigate, using molecular biology assays, the presence and circulation of PCV‐3 in several wild ungulate species and the potential vector role of hematophagous ectoparasites.

2 | **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

2.1 | **Sample collection**

Samples were obtained from animals culled in hunting reserves located in the Friuli Venezia Giulia mountain area during the hunt‐ ing season from May 2017 to January 2018. From each animal, he‐ matophagous ectoparasites were collected before exsanguination to minimize potential contamination sources. Thereafter, blood was collected and the obtained serum stored at 4°C for a maximum of 4 days until delivery to the Veterinary Infectious Disease laboratory (Dept. Animal Medicine, Production and Health, Padua University, **1550 |** FRANZO et al.

Italy). Serum samples and parasites were stored at −80°C until processing.

For each animal, information including species, sex, estimated age, hunting site and date was recorded. Similarly, parasite spe‐ cies, sex and engulfment status were morphologically determined using stereomicroscopy. When more than one parasite of the same species was collected from the same host, they were merged into pools based on feeding status, avoiding the mixing of engulfed and not engulfed ticks, suspended in 500 μl of PBS and mechanically homogenized.

2.2 | **PCV‐3 molecular diagnosis**

DNA was extracted from 200 μl of serum or parasite homogenate using the NucleoSpin®Blood extraction kit (MACHEREY‐NAGEL). Prior to extraction, an exogenous internal control was added to the binding solution. DNA was tested for PCV‐3 presence using the real‐ time PCR described in Franzo, Legnardi, Centelleghe, et al. (2018).

In order to confirm the results and characterize the viruses, a PCR was used to amplify part of the genome corresponding to the *Cap* coding gene, as described in Franzo, Tucciarone, Drigo, et al. (2018).

Briefly, the whole Cap region was amplified (amplicon length: 707 bp) using the primers PCV3_1303F (5‐ACCGGAGGGGTCAGATTTAT‐3) and PCV3_8R (5‐TGCCGGGTAATACTAGCC3‐3). Two microlitres of extracted DNA was added to a standard mix composed of 1X reaction buffer, 200 μM dNTPs, 0.6 μM of each primer and 0.4 μl of Phire Hot Start II DNA polymerase. Sterile nanopure water was added to bring the final volume up to 20 μl. The following thermal protocol was selected: 98°C for 30 s followed by 45 cycles of 98°C for 5 s, 60°C for 5 s and 72°C for 15 s. A final extension phase of 1 min at 72°C was also performed.

To allow the characterization of low titre samples, the partial *Rep* gene sequence was also amplified using the method described by Franzo, Legnardi, Centelleghe, et al. (2018), because of the higher sensitivity of the assay.

Two microlitres of the DNA was added to a standard PCR mas‐ ter mix composed of 1X Phire animal tissue PCR buffer, 0.6 μM of each primer (PCV3_rep_F 5′‐AAAGCCCGAAACACAGGTGGTGT‐3′ and PCV3_rep_R 5'-TTTTCCCGCATCCTGGAGGACCAAT-3') and 0.4 μl of Phire hot start II DNA polymerase. Sterile nanopure water (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added to bring the final volume to 20 μl. The PCR thermal protocol was 98°C for 5 min followed by 45 cycles of 98°C for 5 s, 68°C for 7 s and 72°C for 15 s. A final elongation step of 1 min at 72°C was performed.

Amplification and specificity of the bands were visualized using a SYBR safe stained 2% agarose gel.

Sequencing was performed at Macrogen (Macrogen Spain, Madrid, Spain) using the same primers. Chromatograms were visu‐ ally inspected with Finch TV program 1.4.0 (2004–2006 Geospiza Inc) and consensus sequences were obtained using ChromasPro (ChromasPro Version 1.5; Technelysium Pty Ltd, South Brisbane, Australia; [http://technelysium.com.au/wp/chromaspro/\)](http://technelysium.com.au/wp/chromaspro/).

2.3 | **Sequence analysis**

Obtained sequences were compared to a reference dataset (one for the *Rep* and one for the *Cap* encoding gene) representative of all available PCV‐3 sequences spanning the considered region.

To account for the coding nature of these sequences, they were translated at amino acid level, aligned using the MAFFT method (Standley, 2013) and then back‐translated to nucleotide sequence using the TranslatorX program (Abascal, Zardoya, & Telford, 2010). To allow the comparison with the maximum number of sequences, particularly with previously submitted wild boar ones, the *Cap* gene alignment was reduced to a 402‐bp region, where a full coverage could be obtained for all sequences. Phylogenetic analysis was performed using the maximum likelihood approach implemented in PhyML (Guindon et al., 2010), selecting as the best substitution model the one with the lowest AIC calculated using Jmodeltest (Darriba, Taboada, Doallo, & Posada, 2012). The robustness of the clade reliability was evaluated using the non‐parametric version of the aLRT (Shimodaira–Hasegawa [SH]‐aLRT), implemented in PhyML 3.0 (Anisimova & Gascuel, 2006).

To account for the phylogenetic uncertainness, the association between quantitative traits (i.e. collection host) and tree topology was tested calculating different statistics (parsimony score [PS] and association index [AI]) using BaTS (Parker, Rambaut, & Pybus, 2008) on all trees sampled during two Metropolis‐coupled Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMCMC) run. More in detail, a phylogenetic analysis was performed using the Bayesian approach implemented in MrBayes (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003) selecting as the best substitution model the one with the lowest Bayesian information criterion (BIC) calculated using Jmodeltest (Darriba et al., 2012).

Two independent runs, including one cold and three heated MCMCMC chains were run for 1,000,000 generations sampling model parameters and tree every 500 generation. The runs conver‐ gence and mixing were visually inspected and results accepted only if estimated sample size (ESS) was higher than 200 and potential scale reduction factor (PSRF) approached 1.

The posterior trees, after discarding the first 20% of the trees as burn-in, were used for BaTS analysis. The above-mentioned statistics were calculated across all these trees and the observed median (*μ***obs**) value was selected as final outcome. The distribution under the null hypothesis (i.e. no trait–phylogenesis association) was obtained by randomizing without replacement the tip–trait association 1 thousand times for each tree of the posterior dis‐ tribution. Each randomized dataset was used to calculate the statistics medians (μ_{null}) , which formed the null distribution. This distribution was used to achieve a *p*‐value by simply evaluating the proportion of simulated values more extreme than the observed one.

2.4 | **Statistical Analysis**

Association between PCV‐3 infection, group age and health status was evaluated using a Chi-squared or Fisher's exact test values.

The presence of statistically significant differences in the viral titre among species and groups was evaluated using the Mann–Whitney (two groups) or Kruskal–Wallis test (more than two groups), followed by post hoc Mann–Whitney tests with Bonferroni correction. The statistical significance level was set to *p* < 0.05.

3 | **RESULTS**

A total of 109 animals were sampled from different mountain areas of Friuli Venezia Giulia. In this study, 9 chamois (*Rupicapra rupicapra*), 17 red deer (*Cervus elaphus*), 4 mouflons (*Ovis musimon*), 50 roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) and 29 wild boars (*Sus scrof*a) were included (Figure 1). Overall, ticks (all belonging to *Ixodes ricinus* species*)* were obtained from 2 chamois, 13 red deer, 3 mouflons, 28 roe deer and 1 wild boar (Figure 1). One chamois (12.5%), 2 roe deer (4%) and 13 wild boars (44.8%) were PCV‐3 positive (Figure 2). Viral titre analysis demonstrated an overall higher titre in wild boar compared to other species. All infected animals, ranging from 1 to 5 years (estimated age), were classified to be in good health status. No differences in sex and age were detected between infected and non-infected subjects. Two out of 47 (4.25%) tick pools collected from roe deer tested PCV‐3 positive despite not originating from positive individuals. One pool (Z1) included engulfed ticks, while the other sample (Z3) was a single non‐engulfed adult female.

Besides ticks, 13 animals (2 wild boars, 8 roe deer and 3 red deer) showed a really mild *Lipoptena* spp. infestation, which all tested PCV‐3 negative.

The full *Cap* gene was sequenced from 6 wild boars only, while the partial *Rep* gene could be sequenced for 8 wild boars, 1 chamois and the Z3 tick. The *Rep* and *Cap* gene sequences obtained throughout this study are available in GenBank (accession number MK044770‐MK044785). The phylogenetic analysis of the *Rep* gene demonstrated a relevant heterogeneity of the collected strains, which were amply interspersed along the tree (Figure 3). No clear geographical patterns could be observed and strains collected in the present study showed a certain relationship with others collected in different countries all over the world. However, sequences 12 and 78 were identical to PCV‐3 strains collected from domestic pigs (acces‐ sion number MF162298.1 and MF162299.1; Figure 3) and sequence 100 was part of a quite separate cluster including Italian sequences collected from domestic pig populations. Additionally, strain 53, col‐ lected from a wild boar, and strain Z3, obtained from a roe deer tick were closely related and part of the same cluster (Figure 3).

The analysis of ORF2‐based tree provided overall compara‐ ble results and allowed the comparison with previously sequenced wild boar strains collected in the Colli Euganei Regional Park (Italy; Franzo, Legnardi, Tucciarone, et al., 2018; Figure 4). No strict clus‐ tering could be observed among the sequences collected from the two separated populations. The genetic distance among sequences from Friuli and Colli Euganei ranged from 0.2% to 3.1%. However, a comparable distance could be observed among the Friulian wild strains and domestic pigs ones (i.e. 0 to 5.2%), including a perfect

identity between sequences 53 and 100 with others collected in Italy and other European, North American and Asian countries, in the considered ORF2 region.

Phylogeny–trait association demonstrated a certain clustering of the wild boar sequences, even originating from different countries (Figure 4). This evidence was also statistically confirmed by the PS score (*p* = 0.005). However, discordant results were obtained by the AI (*p* > 0.05), suggesting the mild association between viral phylogeny and host.

4 | **DISCUSSION**

The wild ungulates populations increased remarkably in Friuli Venezia Giulia since the WWII. Different factors have contributed to this change, including animal protection and reintroduction poli‐ cies, increasing population awareness about environmental issues and mountain depopulation, causing agricultural and grazing land abandonment (Barnes et al., 2016; Carnevali, Pedrotti, Riga, & Toso, 2009; De Meneghi, 2006).

Overall, about 4,000 wild boars, 9,800 red deer, 9,600 cham‐ ois, 25,000 roe deer and 1,200 mouflons were registered, potentially creating favourable conditions for infectious diseases to spread ([http://www.regione.fvg.it/rafvg/cms/RAFVG/am‐](http://www.regione.fvg.it/rafvg/cms/RAFVG/ambiente-territorio/tutela-ambiente-gestione-risorse-naturali/gestione-venatoria/) [biente-territorio/tutela-ambiente-gestione-risorse-naturali/](http://www.regione.fvg.it/rafvg/cms/RAFVG/ambiente-territorio/tutela-ambiente-gestione-risorse-naturali/gestione-venatoria/) [gestione-venatoria/](http://www.regione.fvg.it/rafvg/cms/RAFVG/ambiente-territorio/tutela-ambiente-gestione-risorse-naturali/gestione-venatoria/)).

The examined wild boar population demonstrated a high PCV‐3 frequency, which is not surprising since a similar viral prevalence was previously described in another Italian wild boar population (Franzo, Tucciarone, Drigo, et al., 2018). However, differently from the Colli Euganei Regional Park, where a large animal aggregation (about 4,000 animals) is confined in a limited and relatively isolated geographic area (i.e. 18,694 ha; Franzo, Tucciarone, Drigo, et al., 2018), the region herein considered is significantly wider (agroforestal‐pastoral land of about 691,795 ha) and less confined (*Piano faunistico regionale*, 2015). Although the wild boar distribution is not uniform, a significantly lower density can be confidently stated. The detection of a comparable prevalence suggests that PCV‐3 is able to circulate efficiently in wild populations and likely persist for a long time, increasing the transmission likelihood in a sparse population. Accordingly, Klaumann, Dias‐Alves, et al. (2018) demonstrated the long‐lasting persistence of PCV‐3 in wild boars, which could be longitudinally detected for more than 5 months. Differently from Franzo, Tucciarone, Drigo, et al. (2018) and Klaumann, Dias‐Alves, et al. (2018), who reported a higher frequency in adult animals, no age or sex differences could be identified in the Friulian population. However, the number of sampled subjects was relatively low compared to the previous studies and, particularly, few adults were sampled, decreasing the statistical power to detect significant differences.

The high viral prevalence demonstrated in wild boars, even higher than the average one reported in domestic populations (Faccini et al., 2017; Franzo, Legnardi, Hjulsager, et al., 2018; Klaumann, Franzo, et al., 2018; Stadejek, Woźniak, Miłek, & Biernacka, 2017), supports the role of wild populations as virus reservoirs.

PCV-3

- Negative
- Positive
- Tick absence

FIGURE 1 Geographical distribution of host and tick samples. Positive and negative samples have been colour coded. Additionally, hosts from which no ticks were detected have been colour coded in yellow [Colour figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](www.wileyonlinelibrary.com)]

lon

FIGURE 2 Geographical distribution of *Porcine circovirus‐3* (PCV‐3) positive samples in wild ungulates (full circle) and ticks (full triangle). Different hosts have been colour coded [Colour figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](www.wileyonlinelibrary.com)]

Remarkably, the analysis of phylogeny–trait association high‐ lighted a certain clustering of the sequences collected in wild boar, even originating from different countries. The host–phylogeny association might confirm the effective persistence and mainte‐ nance of PCV‐3 in wild boar, allowing an independent evolution, at least to a certain extent. Nevertheless, molecular epidemiology information about wild populations is currently limited to Italy and Spain (Franzo, Tucciarone, Drigo, et al., 2018; Franzo, Legnardi, Centelleghe, et al., 2018), and this could lead to a bias due to geographical, rather than host, clustering. Although spatial clus‐ tering appears not to be a feature of PCV‐3 epidemiology, which is characterized by a wide mixing of strains collected in different countries (Franzo, Legnardi, Hjulsager, et al., 2018), more extensive studies, based on a representative sampling of the worldwide wild boar population, should be performed to confirm the detected association. Additionally, several legal and illegal introduction events from other Italian region and other countries (particularly from central Europe) affected both wild boar and other ungulate popula‐ tions (Carnevali et al., 2009; Massei & Toso, 1993; *Piano faunistico regionale*, 2015), clearly complicating the epidemiological pattern understanding. As a matter of fact, if the clustering of wild boar PCV‐3 sequences is due to a prolonged persistence followed by host-specific adaptation or to epidemiological links among the wild populations remains to be elucidated.

In spite of the detected clustering, the wild and domestic pop‐ ulations were not totally independent (Figures 3 and 4). In fact, the identity or close clustering of wild‐derived sequences with domestic ones suggests the occurrence of epidemiologically effective con‐ tacts. However, the directionality of the viral flux and the poten‐ tial menace represented by wild boar for commercial pigs remain unknown.

Remarkably, the present study demonstrated the presence of PCV‐3 in other two wild ungulate species although at low titre and prevalence: one chamois and two roe deer. Even if the limited DNA amount hindered the whole genome sequencing of these strains, the genetic distance of their *Rep* sequences from those identified in wild boar excludes the occurrence of contamination events and supports an actual infection (Figure 4). Even if the main host of porcine circoviruses is indubitably the *Sus scrofa,* PCV‐2 has been detected also in other species, including mice and ruminants (Halami et al., 2014; Kappe et al., 2010; Pinheiro et al., 2013). Similarly, PCV‐3 has been described in phylogenetically distant species, like dogs (Zhang et al., 2018), and an extremely closely related virus (i.e. beef circovirus) was identified in bovines (Zhang, Li, Deng, Kapusinszky, & Delwart, 2014). These evidences support a certain host plasticity of porcine circoviruses, which evidently affects also wild species. If the wild species herein reported represent a dead‐end infection or play an actual role in PCV‐3, epidemiology will need further investigations.

More surprisingly, two ticks (*I. ricinus*) carried PCV‐3 DNA, which differed genetically from all other sequences herein reported, excluding also in this case the "contamination hypothesis." While a simple accidental detection due to blood meal could justify this finding, some evidences support a different explanation. First, both ticks were collected from PCV‐3 negative roe deer. Albeit titres or past viraemia below the assay limit of detection could be advocated, one of the ticks was still not engorged. Therefore, a transstadial PCV‐3 (or at least its genome) transmission emerges as a potential explanation. This hypothesis brings with it a poten‐ tial role of other hosts like micromammals that are typically in‐ volved in previous stages of *I. ricinus* development (Estrada‐Peña & de la Fuente, 2014; Mihalca & Sándor, 2013). The evidence that related species, such as mice, are susceptible to PCV‐2, further

FIGURE 3 Maximum likelihood (ML) Phylogenetic tree reconstructed based on the partial *Rep* gene sequence. Branches corresponding to strains collected from wild animals and ticks are coloured in red. Labels of Italian and Spanish *Porcine circovirus‐3* strains are coloured in blue and orange respectively [Colour figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com\]](www.wileyonlinelibrary.com)

FIGURE 4 ML Phylogenetic tree reconstructed based on the partial *Cap* gene sequence. Branches corresponding to strains collected from wild boar are coloured in red. Labels of Italian and Spanish *Porcine circovirus‐3* (PCV‐3) strains are coloured in blue and orange respectively [Colour figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](www.wileyonlinelibrary.com)]

1556 | 14/11 FY T 15/11 FRANZO ET AL.

emphasizes the need to investigate more deeply the role of their wild counterparts in PCV‐3 epidemiology.

Clearly, the viral genome detection in ticks is not sufficient to prove their vector competence. Whether the pathogen transmission takes place from the tick to the host or vice versa will require additional experimental studies (Estrada‐Peña & de la Fuente, 2014).

The present study, besides confirming the wide PCV‐3 circulation in wild boar, highlights its presence in other wild ungulates and related ticks, demonstrating an unexpected wide distribution in wildlife.

The evidences herein reported could encourage the implementa‐ tion of additional investigations that could provide a further insight into the ecology, epidemiology and potential origin of PCV‐3.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was financially supported by the Integrated Budget for Interdepartmental Research BIRD177004/17: "Emerging Infectious Diseases of Wildlife in Northeastern Italy" and by the grant BIRD187958/18 from the Department of Animal Medicine, Production and Health, University of Padua. The authors are grate‐ ful to the hunting reserve directors and hunters for their kind collaboration and efforts in collecting the samples. Particularly, we thank the president of the Tarvisian hunting district Valerio Pituelli, whose coordination activity made this work possible.

ORCID

Giovanni Franz[o](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2991-217X) <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2991-217X> *Marco Martin[i](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9252-6389)* <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9252-6389>

REFERENCES

- Abascal, F., Zardoya, R., & Telford, M. J. (2010). TranslatorX: Multiple alignment of nucleotide sequences guided by amino acid transla‐ tions. *Nucleic Acids Research 38*, W7–W13. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq291) [nar/gkq291](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq291)
- Anisimova, M., & Gascuel, O. (2006). Approximate likelihood‐ratio test for branches: A fast, accurate, and powerful alternative. *Systematic Biology*, *55*, 539–552.<https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150600755453>
- Barnes, M. D., Craigie, I. D., Harrison, L. B., Geldmann, J., Collen, B., Whitmee, S., ... Woodley, S. (2016). Wildlife population trends in protected areas predicted by national socio‐economic metrics and body size. *Nature Communications*, *7*, 12747. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12747) [ncomms12747](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12747)
- Carnevali, L., Pedrotti, L., Riga, F., & Toso, S. (2009). Banca Dati Ungulati: Status, Distribuzione, Consistenza, Gestione e Prelievo Venatorio Delle Popolazioni Di Ungulati in Italia: Rapporto 2001‐2005. Istituto superiore per la protezione e la ricerca ambientale.
- Darriba, D., Taboada, G. L., Doallo, R., & Posada, D. (2012). JModelTest 2: More models, new heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods*, *9*, 772. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2109>
- De Meneghi, D. (2006). Wildlife, environment and (re)-emerging zoonoses, with special reference to sylvatic tick‐borne zoonoses in North‐ Western Italy. *Annali Dell'istituto Superiore di Sanità*, *42*, 405.
- Decreto del Presidente della Repubblica (DpR) ‐ 08/09/1997, n. 357 ‐ Gazzetta Uff. 23/10/1997, n.248. (2013). Italy.
- Delwart, E., & Li, L. (2012). Rapidly expanding genetic diversity and host range of the Circoviridae viral family and other Rep encoding small circular ssDNA genomes. *Virus Research*, *164*, 114–121. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2011.11.021) [org/10.1016/j.virusres.2011.11.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2011.11.021)
- Estrada‐Peña, A., & de la Fuente, J. (2014). The ecology of ticks and ep‐ idemiology of tick‐borne viral diseases. *Antiviral Research*, *108*, 104– 128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ANTIVIRAL.2014.05.016>
- Faccini, S., Barbieri, I., Gilioli, A., Sala, G., Gibelli, L. R., Moreno, A., … Nigrelli, A. (2017). Detection and genetic characterization of Porcine circovirus type 3 in Italy. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, *64*, 1661–1664.<https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12714>
- Falcucci, A., Maiorano, L., & Boitani, L. (2007). Changes in land‐use/land‐ cover patterns in Italy and their implications for biodiversity conservation. *Landscape Ecology*, *22*, 617–631. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-006-9056-4) [s10980-006-9056-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-006-9056-4)
- Franzo, G., Legnardi, M., Centelleghe, C., Tucciarone, C. M., Cecchinato, M., Cortey, M., … Drigo, M. (2018). Development and validation of direct PCR and quantitative PCR assays for the rapid, sensi‐ tive, and economical detection of porcine circovirus 3. *Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation*, *30*, 538–544. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1177/1040638718770495) [org/10.1177/1040638718770495](https://doi.org/10.1177/1040638718770495)
- Franzo, G., Legnardi, M., Hjulsager, C. K., Klaumann, F., Larsen, L. E., Segales, J., & Drigo, M. (2018). Full‐genome sequencing of porcine circovirus 3 field strains from Denmark, Italy and Spain demon‐ strates a high within‐Europe genetic heterogeneity. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, *65*, 602–606. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12836) [tbed.12836](https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12836)
- Franzo, G., Legnardi, M., Tucciarone, C. M., Drigo, M., Klaumann, F., Sohrmann, M., & Segalés, J. (2018). Porcine circovirus type 3: A threat to the pig industry? *Veterinary Record*, *182*, 83. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.k91) [org/10.1136/vr.k91](https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.k91)
- Franzo, G., Tucciarone, C. M., Drigo, M., Cecchinato, M., Martini, M., Mondin, A., & Menandro, M. L. (2018). First report of wild boar susceptibility to Porcine circovirus type 3: High prevalence in the Colli Euganei Regional Park (Italy) in the absence of clinical signs. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, *65*, 957–962. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12905) [org/10.1111/tbed.12905](https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12905)
- Fu, X., Fang, B., Ma, J., Liu, Y., Bu, D., Zhou, P., … Zhang, G. (2018). Insights into the epidemic characteristics and evolutionary history of the novel porcine circovirus type 3 in southern China. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, *65*, e296–e303. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12752) [tbed.12752](https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12752)
- Guindon, S., Dufayard, J. F., Lefort, V., Anisimova, M., Hordijk, W., & Gascuel, O. (2010). New algorithms and methods to estimate max‐ imum‐likelihood phylogenies: Assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. *Systematic Biology*, *59*, 307–321. [https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/](https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syq010) [syq010](https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syq010)
- Halami, M. Y., Freick, M., Shehata, A. A., Müller, H., & Vahlenkamp, T. W. (2014). Susceptibility of calves to porcine circovirus‐2 (PCV2). *Veterinary Microbiology*, *173*, 125–131. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2014.06.022) [vetmic.2014.06.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2014.06.022)
- Kappe, E. C., Halami, M. Y., Schade, B., Alex, M., Hoffmann, D., Gangl, A., … Müller, H. (2010). Bone marrow depletion with haemor‐ rhagic diathesis in calves in Germany: Characterization of the dis‐ ease and preliminary investigations on its aetiology. *Berliner und Munchener Tierarztliche Wochenschrift*, *123*, 31–41. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.2376/0005-9366-123-31) [org/10.2376/0005-9366-123-31](https://doi.org/10.2376/0005-9366-123-31)
- Klaumann, F., Correa‐Fiz, F., Franzo, G., Sibila, M., Núñez, J. I., & Segalés, J. (2018). Current knowledge on Porcine circovirus 3 (PCV‐3): A novel virus with a yet unknown impact on the swine industry. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, *5*, 1–13. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2018.00315) [fvets.2018.00315](https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2018.00315)
- Klaumann, F., Dias‐Alves, A., Cabezón, O., Mentaberre, G., Castillo‐ Contreras, R., López‐Béjar, M., … Segalés, J. (2018). Porcine Circovirus 3 (PCV‐3) is highly prevalent in serum and tissues and

 | FRANZO et al. **1557**

may persistently infect wild boar (*Sus scrofa scrofa*). *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, *66*, 91–101. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12988) [tbed.12988](https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12988)

- Klaumann, F., Franzo, G., Sohrmann, M., Correa‐Fiz, F., Drigo, M., Núñez, J. I., … Segalés, J. (2018). Retrospective detection of Porcine cir‐ covirus 3 (PCV‐3) in pig serum samples from Spain. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, *65*, 1290–1296. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12876) [tbed.12876](https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12876)
- Ku, X., Chen, F., Li, P., Wang, Y., Yu, X., Fan, S., … He, Q. (2017). Identification and genetic characterization of porcine circovirus type 3 in China. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, *64*, 703–708. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12638>
- L.R. 6/2008, ART. 8. (2015). Approvazione del piano faunistico regionale. Massei, G., & Toso, S. (1993). Biologia e Gestione Del Cinghiale. Istituto
- nazionale per la fauna selvatica. Mihalca, A. D., & Sándor, A. D. (2013). The role of rodents in the ecology of Ixodes ricinus and associated pathogens in Central and Eastern Europe. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, *3*, 56. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2013.00056) doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2013.00056
- Moennig, V. (2015). The control of classical swine fever in wild boar. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *6*, 1211. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01211) [fmicb.2015.01211](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01211)
- National Research Council (US) Committee on Agricultural Land Use and Wildlife Resources. (1970). Wildlife damage and control. In Use and wildlife resources. Land Use and Wildlife Resources.
- OIE. (2010). Training manual on wildlife diseases and surveillance.
- Palinski, R., Piñeyro, P., Shang, P., Yuan, F., Guo, R., Fang, Y., … Hause, B. M. (2017). A novel porcine circovirus distantly related to known cir‐ coviruses is associated with porcine dermatitis and nephropathy syn‐ drome and reproductive failure. *Journal of Virology*, *91*, e01879–16. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01879-16>
- Parker, J., Rambaut, A., & Pybus, O. G. (2008). Correlating viral phe‐ notypes with phylogeny: Accounting for phylogenetic uncer‐ tainty. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, *8*, 239–246. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2007.08.001) [org/10.1016/j.meegid.2007.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2007.08.001)
- Pfäffle, M., Littwin, N., Muders, S. V., & Petney, T. N. (2013). (November): The ecology of tick‐borne diseases. *International Journal for Parasitology*, *43*, 1059–1077. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2013.06.009) [ijpara.2013.06.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2013.06.009)
- Phan, T. G., Giannitti, F., Rossow, S., Marthaler, D., Knutson, T., Li, L., … Delwart, E. (2016). Detection of a novel circovirus PCV3 in pigs with cardiac and multi‐systemic inflammation. *Virology Journal*, *13*, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12985-016-0642-z>
- Pinheiro, A. L. B. C., Bulos, L. H. S., Onofre, T. S., de Paula Gabardo, M., de Carvalho, O. V., Fausto, M. C., … Silva, A. (2013). Verification of natural infection of peridomestic rodents by PCV2 on commercial swine farms. *Research in Veterinary Science*, *94*, 764–768. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2012.10.006) [org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2012.10.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2012.10.006)
- Reg No. 157. (1992). provisions for the protection of wildlife and restric‐ tions on hunting (1992). Italy.
- Regione Autonoma Friuli Venezia Giulia. (2015). Piano faunistico regio‐ nale, 2015.
- Ronquist, F., & Huelsenbeck, J. P. (2003). MrBayes 3: Bayesian phyloge‐ netic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics*, *19*, 1572–1574. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180>
- Ruiz‐Fons, F., Segalés, J., & Gortázar, C. (2008). A review of viral dis‐ eases of the European wild boar: Effects of population dynamics and reservoir rôle. *The Veterinary Journal*, *176*, 158–169. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2007.02.017) [org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2007.02.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2007.02.017)
- Segalés, J., Allan, G. M., & Domingo, M. (2005). Porcine circovirus dis‐ eases. *Animal Health Research Reviews*, *6*, 119–142. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1079/AHR2005106) [org/10.1079/AHR2005106](https://doi.org/10.1079/AHR2005106)
- Segalés, J., Kekarainen, T., & Cortey, M. (2013). The natural history of porcine circovirus type 2: From an inoffensive virus to a devastating swine disease? *Veterinary Microbiology*, *165*, 13–20. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2012.12.033) [org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2012.12.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2012.12.033)
- Shen, H., Liu, X., Zhang, P., Wang, L., Liu, Y., Zhang, L., … Song, C. (2018). Genome characterization of a porcine circovirus type 3 in South China. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, *65*, 264–266. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12639) doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12639
- Stadejek, T., Woźniak, A., Miłek, D., & Biernacka, K. (2017). First detec‐ tion of porcine circovirus type 3 on commercial pig farms in Poland. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, *64*, 1350–1353. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12672) [org/10.1111/tbed.12672](https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12672)
- Standley, K. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software ver‐ sion 7: Improvements in performance and usability. (outlines ver‐ sion 7). *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *30*, 772–780. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010[doi]) [org/10.1093/molbev/mst010 \[doi\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010[doi])
- Tischer, I., Gelderblom, H., Vettermann, W., & Koch, M. A. (1982). A very small porcine virus with circular single‐stranded DNA. *Nature*, *295*, 64–66.<https://doi.org/10.1038/295064a0>
- Tischer, I., Rasch, R., & Tochtermann, G. (1974). Characterization of pa‐ povavirus‐and picornavirus‐like particles in permanent pig kidney cell lines. *Zentralbl Bakteriol Orig A*, *226*, 153–167.
- Treves, A., Wallace, R. B., Naughton‐Treves, L., & Morales, A. (2006). Co‐ managing human‐wildlife conflicts: A review. *Human Dimensions of Wildlife*, *11*, 383–396.<https://doi.org/10.1080/10871200600984265>
- Wang, B., Sun, L.‐D., Liu, H.‐H., Wang, Z.‐D., Zhao, Y.‐K., Wang, W., & Liu, Q. (2018). Molecular detection of novel circoviruses in ticks in north‐ eastern China. *Ticks and Tick‐borne Diseases*, *9*, 836–839. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2018.03.017) [org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2018.03.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2018.03.017)
- Zhai, S. L., Chen, S. N., Liu, W., Li, X. P., Deng, S. F., Wen, X. H., … Chen, R. A. (2016). Molecular detection and genome characterization of porcine circovirus type 2 in rats captured on commercial swine farms. *Archives of Virology*, *161*, 3237–3244. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-016-3004-7) [s00705-016-3004-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-016-3004-7)
- Zhang, W., Li, L., Deng, X., Kapusinszky, B., & Delwart, E. (2014). What is for dinner? Viral metagenomics of US store bought beef, pork, and chicken. *Virology*, *468*, 303–310. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2014.08.025) [virol.2014.08.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2014.08.025)
- Zhang, J., Liu, Z., Zou, Y., Zhang, N., Wang, D., Tu, D., … Wang, N. (2018). First molecular detection of porcine circovirus type 3 in dogs in China. *Virus Genes*, *54*, 140–144. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11262-017-1509-0) [s11262-017-1509-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11262-017-1509-0)
- Zheng, S., Wu, X., Zhang, L., Xin, C., Liu, Y., Shi, J., … Wang, J. (2017). The occurrence of porcine circovirus 3 without clinical infection signs in Shandong Province. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, *64*, 1337– 1341. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12667>

How to cite this article: Franzo G, Grassi L, Tucciarone CM, et al. A wild circulation: High presence of *Porcine circovirus* 3 in different mammalian wild hosts and ticks. *Transbound Emerg Dis*. 2019;66:1548–1557. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.13180>