

Modeling, Design and Optimization of Flexible Mechanical Systems

Erich Wehrle ^{1,*}, Iliaria Palomba ² and Renato Vidoni ¹

¹ Faculty of Science and Technology, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Universitätsplatz 1, 39100 Bozen, South Tyrol, Italy; Renato.Vidoni@unibz.it

² Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Padua, Via Venezia 1, 35131 Padua, Italy; Iliaria.Palomba@unipd.it

* Correspondence: Erich.Wehrle@unibz.it

1. Modeling, Design and Optimization of Flexible Mechanical Systems

Performance, efficiency and economy drive the design of mechanical systems and structures and has led lightweight engineering design to prominence. This push for economy of material use inherently leads to more flexibility in the components of mechanical systems, both with its opportunities and challenges. Three general categories of research contributions were identified for flexible mechanical systems in this Special Issue: design, modeling, and optimization. These will be introduced in the following.

1.1. Design

The consideration of flexibility leads to new engineering design paradigms. Flexibility can be seen both in positive and negative light; i.e., where flexibility is a design benefit or where it is a design constraint.

Performance, efficiency and economy are tied together in the Virtuous Circle of Lightweight Engineering Design, introduced in [1]. With less structural mass, the structural requirements, motorization requirements or both are reduced and therefore the structural mass can in turn be reduced again. The Virtuous Circle design philosophy is magnified when looking at dynamic systems in which self-weight is reduced and therefore inertial forces. This philosophy delivers designs to their limit, and therefore will result in designs at the maximum allowable displacement and therefore is inherently connected to flexibility.

In the design of compliant mechanisms, specific flexibility is sought. Compliant mechanisms are a class of mechanisms that utilize elastic deformation instead of hinges. These exhibit a wide range of advantages, as outlined in [2–4], which include their lightweight nature, lack of backlash, as well as increased precision and reliability. Applications include robotic grippers, e.g., [5], morphing aircraft wings, e.g., [6,7], micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS), e.g., [8]. The design of such mechanisms is an active research field that has been heightened with the growth of additive manufacturing techniques, e.g., [9].

Lighter, more flexible mechanical systems are also prone to vibration. This must therefore be considered in the design process, not just as an afterthought as often occurs, via passive or active measures. Design formulations include more traditional minimal allowable natural frequencies, designing for a specific natural frequency, e.g., [10], vibration absorption components, e.g., [11], as well as avoiding frequency ranges, e.g., [12]. A concept that has seen recent attention is the harvesting of vibrational energy, e.g., by [13] and reviewed by [14].

Natural motion is a further design philosophy that utilizes a properly designed compliance and accordingly the eigenbehavior (natural frequency and mode shape) to reduce the energy needed to perform cyclic motion, see, e.g., [15,16]. A review and categorization is provided by [17,18]. This field is set to grow in the future to properly design systems that require less energy.



Citation: Wehrle, E.; Palomba, I.; Vidoni, R. Modeling, Design and Optimization of Flexible Mechanical Systems. *Appl. Sci.* **2021**, *11*, 7124. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11157124>

Received: 26 July 2021

Accepted: 27 July 2021

Published: 1 August 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1.2. Modeling

Multibody simulation (MBS) models and analyzes the dynamic behavior of mechanical systems, especially those with kinematic constraints. Research is active in the formulation of flexible multibody dynamics, which are reviewed in [19–21]. Flexible multibody formulations include floating frame of reference formulation (FFRF) by [22], absolute nodal coordinate formulation (ANCF) by [23], absolute coordinate formulation (ACF) by [24], equivalent rigid link system (ERLS) by [25–27] and further developed by [28,29]. With further refinement, proper application and use in optimization (see below), MBS will continue to see attention.

With ever more flexibility, linear-elastic finite-element analysis is not able to properly model large deformations, rotations and strains, even if the material remains in the elastic domain. This requires the use of geometrically nonlinear finite-element analysis with its application and developments, e.g., [30].

1.3. Optimization

Design optimization is an effective method that finds a design which minimizes (or maximizes) a performance criteria (objective), while fulfilling predefined design constraints, see [31–33]. The design is defined by design variables, which can include geometrical properties (size, shape and topology), material, concept and operational parameters. The synthesis of flexible mechanical systems can be aided by numerical optimization, in the search for improved designs.

Design optimization of multibody dynamics presents challenges in regard to, i.a., sensitivity analysis, high computational effort and transient behavior. Design optimization of rigid multibody systems is handled by [34–37], while the optimization of flexible multibody dynamics is currently an active research field and reviewed in [38].

Topology optimization answers the question of where material should be optimally placed, and a method to design compliant mechanisms was introduced by [39,40]. Topology optimization of compliant mechanisms is reviewed in [41]. Active research includes consideration of nonlinear finite-element analysis, stress constraints, e.g., [42–44], material choice, e.g., [45], and extension to large-scale problems, e.g., [46].

2. Special Issue

This Special Issue offers a platform for the dissemination of the newest research to flexible mechanical systems in an open-access format. The call for papers in the special issue *Modeling, design and optimization of flexible mechanical systems in Applied Science* was open from 1 January 2020 to 31 March 2021 and received 26 manuscripts, 13 of which were selected to be published, giving a 50% approval rate. These manuscripts cover the wide range of the topics introduced above and are listed here in order of publication.

Wu et al. [47] *Dynamic analysis of spatial truss structures including sliding joint based on the geometrically exact beam theory and isogeometric analysis* introduces a NURBS-based isogeometric analysis for flexible multibody simulation and applies this to a high-speed flexible slider-crank, a sliding beam, and a spatial truss structure.

Liu et al. [48] *Kinematic modelling and experimental validation of a foldable pneumatic soft manipulator* develops and validates a numerical model with respect to shape deformation.

Noveanu et al. [49] *SiMFlex micromanipulation cell with modular structure* proposes a high-precision compliant gripper concept including finite-element analysis and experimental investigations.

Zeng et al. [50] *Dynamic behaviour of a conveyor belt considering non-uniform bulk material distribution for speed control* develops and experimentally verifies a high-precision longitudinal model to analyze dynamic behavior.

Boxberger et al. [51] *Development of everting tubular net structures using simulation for growing structures* demonstrates the use of resin to design a highly flexible structure based on analysis with non-linear finite-element analysis. The additively manufactured structure can be repeatedly everted, i.e., turned inside out, without failure.

Han et al. [52] *Iterative coordinate reduction algorithm of flexible multibody dynamics using a posteriori eigenvalue error estimation* introduces a method allowing the engineer to choose the allowable error when using model-order reduction.

Palomba et al. [53] *Minimization of the energy consumption in industrial robots through regenerative drives and optimally designed compliant elements* presents a method to retrofit mechanical systems to recover and store energy based on numerical simulation and an optimization routine.

Kim et al. [54] *Experimental and numerical investigation of solar panels deployment with tape spring hinges having nonlinear hysteresis with friction compensation* develops an experimental test and implements a multibody analysis.

Liu et al. [55] *Simulation analysis and experimental verification of the locking torque of the microgravity platform of the Chinese space station* considers the vibrational load of launch using simulation and experimental investigations.

Richiedei and Tamellin [56] *Active approaches to vibration absorption through antiresonance assignment: A comparative study* reviews and contrasts methods for the assignment of resonance frequencies and mode shapes.

Reinisch et al. [57] *Multiresolution topology optimization of large-deformation path-generation compliant mechanisms with stress constraints* introduces a methodology for the design of compliant mechanisms based on non-linear finite-element analysis.

Goubej et al. [58] *Employing finite element analysis and robust control concepts in mechatronic system design-flexible manipulator case study* analytically and numerically models a flexible benchmark for vibrational analysis, system identification and robust control.

Ge and Kou [59] *Topology optimization of multi-materials compliant mechanisms* utilizes a SIMP-based approach to the design of compliant mechanisms of multiple materials with application to standard benchmarks of the topology optimization community.

Funding: The authors are supported through the projects TN200Y COVI: *CON-finement of Vibrations by passive modifications in flexible multibody systems* and TN201Q LighOpt *Lightweight engineering of multibody systems with design optimization*, both funded by Free University of Bozen-Bolzano.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank the authors of the manuscripts submitted to this special issue. Further, thank you to the reviewers and the Applied Science team for your support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Wehrle, E.; Gufler, V. Lightweight engineering design of nonlinear dynamic systems with gradient-based structural design optimization. In *Proceedings of the Munich Symposium on Lightweight Design 2020*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2021; pp. 44–57.
2. Howell, L.L. *Compliant Mechanisms*; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 2001.
3. Lobontiu, N. *Compliant Mechanisms: Design of Flexure Hinges*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2002.
4. Zentner, L.; Linß, S. *Compliant Systems: Mechanics of Elastically Deformable Mechanisms, Actuators and Sensors*; De Gruyter: Berlin, Germany, 2019.
5. Giannaccini, M.E.; Georgilas, I.; Horsfield, I.; Peiris, B.H.P.M.; Lenz, A.; Pipe, A.G.; Dogramadzi, S. A variable compliance, soft gripper. *Auton. Robot.* **2013**, *36*, 93–107. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Kota, S.; Hetrick, J.; Osborn, R.; Paul, D.; Pendleton, E.; Flick, P.; Tilmann, C. Design and application of compliant mechanisms for morphing aircraft structures. In *Proceedings of the SPIE—Smart Structures and Materials 2003: Industrial and Commercial Applications of Smart Structures Technologies*, San Diego, CA, USA, 4–6 March 2003; SPIE: Bellington, WA, USA, 2003; pp. 24–33.
7. Sturm, F.; Achleitner, J.; Jocham, K.; Hornung, M. Studies of anisotropic wing shell concepts for a sailplane with a morphing forward wing section. In *Proceedings of the AIAA Aviation 2019 Forum*, Dallas, TX, USA, 17–21 June 2019; American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics: Dallas, TX, USA, 2019.
8. Verotti, M.; Dochshanov, A.; Belfiore, N.P. Compliance synthesis of CSFH MEMS-based microgrippers. *J. Mech. Des.* **2016**, *139*, 022301. [[CrossRef](#)]

9. Kiener, L.; Saudan, H.; Cosandier, F.; Perruchoud, G.; Pejchal, V.; Lani, S.; Rouvinet, J. Additive manufacturing: Innovative concepts of compliant mechanisms. In *Advances in Optical and Mechanical Technologies for Telescopes and Instrumentation IV*; Geyl, R., Navarro, R., Eds.; SPIE: Bellington, WA, USA, 2020.
10. Belotti, R.; Richiedei, D. Designing auxiliary systems for the inverse eigenstructure assignment in vibrating systems. *Arch. Appl. Mech.* **2017**, *87*, 171–182. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Richiedei, D.; Tamellin, I.; Trevisani, A. Beyond the Tuned Mass Damper: A Comparative Study of Passive Approaches to Vibration Absorption Through Antiresonance Assignment. In *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2021.
12. Wehrle, E.; Gufler, V.; Vidoni, R. Optimal in-operation redesign of mechanical systems considering vibrations—A new methodology based on frequency-band constraint formulation and efficient sensitivity analysis. *Machines* **2020**, *8*, 11. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Mitcheson, P.; Green, T.; Yeatman, E.; Holmes, A. Architectures for vibration-driven micropower generators. *J. Microelectromech. Syst.* **2004**, *13*, 429–440. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Wei, C.; Jing, X. A comprehensive review on vibration energy harvesting: Modelling and realization. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2017**, *74*, 1–18. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Barreto, J.P.; Schöler, F.J.F.; Corves, B. The concept of natural motion for pick and place operations. In *New Advances in Mechanisms, Mechanical Transmissions and Robotics*; Springer International Publishing: Basel, Switzerland, 2016; pp. 89–98.
16. Shushtari, M.; Nasiri, R.; Yazdanpanah, M.J.; Ahmadabadi, M.N. Compliance and frequency optimization for energy efficiency in cyclic tasks. *Robotica* **2017**, *35*, 2363–2380. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Carabin, G.; Wehrle, E.; Vidoni, R. A review on energy-saving optimization methods for robotic and automatic systems. *Robotics* **2017**, *6*, 39. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Scalera, L.; Palomba, I.; Wehrle, E.; Gasparetto, A.; Vidoni, R. Natural motion for energy saving in robotic and mechatronic systems. *Adv. Mech. Syst. Dyn.* **2019**, *9*, 3516. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Shabana, A.A. Flexible multibody dynamics: Review of past and recent developments. *Multibody Syst. Dyn.* **1997**, *1*, 189–222. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Wasfy, T.M.; Noor, A.K. Computational strategies for flexible multibody systems. *Appl. Mech. Rev.* **2003**, *56*, 553–613. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Rong, B.; Rui, X.; Tao, L.; Wang, G. Theoretical modeling and numerical solution methods for flexible multibody system dynamics. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **2019**, *98*, 1519–1553. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Shabana, A.A. *Dynamics of Multibody Systems*, 3rd ed.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2013.
23. Shabana, A.A. *An Absolute Nodal Coordinate Formulation for the Large Rotation and Deformation Analysis of Flexible Bodies*; Technical Report; Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, University of Illinois at Chicago: Chicago, IL, USA, 1996.
24. Gerstmayr, J. The absolute coordinate formulation with elasto-plastic deformations. *Multibody Syst. Dyn.* **2004**, *12*, 363–383. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Turcic, D.A.; Midha, A. Dynamic analysis of elastic mechanism systems—Part I: Applications. *J. Dyn. Syst. Meas. Control* **1984**, *106*, 249–254. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Turcic, D.A.; Midha, A. Generalized equations of motion for the dynamic analysis of elastic mechanism systems. *J. Dyn. Syst. Meas. Control* **1984**, *106*, 243–248. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Turcic, D.A.; Midha, A.; Bosnik, J.R. Dynamic analysis of elastic mechanism systems—Part II: Experimental results. *J. Dyn. Syst. Meas. Control* **1984**, *106*, 255–260. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Vidoni, R.; Gasparetto, A.; Giovagnoni, M. A method for modeling three-dimensional flexible mechanisms based on an equivalent rigid-link system. *J. Vib. Control* **2014**, *20*, 483–500. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Vidoni, R.; Gallina, P.; Boscaroli, P.; Gasparetto, A.; Giovagnoni, M. Modeling the vibration of spatial flexible mechanisms through an equivalent rigid-link system/component mode synthesis approach. *J. Vib. Control* **2017**, *23*, 1890–1907. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Grazioso, S.; Sonnevile, V.; Gironimo, G.D.; Bauchau, O.; Siciliano, B. A nonlinear finite element formalism for modelling flexible and soft manipulators. In Proceedings of the 2016 IEEE International Conference on Simulation, Modeling, and Programming for Autonomous Robots (SIMPAN), San Francisco, CA, USA, 13–16 December 2016.
31. Haftka, R.T.; Gürdal, Z. *Elements of Structural Optimization*, 3rd ed.; Kluwer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1992.
32. Baier, H.; Seeßelberg, C.; Specht, B. *Optimierung in der Strukturmechanik*; Vieweg: Braunschweig, Germany, 1994.
33. Vanderplaats, G.N. *Multidiscipline Design Optimization*; Vanderplaats Research & Development: Colorado Springs, CO, USA, 2007.
34. Bestle, D. *Analyse und Optimierung von Mehrkörpersystemen*; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 1994.
35. Bestle, D.; Eberhard, P. Analyzing and optimizing multibody systems. *Mech. Struct. Mach.* **1992**, *20*, 67–92. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Haug, E.J.; Arora, J.S. Design sensitivity analysis of elastic mechanical systems. In *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*; North-Holland: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1978; pp. 35–62.
37. Haug, E.J.; Arora, J.S. *Applied Optimal Design: Mechanical and Structural Systems*; John Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, USA, 1979.
38. Gufler, V.; Wehrle, E.; Zwölfer, A. A review of flexible multibody dynamics for gradient-based design optimization. **2021**, submitted.
39. Sigmund, O. On the design of compliant mechanisms using topology optimization. *Mech. Struct. Mach.* **1997**, *25*, 493–524. [[CrossRef](#)]

40. Bruns, T.E.; Tortorelli, D.A. Topology optimization of non-linear elastic structures and compliant mechanisms. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* **2001**, *190*, 3443–3459. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Zhu, B.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, H.; Liang, J.; Zang, H.; Li, H.; Wang, R. Design of compliant mechanisms using continuum topology optimization: A review. *Mech. Mach. Theory* **2020**, *143*, 103622. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. de Assis Pereira, A.; Cardoso, E.L. On the influence of local and global stress constraint and filtering radius on the design of hinge-free compliant mechanisms. *Struct. Multidiscip. Optim.* **2018**, *58*, 641–655. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. da Silva, G.A.; Beck, A.T.; Sigmund, O. Topology optimization of compliant mechanisms with stress constraints and manufacturing error robustness. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* **2019**, *354*, 397–421. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. De Leon, D.M.; Alexandersen, J.; Fonseca, J.S.O.; Sigmund, O. Stress-constrained topology optimization for compliant mechanism design. *Struct. Multidiscip. Optim.* **2015**, *52*, 929–943. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Achleitner, J.; Wehrle, E. On material selection for topology optimized compliant mechanisms. *Mech. Mach. Theory* **2021**, accepted.
46. Aage, N.; Andreassen, E.; Lazarov, B.S.; Sigmund, O. Giga-voxel computational morphogenesis for structural design. *Nature* **2017**, *550*, 84–86. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
47. Wu, Z.; Rong, J.; Liu, C.; Liu, Z.; Shi, W.; Xin, P.; Li, W. Dynamic analysis of spatial truss structures including sliding joint based on the geometrically exact beam theory and isogeometric analysis. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 1231. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Liu, Z.; Zhang, X.; Liu, H.; Chen, Y.; Huang, Y.; Chen, X. Kinematic modelling and experimental validation of a foldable pneumatic soft manipulator. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 1447. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Noveanu, S.; Ivan, I.A.; Noveanu, D.C.; Rusu, C.; Lates, D. SiMFlex micromanipulation cell with modular structure. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 2861. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Zeng, F.; Yan, C.; Wu, Q.; Wang, T. Dynamic behaviour of a conveyor belt considering non-uniform bulk material distribution for speed control. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 4436. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Boxberger, L.; Weisheit, L.; Hensel, S.; Schellnock, J.; Mattheß, D.; Riedel, F.; Drossel, W.G. Development of everting tubular net structures using simulation for growing structures. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 6466. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. Han, S.; Kim, J.G.; Choi, J.; Choi, J.H. Iterative coordinate reduction algorithm of flexible multibody dynamics using a posteriori eigenvalue error estimation. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 7143. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Palomba, I.; Wehrle, E.; Carabin, G.; Vidoni, R. Minimization of the energy consumption in industrial robots through regenerative drives and optimally designed compliant elements. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 7475. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Kim, D.Y.; Choi, H.S.; Lim, J.H.; Kim, K.W.; Jeong, J. Experimental and numerical investigation of solar panels deployment with tape spring hinges having nonlinear hysteresis with friction compensation. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 7902. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Liu, G.; Luo, H.; Yu, C.; Wang, H.; Meng, L. Simulation analysis and experimental verification of the locking torque of the microgravity platform of the Chinese space station. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 102. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Richiedei, D.; Tamellini, I. Active approaches to vibration absorption through antiresonance assignment: A comparative study. *Appl. Sci.* **2021**, *11*, 1091. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Reinisch, J.; Wehrle, E.; Achleitner, J. Multiresolution topology optimization of large-deformation path-generation compliant mechanisms with stress constraints. *Appl. Sci.* **2021**, *11*, 2479. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. Goubej, M.; Königsmarková, J.; Kampinga, R.; Nieuwenkamp, J.; Paquay, S. Employing finite element analysis and robust control concepts in mechatronic system design-flexible manipulator case study. *Appl. Sci.* **2021**, *11*, 3689. [[CrossRef](#)]
59. Ge, W.; Kou, X. Topology optimization of multi-materials compliant mechanisms. *Appl. Sci.* **2021**, *11*, 3828. [[CrossRef](#)]