

SHEAVES ON \mathcal{T} -TOPOLOGIES

MÁRIO J. EDMUNDO AND LUCA PRELLI

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to give a unifying description of various constructions of sites (subanalytic, semialgebraic, o-minimal) and consider the corresponding theory of sheaves. The method used applies to a more general context and gives new results in semialgebraic and o-minimal sheaf theory.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Sheaves on locally weakly quasi-compact spaces	3
1.1. Locally weakly quasi-compact spaces	3
1.2. Sheaves on locally weakly quasi-compact spaces	4
1.3. c-soft sheaves on locally weakly quasi-compact spaces	9
2. Sheaves on \mathcal{T} -spaces.	10
2.1. \mathcal{T} -sheaves	11
2.2. \mathcal{T} -coherent sheaves	13
2.3. \mathcal{T} -flabby sheaves	17
2.4. \mathcal{T} -sheaves on locally weakly quasi-compact spaces	21
2.5. \mathcal{T}_{loc} -sheaves	24
2.6. \mathcal{T} -spectrum	26
3. Examples	28
3.1. The semialgebraic site	28
3.2. The subanalytic site	28
3.3. The conic subanalytic site	29
3.4. The o-minimal site	29
References	30

INTRODUCTION

Sheaf theory in some tame contexts such as semi-algebraic geometry ([10]), subanalytic geometry ([28, 35]) and o-minimal geometry ([19]) has had recently different applications in various fields of mathematics such as model theory [4, 5, 20], analysis [28, 30, 31, 36] and representation theory [1, 2, 37]. Each one of the above

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18F20; 18F10.

The first author was supported by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, Financiamento Base 2008 - ISFL/1/209. The second author is a member of the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM) and was supported by Marie Curie grant PIEF-GA-2010-272021. This work is part of the FCT project PTDC/MAT/101740/2008.

Keywords and phrases: Sheaf theory, Grothendieck topologies.

theories is very useful for the mentioned applications but has some elements which are missing in the other ones: the aim of this paper is to give a unifying description of all these various constructions (subanalytic, semialgebraic, o-minimal) using a modification of the notion of \mathcal{T} -topology introduced by Kashiwara and Schapira in [28].

The idea is the following: on a topological space X one chooses a subfamily \mathcal{T} of open subsets of X satisfying some suitable hypothesis, and for each $U \in \mathcal{T}$ one defines the category of coverings of U as the topological coverings $\{U_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{T}$ of U admitting a finite subcover. In this way one defines a site $X_{\mathcal{T}}$ and studies the category of sheaves on $X_{\mathcal{T}}$ (called $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$). This idea was already present in [28]. However in [28], the space X is assumed to be Hausdorff, locally compact and the elements of \mathcal{T} are assumed to have finitely many connected components.

The exigence to treat in a unifying way all the previous constructions, to treat also some non Hausdorff cases (as conic subanalytic sheaves which are related to the extension of the Fourier-Sato transform [36]) and the non-standard setting which appears naturally in the o-minimal context (where the elements of \mathcal{T} are totally disconnected and never locally compact), motivates a modification of the definition of [28]. In particular, in our definition we replace “connectedness” by the notion of \mathcal{T} -connectedness (which in the standard o-minimal context is connectedness). Remark that there are many important o-minimal expansions

$$\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{R}, <, 0, 1, +, \cdot, (f)_{f \in \mathcal{F}})$$

of the ordered field of real numbers. For example \mathbb{R}_{an} , \mathbb{R}_{exp} , $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an, exp}}$, \mathbb{R}_{an^*} , $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an}^*, \text{exp}}$ see resp., [12, 40, 15, 17, 18]. For each such we have 2^κ many non-isomorphic non standard o-minimal models for each $\kappa >$ cardinality of the language. There is however a non-standard o-minimal structure

$$\mathcal{M} = \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}((t^{\frac{1}{n}})), <, 0, 1, +, \cdot, (f_p)_{p \in \mathbb{R}[[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n]]} \right)$$

which does not come from a standard one ([32, 23]).

With this more general notion of \mathcal{T} -space X we study the category of sheaves on the site $X_{\mathcal{T}}$. The natural functor of sites $\rho : X \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{T}}$ induces relations between the categories of sheaves on X and $X_{\mathcal{T}}$, given by the functors ρ_* and ρ^{-1} . The functor ρ_* is fully faithful. Moreover when X is locally weakly quasi-compact there is a right adjoint to the functor ρ^{-1} , denoted by $\rho_!$. The functor $\rho_!$ is exact, commutes with \varinjlim and \otimes and is fully faithful. We introduce the category of \mathcal{T} -flabby sheaves (known as *sa*-flabby in [10] and as quasi-injective in [35]): $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ is \mathcal{T} -flabby if the restriction $\Gamma(U; F) \rightarrow \Gamma(V; F)$ is surjective for each $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$ with $U \supseteq V$. We prove that \mathcal{T} -flabby sheaves are stable under \varinjlim and \otimes and are acyclic with respect to the functor $\Gamma(U; \bullet)$, for $U \in \mathcal{T}$. More generally, if one introduces the category $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T}) \subset \text{Mod}(k_X)$ of coherent sheaves (i.e. sheaves admitting a finite resolution consisting of finite sums of k_{U_i} , $U_i \in \mathcal{T}$), then \mathcal{T} -flabby sheaves are acyclic with respect to $\text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\rho_* G, \bullet)$, for $G \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$. Coherent sheaves also give a description of sheaves on $X_{\mathcal{T}}$: for each $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ there exists a filtrant inductive family $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that $F \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i$. In fact, we have an equivalence

between the categories $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ and $\text{Ind}(\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T}))$ the indization of the category $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$.

All of the above results and methods are new in the o-minimal context and most of them are new even in the semialgebraic case as well. On the other hand, we also introduce a method for studying the category $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ of sheaves on \mathcal{T} -spaces which is the fundamental tool in the semialgebraic and o-minimal case, namely, we prove that as in [19] the category of sheaves on $X_{\mathcal{T}}$ is equivalent to the category of sheaves on a locally quasi-compact space $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$, the \mathcal{T} -spectrum of X , which generalizes the notion of o-minimal spectrum as well as the real spectrum of commutative rings from real algebraic geometry. In particular, sheaves on the subanalytic site are sheaves on the \mathcal{T} -spectrum associated to the family of relatively compact subanalytic subsets. Such a result was not present in [28].

This theory can then be specialized to each of the examples we mentioned above: when \mathcal{T} is the category of semialgebraic open subsets of a locally semialgebraic space X we obtain the constructions (and the generalizations) of results of [10], in particular, when X is a Nash manifold, we recover the setting of [37]. When \mathcal{T} is the category of relatively compact subanalytic open subsets of a real analytic manifold X we obtain the constructions and results of [28, 35]. Moreover, when \mathcal{T} is the category of conic subanalytic open subsets of a real analytic manifold X we obtain a suitable category of conic subanalytic sheaves considered in [36]. Finally, when \mathcal{T} is the category of definable open subsets of a locally definable space X we obtain in the definable case the constructions of [19] and we obtain new results in the o-minimal context generalizing those of the two previous cases.

The paper is organized in the following way. In Section 1 we introduce the locally weakly quasi-compact spaces and study some properties of sheaves on such spaces. The results of this section will be used in two crucial ways on the theory of sheaves on \mathcal{T} -spaces, they are required to show that: (i) when a \mathcal{T} -space X is locally weakly quasi-compact, then there is a right adjoint $\rho_!$ to the functor ρ^{-1} induced by the natural functor of sites $\rho : X \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{T}}$; (ii) for a \mathcal{T} -space X , the category of sheaves on $X_{\mathcal{T}}$ is equivalent to the category of sheaves on a locally quasi-compact space $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$, the \mathcal{T} -spectrum of X . In Section 2 we introduce the \mathcal{T} -spaces and develop the theory of sheaves on such spaces as already described above.

1. SHEAVES ON LOCALLY WEAKLY QUASI-COMPACT SPACES

Let X be a non necessarily Hausdorff topological space. One denotes by $\text{Op}(X)$ the category whose objects are the open subsets of X and the morphisms are the inclusions. In this section we generalize some classical results about sheaves on locally compact spaces. For classical sheaf theory our basic reference is [26]. We refer to [39] for an introduction to sheaves on Grothendieck topologies.

1.1. Locally weakly quasi-compact spaces.

Definition 1.1.1. *An open subset U of X is said to be relatively weakly quasi-compact in X if, for any covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of X , there exists $J \subset I$ finite, such that $U \subset \bigcup_{i \in J} U_i$.*

We will write for short $U \subset\subset X$ to say that U is a relatively weakly quasi-compact open set in X , and we will call $\text{Op}^c(U)$ the subcategory of $\text{Op}(U)$ consisting of open sets $V \subset\subset U$. Note that, given $V, W \in \text{Op}^c(U)$, then $V \cup W \in \text{Op}^c(U)$.

Definition 1.1.2. *A topological space X is locally weakly quasi-compact if satisfies the following hypothesis for every $U, V \in \text{Op}(X)$*

- LWC1. *Every $x \in U$ has a fundamental neighborhood system $\{V_i\}$ with $V_i \in \text{Op}^c(U)$.*
 LWC2. *For every $U' \in \text{Op}^c(U)$ and $V' \in \text{Op}^c(V)$ one has $U' \cap V' \in \text{Op}^c(U \cap V)$.*
 LWC3. *For every $U' \in \text{Op}^c(U)$ there exists $W \in \text{Op}^c(U)$ such that $U' \subset\subset W$.*

Of course an open subset U of a locally weakly quasi-compact space X is also a locally weakly quasi-compact space. Let us consider some examples of locally weakly quasi-compact spaces:

Example 1.1.3. A locally compact topological space X is a locally weakly quasi-compact. In this case, for $U, V \in \text{Op}(X)$ we have $V \subset\subset U$ if and only if V is relatively compact subset of U .

Example 1.1.4. Let X be a topological space with a basis of quasi-compact (i.e. each open covering admits a finite subcover) open subsets closed under taking finite intersections. Then X is locally weakly quasi-compact and, for $U, V \in \text{Op}(X)$ we have $V \subset\subset U$ if and only if V is contained in a quasi-compact subset of U . In this situation we have the following particular cases:

- (i) X is a Noetherian topological space (each open subset of X is quasi-compact). This includes in particular: (a) algebraic varieties over algebraically closed fields; (b) complex varieties (reduced, irreducible complex analytic spaces) with the Zariski topology.
- (ii) X is a spectral topological space (in addition: (i) X is quasi-compact; (ii) T_0 ; (iii) every irreducible closed subset is the closure of a unique point). This includes in particular: (a) real algebraic varieties over real closed fields; (b) the o-minimal spectrum of a definable space in some o-minimal structure.
- (iii) X is an increasing union of open spectral topological spaces X_i 's, i.e. X is the space $\bigcup_{i \in I} X_i$. This space X has a basis of quasi-compact open subsets closed under taking finite intersections and in addition is: (i) not quasi-compact in general unless I is finite; (ii) T_0 . This includes in particular: (a) the semialgebraic spectrum of locally semialgebraic space; (b) more generally, the o-minimal spectrum of a locally definable space in some o-minimal structure.

Example 1.1.5. Let E be a real vector bundle over a locally compact space Z endowed with the natural action μ of \mathbb{R}^+ (the multiplication on the fibers). Let $\dot{E} = E \setminus Z$, and for $U \in \text{Op}(E)$ set $U_Z = U \cap Z$ and $\dot{U} = U \cap \dot{E}$. Let $E_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ denote the space E endowed with the conic topology i.e. open sets of $E_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ are open sets of E which are μ -invariant. With this topology $E_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a locally weakly quasi-compact space and, for $U, V \in \text{Op}(E_{\mathbb{R}^+})$ we have $V \subset\subset U$ if and only if $V_Z \subset\subset U_Z$ in Z and $\dot{V} \subset\subset \dot{U}$ in $\dot{E}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ (the later is \dot{E} with the induced conic topology).

1.2. Sheaves on locally weakly quasi-compact spaces. Recall that X is a non necessarily Hausdorff topological space.

Definition 1.2.1. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\mathcal{U}' = \{U'_j\}_{j \in J}$ be two families of open subsets of X . One says that \mathcal{U}' is a refinement of \mathcal{U} if for each $U_i \in \mathcal{U}$ there is $U'_j \in \mathcal{U}'$ with $U'_j \subseteq U_i$.

One denotes by $\text{Cov}(U)$ the category whose objects are the coverings of $U \in \text{Op}(X)$ and the morphisms are the refinements, and by $\text{Cov}^f(U)$ its full subcategory consisting of finite coverings of U .

Given $V \in \text{Op}(U)$ and $S \in \text{Cov}(U)$, one sets $S \cap V = \{U \cap V\}_{U \in S} \in \text{Cov}(V)$.

Definition 1.2.2. The site X^f on the topological space X is the category $\text{Op}(X)$ endowed with the following topology: $S \subset \text{Op}(U)$ is a covering of U if and only if it has a refinement $S^f \in \text{Cov}^f(U)$.

Definition 1.2.3. Let $U, V \in \text{Op}(X)$ with $V \subset U$. Given $S = \{U_i\}_{i \in I} \in \text{Cov}(U)$ and $T = \{V_j\}_{j \in J} \in \text{Cov}(V)$, we write $T \subset\subset S$ if T is a refinement of $S \cap V$, and $V_j \subset U_i$ if and only if $V_j \subset\subset U_i$.

Let us recall the definitions of presheaf and sheaf on a site.

Definition 1.2.4. A presheaf of k -modules on X is a functor $\text{Op}(X)^{op} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(k)$. A morphism of presheaves is a morphism of such functors. One denotes by $\text{Psh}(k_X)$ the category of presheaves of k -modules on X .

Let $F \in \text{Psh}(k_X)$, and let $S \in \text{Cov}(U)$. One sets

$$F(S) = \ker \left(\prod_{W \in S} F(W) \rightrightarrows \prod_{W', W'' \in S} F(W' \cap W'') \right).$$

Definition 1.2.5. A presheaf F is separated (resp. is a sheaf) if for any $U \in \text{Op}(X)$ and for any $S \in \text{Cov}(U)$ the natural morphism $F(U) \rightarrow F(S)$ is a monomorphism (resp. an isomorphism). One denotes by $\text{Mod}(k_X)$ the category of sheaves of k -modules on X .

Let $F \in \text{Psh}(k_X)$, one defines the presheaf F^+ by setting

$$F^+(U) = \varinjlim_{S \in \text{Cov}(U)} F(S).$$

One can show that F^+ is a separated presheaf and if F is a separated presheaf, then F^+ is a sheaf. Let $F \in \text{Psh}(k_X)$, the sheaf F^{++} is called the sheaf associated to the presheaf F .

Lemma 1.2.6. For $F \in \text{Psh}(k_X)$, and let $U \in \text{Op}(X)$. If F is a sheaf on X^f , then for any $V \in \text{Op}^c(U)$ the morphism

$$(1.1) \quad F^+(U) \rightarrow F^+(V)$$

factors through $F(V)$.

Proof. Let $S \in \text{Cov}(U)$, and set $S \cap V = \{W \cap V\}_{W \in S}$. Since $V \in \text{Op}^c(U)$, there is a finite refinement $T^f \in \text{Cov}^f(V)$ of $S \cap V$. Then the morphism (1.1) is

defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
F^+(U) &\simeq \varinjlim_{S \in \text{Cov}(U)} F(S) \\
&\rightarrow \varinjlim_{S \in \text{Cov}(U)} F(S \cap V) \\
&\rightarrow \varinjlim_{T^f \in \text{Cov}^f(V)} F(T^f) \\
&\rightarrow \varinjlim_{T \in \text{Cov}(V)} F(T) \\
&\simeq F^+(V).
\end{aligned}$$

The result follows because $F(T^f) \simeq F(V)$. \square

Corollary 1.2.7. *With the hypothesis of Lemma 1.2.6, we consider two coverings $S \in \text{Cov}(U)$ and $T \in \text{Cov}(V)$. If $T \subset\subset S$, then the morphism*

$$(1.2) \quad F^+(S) \rightarrow F^+(T)$$

factors through $F(T)$. In particular, if T is finite, then the morphism (1.2) factors through $F(V)$.

From now on we will assume the following hypothesis:

(1.3) the topological space X is locally weakly quasi-compact.

Lemma 1.2.8. *Let $U \in \text{Op}(X)$, and consider a subset $V \subset\subset U$. Then for any $S^f \in \text{Cov}^f(U)$ there exists $T^f \in \text{Cov}^f(V)$ with $T^f \subset\subset S^f$.*

Proof. Let $S^f = \{U_i\}$. For each $x \in U$ and $U_i \ni x$, consider a $V_{x,i} \in \text{Op}^c(U_i)$ containing x . Set $V_x = \bigcap_i V_{x,i}$, the family $\{V_x\}$ forms a covering of U . Then there exists a finite subfamily $\{V_j\}$ containing V . By construction $V_j \cap V \subset\subset U_i$ whenever $V_j \subset U_i$. \square

Lemma 1.2.9. *Let $F \in \text{Psh}(k_X)$, and let $U \in \text{Op}(X)$. If F is a sheaf on X^f , then for any $V \in \text{Op}^c(U)$ the morphism*

$$(1.4) \quad F^{++}(U) \rightarrow F^{++}(V)$$

factors through $F(V)$.

Proof. Since X is locally weakly quasi-compact, there exists $W \in \text{Op}^c(U)$ with $V \subset\subset W$. As in Lemma 1.2.6 we obtain a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
F^{++}(U) & \longrightarrow & F^{++}(W) & \longrightarrow & F^{++}(V) \\
\downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\
\varinjlim_{S^f \in \text{Cov}^f(W)} F^+(S^f) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim_{T^f \in \text{Cov}^f(V)} F^+(T^f) & &
\end{array}$$

Since X is locally weakly quasi-compact then by Lemma 1.2.8 for any $S^f \in \text{Cov}^f(W)$ there exists $T^f \in \text{Cov}^f(V)$ with $T^f \subset\subset S^f$. By Corollary 1.2.7 the morphism

$$F^+(S^f) \rightarrow F^+(T^f)$$

factors through $F(T^f) \simeq F(V)$. Then the morphism

$$\varinjlim_{S^f \in \text{Cov}^f(W)} F^+(S^f) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{T^f \in \text{Cov}^f(V)} F^+(T^f)$$

factors through $F(V)$ and the result follows. \square

Corollary 1.2.10. *Let $F \in \text{Psh}(k_X)$. If F is a sheaf on X^f , then:*

- (i) *for any $V \in \text{Op}^c(X)$ one has the isomorphism $\varinjlim_{U \supset \supset V} F(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_{U \supset \supset V} F^{++}(U)$.*
- (ii) *for any $U \in \text{Op}(X)$ one has the isomorphism $\varprojlim_{V \subset \subset U} F(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{V \subset \subset U} F^{++}(V)$.*

Proof. (i) By Lemma 1.2.9 for each $U \in \text{Op}(X)$ with $U \supset \supset V$ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^{++}(U) & \longrightarrow & F^{++}(V) \\ \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow \\ F(U) & \longrightarrow & F(V) \end{array}$$

This implies that the identity morphism of $\varinjlim_{U \supset \supset V} F(U)$ factors through $\varinjlim_{U \supset \supset V} F^{++}(U)$.

On the other hand this also implies that the identity morphism of $\varinjlim_{U \supset \supset V} F^{++}(U)$

factors through $\varinjlim_{U \supset \supset V} F(U)$. Then $\varinjlim_{U \supset \supset V} F(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_{U \supset \supset V} F^{++}(U)$.

The proof of (ii) is similar. \square

Corollary 1.2.11. *Let X be a quasi-compact and locally weakly quasi-compact space, and let $F \in \text{Psh}(k_X)$. If F is a sheaf on X^f , then the natural morphism*

$$(1.5) \quad F(X) \rightarrow F^{++}(X)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. It follows immediately from Corollary 1.2.10 (i) with $V = X$. \square

Let $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a filtrant inductive system in $\text{Mod}(k_X)$. One sets

$$\begin{aligned} \text{“}\varinjlim\text{”} F_i &= \text{inductive limit in the category of presheaves,} \\ \varinjlim_i F_i &= \text{inductive limit in the category of sheaves.} \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\varinjlim_i F_i = (\text{“}\varinjlim\text{”} F_i)^{++}$.

Proposition 1.2.12. *Let $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a filtrant inductive system in $\text{Mod}(k_X)$ and let $U \in \text{Op}(X)$. Then for any $V \in \text{Op}^c(U)$ the morphism*

$$\Gamma(U; \varinjlim_i F_i) \rightarrow \Gamma(V; \varinjlim_i F_i)$$

factors through $\varinjlim_i \Gamma(V; F_i)$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.2.9 it is enough to show that “ \varinjlim ” F_i is a sheaf on X^f . Let $U \in \text{Op}(X)$ and $S \in \text{Cov}^f(U)$. Since \varinjlim commutes with finite projective limits we obtain the isomorphism $(\varinjlim F_i)(S) \simeq \varinjlim F_i(S)$. The result follows because $F_i \in \text{Mod}(k_X)$ for each $i \in I$. \square

Corollary 1.2.13. *Let $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a filtrant inductive system in $\text{Mod}(k_X)$.*

- (i) *For any $V \in \text{Op}^c(X)$ one has the isomorphism $\varinjlim_{U \supset \supset V, i} \Gamma(U; F_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_{U \supset \supset V} \Gamma(U; \varinjlim_i F_i)$.*
- (ii) *For any $U \in \text{Op}(X)$ one has the isomorphism $\varprojlim_{V \subset \subset U} \varinjlim_i \Gamma(V; F_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{V \subset \subset U} \Gamma(V; \varinjlim_i F_i)$.*

Proof. It follows from Corollary 1.2.10 with $F = \varinjlim_i F_i$. \square

Corollary 1.2.14. *Let X be a quasi-compact and locally weakly quasi-compact space. Then the natural morphism*

$$\varinjlim_i \Gamma(X; F_i) \rightarrow \Gamma(X; \varinjlim_i F_i)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. It follows from Corollary 1.2.11 with $F = \varinjlim_i F_i$. \square

Example 1.2.15. *Let us consider the formula*

$$(1.6) \quad \varinjlim_{U \supset \supset V, i} \Gamma(U; F_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_{U \supset \supset V} \Gamma(U; \varinjlim_i F_i)$$

- (i) *Let X be a Noetherian space and let $V \in \text{Op}(X)$. Then $\Gamma(V; F) \simeq \varinjlim_{U \supset \supset V} \Gamma(U; F)$, since every open set is quasi-compact and (1.6) becomes $\varinjlim_i \Gamma(V; F_i) \simeq \Gamma(V; \varinjlim_i F_i)$.*
- (ii) *Assume that X has a basis of quasi-compact open subsets and let $V \in \text{Op}^c(X)$. Then V is contained in a quasi-compact open subset of X and $\varinjlim_{U \supset \supset V} \Gamma(U; F) \simeq \varinjlim_{W \supset \supset V} \Gamma(W; F)$, where W ranges through the family of quasi-compact subsets of X .*
- (iii) *Let X be a locally compact space and let $V \in \text{Op}^c(X)$. Then $\Gamma(\overline{V}; F) \simeq \varinjlim_{U \supset \supset V} \Gamma(U; F)$, and (1.6) becomes $\varinjlim_i \Gamma(\overline{V}; F_i) \simeq \Gamma(\overline{V}; \varinjlim_i F_i)$.*
- (iv) *Let $E_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ be a vector bundle endowed with the conic topology, and let $V \in \text{Op}^c(E_{\mathbb{R}^+})$. Then $\varinjlim_{U \supset \supset V} \Gamma(U; F) \simeq \Gamma(K; F)$, where K is the union of the closures of V_Z in Z and \dot{V} in $\dot{E}_{\mathbb{R}^+}$, and (1.6) becomes $\varinjlim_i \Gamma(K; F_i) \simeq \Gamma(K; \varinjlim_i F_i)$.*

Lemma 1.2.16. *Let $F \in \text{Psh}(k_X)$. Then we have the isomorphism*

$$\varprojlim_{V \subset \subset X} \varinjlim_{V \subset \subset W} F(W) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{V \subset \subset X} F(V).$$

Proof. The result follows since for each $V \in \text{Op}^c(X)$ there exists $W \in \text{Op}^c(X)$ such that $V \subset\subset W$ since X is locally weakly compact. Let $U, V \subset\subset X$ such that $U \supset\supset V$. The restriction morphism $F(U) \rightarrow F(V)$ factors through $\varinjlim_{W \supset\supset V} F(W)$. Taking the projective limit we obtain the result. \square

Remark 1.2.17. *The notion of locally weakly quasi-compact can be extended to the case of a site, by generalizing the hypothesis LWC1-LWC3. For our purpose we are interested in the topological setting and we refer to [34] for this approach.*

1.3. c-soft sheaves on locally weakly quasi-compact spaces. Let X be a locally weakly quasi-compact space, and consider the category $\text{Mod}(k_X)$.

Definition 1.3.1. *We say that a sheaf F on X is c-soft if the restriction morphism $\Gamma(W; F) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{U \supset\supset V} \Gamma(U; F)$ is surjective for each $V, W \in \text{Op}^c(X)$ with $V \subset\subset W$.*

It follows from the definition that injective sheaves and flabby sheaves are c-soft. Moreover, it follows from Corollary 1.2.13 that filtrant inductive limits of c-soft sheaves are c-soft.

Proposition 1.3.2. *Let $0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\text{Mod}(k_X)$, and assume that F' is c-soft. Then the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \varinjlim_{U \supset\supset V} \Gamma(U; F') \rightarrow \varinjlim_{U \supset\supset V} \Gamma(U; F) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{U \supset\supset V} \Gamma(U; F'') \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for any $V \in \text{Op}^c(X)$.

Proof. Let $s'' \in \varinjlim_{U \supset\supset V} \Gamma(U; F'')$. Then there exists $U \supset\supset V$ such that s'' is represented by $s''_U \in \Gamma(U; F'')$. Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I} \in \text{Cov}(U)$ such that there exists $s_i \in \Gamma(U_i; F)$ whose image is $s''_U|_{U_i}$ for each i . There exists $W \in \text{Op}^c(U)$ with $W \supset\supset V$, a finite covering $\{W_j\}_{j=1}^n$ of W and a map $\varepsilon : J \rightarrow I$ of the index sets such that $W_j \subset\subset U_{\varepsilon(j)}$. We may argue by induction on n . If $n = 2$, set $U_i = U_{\varepsilon(i)}$, $i = 1, 2$. Then $(s_1 - s_2)|_{U_1 \cap U_2}$ belongs to $\Gamma(U_1 \cap U_2; F')$, and its restriction defines an element of $\varinjlim_{W' \supset\supset W_1 \cap W_2} \Gamma(W'; F')$, hence it extends to $s' \in \Gamma(U; F')$. By replacing s_1 with $s_1 - s'$ on W_1 we may assume that $s_1 = s_2$ on $W_1 \cap W_2$. Then there exists $s \in \Gamma(W_1 \cup W_2; F)$ with $s|_{W_i} = s_i$. Thus the induction proceeds. \square

Proposition 1.3.3. *Let $0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\text{Mod}(k_X)$, and assume F', F c-soft. Then F'' is c-soft.*

Proof. Let $V, W \in \text{Op}^c(X)$ with $V \subset\subset W$ and let us consider the diagram below

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(W; F) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(W; F'') \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \gamma \\ \varinjlim_{U \supset\supset V} \Gamma(U; F) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \varinjlim_{U \supset\supset V} \Gamma(U; F''). \end{array}$$

The morphism α is surjective since F is c-soft and β is surjective by Proposition 1.3.2. Then γ is surjective. \square

Proposition 1.3.4. *The family of c-soft sheaves is injective respect to the functor $\varinjlim_{U \supset \supset V} \Gamma(U; \bullet)$ for each $V \in \text{Op}^c(X)$.*

Proof. The family of c-soft sheaves contains injective sheaves, hence it is co-generating. Then the result follows from Propositions 1.3.2 and 1.3.3. \square

Assume the following hypothesis

(1.7) X has a countable cover $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $U_n \in \text{Op}^c(X)$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 1.3.5. *Assume (1.7). Then there exists a covering $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of X such that $V_n \subset \subset V_{n+1}$ and $V_n \in \text{Op}^c(X)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable cover of X with $U_n \in \text{Op}^c(X)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $V_1 = U_1$. Given $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^n$ with $V_{i+1} \supset \supset V_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, let us construct $V_{n+1} \supset \supset V_n$. Consider $x \notin V_n$. Up to take a permutation of \mathbb{N} we may assume $x \in U_{n+1}$. Since X is locally weakly quasi-compact there exists $V_{n+1} \in \text{Op}^c(X)$ such that $V_n \cup U_{n+1} \subset \subset V_{n+1}$. \square

Proposition 1.3.6. *Assume (1.7). Then the category of c-soft sheaves is injective respect to the functor $\Gamma(X; \bullet)$.*

Proof. Take an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0$, and suppose F' c-soft. By Lemma 1.3.5 there exists a covering $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of X such that $V_n \subset \subset V_{n+1}$ (and $V_n \in \text{Op}^c(X)$) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. All the sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \varinjlim_{U_n \supset \supset V_n} \Gamma(U_n; F') \rightarrow \varinjlim_{U_n \supset \supset V_n} \Gamma(U_n; F) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{U_n \supset \supset V_n} \Gamma(U_n; F'') \rightarrow 0$$

are exact by Proposition 1.3.2, and the morphism $\varinjlim_{U_{n+1} \supset \supset V_{n+1}} \Gamma(U_{n+1}; F') \rightarrow \varinjlim_{U_n \supset \supset V_n} \Gamma(U_n; F')$

is surjective for all n . Then by Proposition 1.12.3 of [26] the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \varinjlim_{U_n \supset \supset V_n} \Gamma(U_n; F') \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \varinjlim_{U_n \supset \supset V_n} \Gamma(U_n; F) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \varinjlim_{U_n \supset \supset V_n} \Gamma(U_n; F'') \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. By Lemma 1.2.16 $\varprojlim_n \varinjlim_{U_n \supset \supset V_n} \Gamma(U_n; G) \simeq \Gamma(X; G)$ for any $G \in \text{Mod}(k_X)$

and the result follows. \square

Example 1.3.7. Let us consider some particular cases

- (i) When X is Noetherian c-soft sheaves are flabby sheaves.
- (ii) When X has a basis of quasi-compact open subsets, then $F \in \text{Mod}(k_X)$ is c-soft if the restriction morphism $\Gamma(U; F) \rightarrow \Gamma(V; F)$ is surjective, for any quasi-compact open subsets U, V of X with $U \supseteq V$.
- (iii) When X is a locally compact space countable at infinity, then we recover c-soft sheaves as in chapter II of [26].
- (iv) When $E_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a vector bundle endowed with the conic topology, then $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{E_{\mathbb{R}^+}})$ is c-soft if the restriction morphism $\Gamma(E_{\mathbb{R}^+}; F) \rightarrow \Gamma(K; F)$ is surjective, where K is defined as in Example 1.2.15.

2. SHEAVES ON \mathcal{T} -SPACES.

In the following we shall assume that k is a field and X is a topological space. Below we give the definition of \mathcal{T} -space, adapting the construction of Kashiwara and

Schapira [28]. We study the category of sheaves on $X_{\mathcal{T}}$ generalizing results already known in the case of subanalytic sheaves. Then we prove that as in [19] the category of sheaves on $X_{\mathcal{T}}$ is equivalent to the category of sheaves on a locally weakly-compact topological space $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$, the \mathcal{T} -spectrum, which generalizes the notion of o-minimal spectrum.

2.1. \mathcal{T} -sheaves. Let X be a topological space and let us consider a family \mathcal{T} of open subsets of X .

Definition 2.1.1. *The topological space X is a \mathcal{T} -space if the family \mathcal{T} satisfies the hypotheses below*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} (i) \ \mathcal{T} \text{ is a basis for the topology of } X, \text{ and } \emptyset \in \mathcal{T}, \\ (ii) \ \mathcal{T} \text{ is closed under finite unions and intersections,} \\ (iii) \ \text{every } U \in \mathcal{T} \text{ has finitely many } \mathcal{T}\text{-connected components,} \end{cases}$$

where we define:

- a \mathcal{T} -subset is a finite Boolean combination of elements of \mathcal{T} ;
- a closed (resp. open) \mathcal{T} -subset is a \mathcal{T} -subset which is closed (resp. open) in X ;
- a \mathcal{T} -connected subset is a \mathcal{T} -subset which is not the disjoint union of two proper \mathcal{T} -subsets which are closed and open.

Example 2.1.2. Let $R = (R, <, 0, 1, +, \cdot)$ be a real closed field. Let X be a locally semialgebraic space ([10, 11]) and consider the subfamily of $\text{Op}(X)$ defined by $\mathcal{T} = \{U \in \text{Op}(X) : U \text{ is semialgebraic}\}$. The family \mathcal{T} satisfy (2.1). Note also that the \mathcal{T} -subsets of X are exactly the semialgebraic subsets of X ([7]).

Example 2.1.3. Let X be a real analytic manifold and consider the subfamily of $\text{Op}(X)$ defined by $\mathcal{T} = \text{Op}^c(X_{sa}) = \{U \in \text{Op}(X_{sa}) : U \text{ is subanalytic relatively compact}\}$. The family \mathcal{T} satisfies (2.1).

Example 2.1.4. Let X be a real analytic manifold endowed with a subanalytic action μ of \mathbb{R}^+ . In other words we have a subanalytic map

$$\mu : X \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow X,$$

which satisfies, for each $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$:

$$\begin{cases} \mu(x, t_1 t_2) = \mu(\mu(x, t_1), t_2), \\ \mu(x, 1) = x. \end{cases}$$

Denote by $X_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ the topological space X endowed with the conic topology, i.e. $U \in \text{Op}(X_{\mathbb{R}^+})$ if it is open for the topology of X and invariant by the action of \mathbb{R}^+ . We will denote by $\text{Op}^c(X_{\mathbb{R}^+})$ the subcategory of $\text{Op}(X_{\mathbb{R}^+})$ consisting of relatively weakly quasi-compact open subsets. Consider the subfamily of $\text{Op}(X_{\mathbb{R}^+})$ defined by $\mathcal{T} = \text{Op}^c(X_{sa, \mathbb{R}^+}) = \{U \in \text{Op}^c(X_{\mathbb{R}^+}) : U \text{ is subanalytic}\}$. The family \mathcal{T} satisfies (2.1).

Example 2.1.5. Let $\mathcal{M} = (M, <, (c)_{c \in \mathcal{C}}, (f)_{f \in \mathcal{F}}, (R)_{R \in \mathcal{R}})$ be an arbitrary o-minimal structure. Let X be a locally definable space ([3]) and consider the subfamily of $\text{Op}(X)$ defined by $\mathcal{T} = \text{Op}(X_{\text{def}}) = \{U \in \text{Op}(X) : U \text{ is definable}\}$. The family \mathcal{T} satisfies (2.1). Note also that (i) the \mathcal{T} -subsets of X are exactly the definable subsets of X (by the cell decomposition theorem in [13], see [19] Proposition 2.1).

Let X be a \mathcal{T} -space. One can endow the category \mathcal{T} with a Grothendieck topology, called the \mathcal{T} -topology, in the following way: a family $\{U_i\}_i$ in \mathcal{T} is a covering of $U \in \mathcal{T}$ if it admits a finite subcover. We denote by $X_{\mathcal{T}}$ the associated site, write for short $k_{\mathcal{T}}$ instead of $k_{X_{\mathcal{T}}}$, and let $\rho : X \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{T}}$ be the natural morphism of sites. We have functors

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Mod}(k_X) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\rho_*} \\ \xleftarrow{\rho^{-1}} \end{array} \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}}).$$

Proposition 2.1.6. *We have $\rho^{-1} \circ \rho_* \simeq \text{id}$. Equivalently, the functor ρ_* is fully faithful.*

Proof. Let $V \in \text{Op}(X)$ and let $G \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$. Then $\rho^{-1}G = (\rho^{\leftarrow}F)^{++}$, where $\rho^{\leftarrow}G \in \text{Psh}(k_X)$ is defined by

$$\text{Op}(X) \ni V \mapsto \varinjlim_{U \supseteq V, U \in \mathcal{T}} G(U).$$

In particular, when $U \in \mathcal{T}$, $\rho^{\leftarrow}G(U) = G(U)$.

Let $F \in \text{Mod}(k_X)$ and denote by $\iota : \text{Mod}(k_X) \rightarrow \text{Psh}(k_X)$ the forgetful functor. The adjunction morphism $\rho^{\leftarrow} \circ \rho_* \rightarrow \text{id}$ in $\text{Psh}(k_X)$ defines $\rho^{\leftarrow} \rho_* F \rightarrow \iota F$. This morphism is an isomorphism on \mathcal{T} , since $\rho^{\leftarrow} \rho_* F(U) \simeq \rho_* F(U) \simeq F(U) \simeq \iota F(U)$ when $U \in \mathcal{T}$. By (2.1) (i) \mathcal{T} forms a basis for the topology of X , hence we get an isomorphism

$$\rho^{-1} \rho_* F \simeq (\rho^{\leftarrow} \rho_* F)^{++} \simeq (\iota F)^{++} \simeq F$$

and the result follows. \square

Proposition 2.1.7. *Let $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a filtrant inductive system in $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ and let $U \in \mathcal{T}$. Then*

$$\varinjlim_i \Gamma(U; F_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(U; \varinjlim_i F_i).$$

Proof. Denote by $\varinjlim_i F_i$ the presheaf $V \mapsto \varinjlim_i \Gamma(V; F_i)$ on $X_{\mathcal{T}}$. Let $U \in \mathcal{T}$ and let S be a finite covering of U . Since \varinjlim_i commutes with finite projective limits we obtain the isomorphism $(\varinjlim_i F_i)(S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_i F_i(S)$ and $F_i(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} F_i(S)$ since $F_i \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ for each i . Moreover the family of finite coverings of U is cofinal in $\text{Cov}(U)$. Hence $\varinjlim_i F_i \xrightarrow{\sim} (\varinjlim_i F_i)^+$. Applying once again the functor $(\cdot)^+$ we get

$$\varinjlim_i F_i \simeq (\varinjlim_i F_i)^+ \simeq (\varinjlim_i F_i)^{++} \simeq \varinjlim_i F_i.$$

Hence applying the functor $\Gamma(U; \cdot)$ we obtain the isomorphism $\varinjlim_i \Gamma(U; F_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(U; \varinjlim_i F_i)$ for each $U \in \mathcal{T}$. \square

Proposition 2.1.8. *Let F be a presheaf on $X_{\mathcal{T}}$ and assume that*

- (i) $F(\emptyset) = 0$,
- (ii) *For any $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$ the sequence $0 \rightarrow F(U \cup V) \rightarrow F(U) \oplus F(V) \rightarrow F(U \cap V)$ is exact.*

Then $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$.

Proof. Let $U \in \mathcal{T}$ and let $\{U_j\}_{j=1}^n$ be a finite covering of U . Set for short $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$. We have to show the exactness of the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F(U) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq k \leq n} F(U_k) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} F(U_{ij}),$$

where the second morphism sends $(s_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ to $(t_{ij})_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ by $t_{ij} = s_i|_{U_{ij}} - s_j|_{U_{ij}}$. We shall argue by induction on n . For $n = 1$ the result is trivial, and $n = 2$ is the hypothesis. Suppose that the assertion is true for $j \leq n-1$ and set $U' = \bigcup_{1 \leq k < n} U_k$. By the induction hypothesis the following commutative diagram is exact

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 0 & & & 0 \\ & & & \downarrow & & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F(U) & \longrightarrow & F(U') \oplus F(U_n) & \longrightarrow & F(U' \cap U_n) \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & \bigoplus_{i < n} F(U_i) \oplus F(U_n) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i < n} F(U_{in}) \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & \bigoplus_{i < j < n} F(U_{ij}). & & \end{array}$$

Then the result follows. \square

Example 2.1.9. Let us see some examples of sites associated to \mathcal{T} -topologies:

- (i) When \mathcal{T} is the family of Example 2.1.2 we obtain the semi-algebraic site of [10, 11].
- (ii) When \mathcal{T} is the family of Example 2.1.3 we obtain the subanalytic site X_{sa} of [28, 35].
- (iii) When \mathcal{T} is the family of Example 2.1.4 we obtain the conic subanalytic site of [36].
- (iv) When \mathcal{T} is the family of Example 2.1.5 we obtain the o-minimal site X_{def} . It is the one considered in [19] when X is a definable space.

2.2. \mathcal{T} -coherent sheaves. Let us consider the category $\text{Mod}(k_X)$ of sheaves of k_X -modules on X , and denote by \mathcal{K} the subcategory whose objects are the sheaves $F = \bigoplus_{i \in I} k_{U_i}$ with I finite and $U_i \in \mathcal{T}$ for each i . The following definition is extracted from [28].

Definition 2.2.1. Let \mathcal{T} be a subfamily of $\text{Op}(X)$ satisfying (2.1), and let $F \in \text{Mod}(k_X)$.

- (i) F is \mathcal{T} -finite if there exists an epimorphism $G \twoheadrightarrow F$ with $G \in \mathcal{K}$.
- (ii) F is \mathcal{T} -pseudo-coherent if for any morphism $\psi : G \rightarrow F$ with $G \in \mathcal{K}$, $\ker \psi$ is \mathcal{T} -finite.
- (iii) F is \mathcal{T} -coherent if it is both \mathcal{T} -finite and \mathcal{T} -pseudo-coherent.

Remark that (ii) is equivalent to the same condition with “ G is \mathcal{T} -finite” instead of “ $G \in \mathcal{K}$ ”. One denotes by $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ the full subcategory of $\text{Mod}(k_X)$ consisting of \mathcal{T} -coherent sheaves. It is easy (see [29], Exercise 8.23) to prove that $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ is additive and stable by kernels.

Lemma 2.2.2. Let $F, G \in \mathcal{K}$. Then, given $\varphi : F \rightarrow G$, we have $\ker \varphi \in \mathcal{K}$.

Proof. We have $F = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l k_{W_i}$, $G = \bigoplus_{j=1}^m k_{W_j}$. Composing with the projection p_j , $j = 1, \dots, m$ on each factor of G , $\ker \varphi$ will be the intersection of the $\ker p_j \circ \varphi$ so that, if each one has the desired form, the same will happen to their intersection. Therefore it is sufficient to assume $m = 1$, let us say, $G = k_W$. A morphism $\varphi : F \rightarrow G$ is then defined by a sequence $v = (v_1, \dots, v_l)$, where v_i is the image by φ of the section of k_{W_i} defined by 1 on W_i , so $v_i = 0$ if $W_i \not\subset W$. More precisely, if $s = (s_1, \dots, s_l)$ is a germ of F in y , we have $\varphi(s_1, \dots, s_l) = \sum_{i=1}^l v_{iy} s_i$. So, given $s = (s_1, \dots, s_l) \in \ker \varphi$, if, for a given i , we have $v_{iy} s_i \neq 0$, then s defines a germ of $H_i =: \bigoplus_{i' \neq i} k_{W_{i'} \cap W_i}$ in y .

Accordingly, $\ker \varphi \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^l H_i$. \square

Therefore, according to the definition of $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ and to Lemma 2.2.2, any $F \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ admits a finite resolution

$$K^\bullet := 0 \rightarrow K^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow K^n \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

consisting of objects belonging to \mathcal{K} .

Proposition 2.2.3. *Let $U \in \mathcal{T}$ and consider the constant sheaf $k_{U_{X_{\mathcal{T}}}} \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$. We have $k_{U_{X_{\mathcal{T}}}} \simeq \rho_* k_U$.*

Proof. Let F be the presheaf on $X_{\mathcal{T}}$ defined by $F(V) = k$ if $V \subset U$, $F(V) = 0$ otherwise. This is a separated presheaf and $k_{U_{X_{\mathcal{T}}}} = F^{++}$. Moreover there is an injective arrow $F(V) \hookrightarrow \rho_* k_U(V)$ for each $V \in \text{Op}(X_{\mathcal{T}})$. Hence $F^{++} \hookrightarrow \rho_* k_U$ since the functor $(\cdot)^{++}$ is exact. Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ be the sub-family of \mathcal{T} -connected elements. Then \mathcal{S} forms a basis for the Grothendieck topology of $X_{\mathcal{T}}$. For each $W \in \mathcal{S}$ we have $F(W) \simeq \rho_* k_U(W) \simeq k$ if $W \subset U$ and $F(W) = 0$ otherwise. Then $F^{++} \simeq \rho_* k_U$. \square

Proposition 2.2.4. *The restriction of ρ_* to $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ is exact.*

Proof. Let us consider an epimorphism $G \rightarrow F$ in $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$, we have to prove that $\psi : \rho_* G \rightarrow \rho_* F$ is an epimorphism. Let $U \in \mathcal{T}$ and let $0 \neq s \in \Gamma(U; \rho_* F) \simeq \text{Hom}_{k_X}(k_U, F)$ (by adjunction). Set $G' = G \times_F k_U = \ker(G \oplus k_U \rightrightarrows F)$. Then $G' \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ and moreover $G' \rightarrow k_U$. There exists a finite $\{U_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{T}$ of \mathcal{T} -connected elements such that $\bigoplus_i k_{U_i} \rightarrow G'$. The composition $k_{U_i} \rightarrow G' \rightarrow k_U$ is given by the multiplication by $a_i \in k$. Set $I_0 = \{k_{U_i}; a_i \neq 0\}$, we may assume $a_i = 1$. We get a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} k_{U_i} & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & G \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & k_U & \xrightarrow{s} & F \end{array}$$

The composition $k_{U_i} \rightarrow G' \rightarrow G$ defines $t_i \in \text{Hom}_{k_X}(k_{U_i}, G) \simeq \Gamma(U_i; \rho_* G)$. Hence for each $s \in \Gamma(U; \rho_* F)$ there exists a finite covering $\{U_i\}$ of U and $t_i \in \Gamma(U_i; \rho_* G)$ such that $\psi(t_i) = s|_{U_i}$. This means that ψ is surjective. \square

Notation 2.2.5. *Since the functor ρ_* is fully faithful and exact on $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$, we will often identify $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ with its image in $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ and write F instead of $\rho_* F$ for $F \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$.*

Theorem 2.2.6. *The following hold:*

- (i) *The category $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ is stable by finite sums, kernels, cokernels and extensions in $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$.*
- (ii) *The category $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ is stable by $\bullet \otimes_{k_{\mathcal{T}}} \bullet$ in $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$.*

Proof. (i) The result follows from a general result of homological algebra of [27], Appendix A.1. With the notations of [27] let \mathbf{P} be the set of finite families of elements of \mathcal{T} , for $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I} \in \mathbf{P}$ set

$$L(\mathcal{U}) = \bigoplus_i k_{U_i},$$

for $\mathcal{V} = \{V_j\}_{j \in J} \in \mathbf{P}$ set

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) = \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(L(\mathcal{U}), L(\mathcal{V})) = \bigoplus_i \bigoplus_j \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(k_{U_i}, k_{V_j})$$

and for $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ set

$$H(\mathcal{U}, F) = \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(L(\mathcal{U}), F) = \bigoplus_i \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(k_{U_i}, F).$$

By Proposition A.1 of [27] in order to prove (i) it is enough to prove the properties (A.1)-(A.4) below:

- (A.1) For any $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\} \in \mathbf{P}$ the functor $H(\mathcal{U}, \bullet)$ is left exact in $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$.
- (A.2) For any morphism $g : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ in \mathbf{P} , there exists a morphism $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ in \mathbf{P} such that $\mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{W}$ is exact.
- (A.3) For any epimorphism $f : F \rightarrow G$ in $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$, $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{P}$ and $\psi \in H(\mathcal{U}, G)$, there exists $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbf{P}$ and an epimorphism $g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U})$ and $\varphi \in H(\mathcal{V}, F)$ such that $\psi \circ g = f \circ \varphi$.
- (A.4) For any $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in \mathbf{P}$ and $\psi \in H(\mathcal{U}, L(\mathcal{V}))$ there exists $\mathcal{W} \in \mathbf{P}$ and an epimorphism $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{U})$ and a morphism $g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V})$ such that $L(g) = \psi \circ f$ in $\text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(L(\mathcal{W}), L(\mathcal{V}))$.

It is easy to check that the axioms (A.1)-(A.4) are satisfied.

- (ii) Let $F \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$. Then F has a resolution

$$\bigoplus_{j \in J} k_{U_j} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} k_{U_i} \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

with I and J finite. Let $V \in \mathcal{T}$. The sequence

$$\bigoplus_{j \in J} k_{V \cap U_j} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} k_{V \cap U_i} \rightarrow F_V \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Then it follows from (i) that F_V is coherent. Let $G \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$. The sequence

$$\bigoplus_{j \in J} G_{U_j} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} G_{U_i} \rightarrow G \otimes_{k_{\mathcal{T}}} F \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. The sheaves G_{U_i} and G_{U_j} are coherent for each $i \in I$ and each $j \in J$. Hence it follows by (i) that $G \otimes_{k_{\mathcal{T}}} F$ is coherent as required. \square

Corollary 2.2.7. *The following hold:*

- (i) *The category $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ is stable by finite sums, kernels, cokernels in $\text{Mod}(k_X)$.*
- (ii) *The category $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ is stable by $\bullet \otimes_{k_X} \bullet$ in $\text{Mod}(k_X)$.*

Proof. (i) The stability under finite sums and kernels is easy, see [29], Exercise 8.23. Let $F, G \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ and let $\varphi : F \rightarrow G$ be a morphism in $\text{Mod}(k_X)$. Then $\rho_*(\varphi)$ is a morphism in $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ and $\text{coker}(\rho_*\varphi) \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ by Theorem 2.2.6. We have $\text{coker}(\rho_*\varphi) \simeq \rho_*\text{coker}\varphi$ since ρ_* is exact on $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ by Proposition 2.2.4. Composing with ρ^{-1} and applying Proposition 2.1.6 we obtain $\text{coker}\varphi \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$.

- (ii) The proof of the stability by $\bullet \otimes_{k_X} \bullet$ is similar to that of Theorem 2.2.6. \square

Theorem 2.2.8. (i) Let $G \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ and let $\{F_i\}$ be a filtrant inductive system in $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$. Then we have the isomorphism

$$\varinjlim_i \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\rho_* G, F_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\rho_* G, \varinjlim_i F_i).$$

(ii) Let $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$. There exists a small filtrant inductive system $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ in $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ such that $F \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i$.

Proof. (i) There exists an exact sequence $G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ with G_1, G_0 finite direct sums of constant sheaves k_U with $U \in \mathcal{T}$. Since ρ_* is exact on $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ and commutes with finite sums, by Proposition 2.2.3 we are reduced to prove the isomorphism $\varinjlim_i \Gamma(U; F_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(U; \varinjlim_i F_i)$. Then the result follows from Proposition 2.1.7.

(ii) Let $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$, and define

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &:= \{(U, s) : U \in \mathcal{T}, s \in \Gamma(U; F)\} \\ G_0 &:= \bigoplus_{(U, s) \in I_0} \rho_* k_U \end{aligned}$$

The morphism $\rho_* k_U \rightarrow F$, where the section $1 \in \Gamma(U; k_U)$ is sent to $s \in \Gamma(U; F)$ defines an epimorphism $\varphi : G_0 \rightarrow F$. Replacing F by $\ker \varphi$ we construct a sheaf $G_1 = \bigoplus_{(V, t) \in I_1} \rho_* k_V$ and an epimorphism $G_1 \rightarrow \ker \varphi$. Hence we get an exact sequence $G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$. For $J_0 \subset I_0$ set for short $G_{J_0} = \bigoplus_{(U, s) \in J_0} \rho_* k_U$ and define similarly G_{J_1} . Set

$$J = \{(J_1, J_0) : J_k \subset I_k, J_k \text{ is finite and } \text{im} \varphi|_{G_{J_1}} \subset G_{J_0}\}.$$

The category J is filtrant and $F \simeq \varinjlim_{(J_1, J_0) \in J} \text{coker}(G_{J_1} \rightarrow G_{J_0})$. \square

Corollary 2.2.9. Let $G \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ and let $\{F_i\}$ be a filtrant inductive system in $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\varinjlim_i \mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, \varinjlim_i F_i).$$

Proof. Let $U \in \mathcal{T}$. We have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(U; \varinjlim_i \mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F_i)) &\simeq \varinjlim_i \Gamma(U; \mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F_i)) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_i \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G_U, F_i) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G_U, \varinjlim_i F_i) \\ &\simeq \Gamma(U; \mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, \varinjlim_i F_i)), \end{aligned}$$

where the first and the third isomorphism follow from Theorem 2.2.8 (i). the fact that $G_U \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ follows from Theorem 2.2.6 (ii). \square

As in [28], we can define the indization of the category $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$. Recall that the category $\text{Ind}(\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T}))$, of ind- \mathcal{T} -coherent sheaves is the category whose objects are filtrant inductive limits of functors

$$\varinjlim_i \text{Hom}_{\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})}(\bullet, F_i) \quad (\text{“}\varinjlim\text{” } F_i \text{ for short}),$$

where $F_i \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$, and the morphisms are the natural transformations of such functors. Note that since $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ is a small category, $\text{Ind}(\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T}))$ is equivalent to the category of k -additive left exact contravariant functors from $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ to $\text{Mod}(k)$. See [29] for a complete exposition on indizations of categories. We can extend the functor $\rho_* : \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ to $\lambda : \text{Ind}(\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ by setting $\lambda(\varinjlim_i F_i) := \varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i$.

Corollary 2.2.10. *The functor $\lambda : \text{Ind}(\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ is an equivalence of categories.*

Proof. Let $F = \varinjlim_j F_j, G = \varinjlim_i G_i \in \text{I}(\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T}))$. By Theorem 2.2.8 (i) and the fact that the functor ρ_* is fully faithful on $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\lambda(F), \lambda(G)) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\varinjlim_j \rho_* F_j, \varinjlim_i \rho_* G_i) \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_j \varinjlim_i \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\rho_* F_j, \rho_* G_i) \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_j \varinjlim_i \text{Hom}_{\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})}(F_j, G_i) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Ind}(\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T}))}(F, G), \end{aligned}$$

hence λ is fully faithful. By Theorem 2.2.8 (ii) for each $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ there exists $G = \varinjlim_i F_i \in \text{Ind}(\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T}))$ such that $\lambda(G) = \varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i \simeq F$, hence λ is essentially surjective. \square

2.3. \mathcal{T} -flabby sheaves.

Definition 2.3.1. *We say that an object $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ is \mathcal{T} -flabby if for each $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$ with $V \supseteq U$ the restriction morphism $\Gamma(V; F) \rightarrow \Gamma(U; F)$ is surjective.*

Remark 2.3.2. *Remark that the category $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ is a Grothendieck category, hence it has enough injectives. It follows from the definition that injective sheaves are \mathcal{T} -flabby. This implies that the family of \mathcal{T} -flabby objects is cogenerating in $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$.*

Example 2.3.3. Let us see some examples of \mathcal{T} -flabby sheaves:

- (i) When \mathcal{T} is the family of Example 2.1.2 we obtain the family of *sa*-flabby objects of [10].
- (ii) When \mathcal{T} is the family of Example 2.1.3 we obtain the family of quasi-injective objects of [35].

Proposition 2.3.4. *The following hold:*

- (i) *Let F_i be a filtrant inductive system of \mathcal{T} -flabby sheaves. Then $\varinjlim_i F_i$ is \mathcal{T} -flabby.*
- (ii) *Products of \mathcal{T} -flabby objects are \mathcal{T} -flabby.*

Proof. We will only prove (i) since the proof of (ii) is similar since taking products is exact and commutes with taking sections. Let $U \in \mathcal{T}$. Then for each i

the restriction morphism $\Gamma(V; F_i) \rightarrow \Gamma(U; F_i)$ is surjective. Applying the exact \varinjlim_i and using Proposition 2.1.7, the morphism

$$\Gamma(V; \varinjlim_i F_i) \simeq \varinjlim_i \Gamma(V; F_i) \rightarrow \varinjlim_i \Gamma(U; F_i) \simeq \Gamma(U; \varinjlim_i F_i)$$

is surjective. \square

Proposition 2.3.5. *The full additive subcategory of $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ of \mathcal{T} -flabby object is $\Gamma(U; \bullet)$ -injective for every $U \in \mathcal{T}$, i.e.:*

- (i) *For every $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ there exists a \mathcal{T} -flabby object $F' \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ and an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'$.*
- (ii) *Let $0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ and assume that F' is \mathcal{T} -flabby. Then the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(U; F') \rightarrow \Gamma(U; F) \rightarrow \Gamma(U; F'') \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

- (iii) *Let $F', F, F'' \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$, and consider the exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0.$$

Suppose that F' is \mathcal{T} -flabby. Then F is \mathcal{T} -flabby if and only if F'' is \mathcal{T} -flabby.

Proof. (i) It follows from the definition that injective sheaves are \mathcal{T} -flabby. So (i) holds since it is true for injective sheaves. Indeed, as a Grothendieck category, $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ admits enough injectives.

(ii) Let $s'' \in \Gamma(U; F'')$, and let $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \text{Cov}(U)$ be such that there exists $s_i \in \Gamma(V_i; F)$ whose image is $s''|_{V_i}$. For $n \geq 2$ on $V_1 \cap V_2$ $s_1 - s_2$ defines a section of $\Gamma(V_1 \cap V_2; F')$ which extends to $s' \in \Gamma(U; F')$ since F' is \mathcal{T} -flabby. Replace s_1 with $s_1 - s'$ (identifying s' with its image in F). We may suppose that $s_1 = s_2$ on $V_1 \cap V_2$. Then there exists $t \in \Gamma(V_1 \cup V_2, F)$ such that $t|_{V_i} = s_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Thus the induction proceeds.

- (iii) Let $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$ with $V \supseteq U$ and let us consider the diagram below

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(V; F') & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(V; F) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(V; F'') & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \gamma & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(U; F') & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(U; F) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(U; F'') & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where the row are exact by (ii) and the morphism α is surjective since F' is \mathcal{T} -flabby. It follows from the five lemma that β is surjective if and only if γ is surjective. \square

Theorem 2.3.6. *Let $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$. Then the following hold:*

- (i) *F is \mathcal{T} -flabby if and only if the functor $\text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\bullet, F)$ is exact on $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$.*
- (ii) *If F is \mathcal{T} -flabby then the functor $\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\bullet, F)$ is exact on $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$.*

Proof. (i) is a consequence of a general result of homological algebra (see Theorem 8.7.2 of [29]). For (ii), let $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ be \mathcal{T} -flabby. There is an isomorphism of functors

$$\Gamma(U; \mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\bullet, F)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}((\bullet)_U, F)$$

for each $U \in \mathcal{T}$. By Theorem 2.2.6 and (i) the functor $\text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}((\bullet)_U, F)$ is exact on $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ and so the functor $\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\bullet, F)$ is also exact on $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$. \square

Theorem 2.3.7. *Let $G \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$. Then the following hold:*

- (i) *The family of \mathcal{T} -flabby sheaves is injective with respect to the functor $\text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, \bullet)$.*
- (ii) *The family of \mathcal{T} -flabby sheaves is injective with respect to the functor $\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, \bullet)$.*

Proof. (i) Let $G \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$. Let $0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ and assume that F' is \mathcal{T} -flabby. We have to show that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F'') \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

There is an epimorphism $\varphi : \bigoplus_{i \in I} k_{U_i} \rightarrow G$ where I is finite and $U_i \in \mathcal{T}$ for each $i \in I$. The sequence $0 \rightarrow \ker \varphi \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} k_{U_i} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ is exact. We set for short $G_1 = \ker \varphi$ and $G_2 = \bigoplus_{i \in I} k_{U_i}$. We get the following diagram where the first column is exact by Theorem 2.3.6 (i)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F') & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F'') \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G_2, F') & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G_2, F) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G_2, F'') \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G_1, F') & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G_1, F) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G_1, F'') \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

The second row is exact by Proposition 2.3.5 (ii), hence the top row is exact by the snake lemma.

(ii) Let $G \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$. It is enough to check that for each $U \in \mathcal{T}$ and each exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0$ with F' \mathcal{T} -flabby, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(U; \mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F')) \rightarrow \Gamma(U; \mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F)) \rightarrow \Gamma(U; \mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F'')) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. We have

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, \bullet)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G_U, \bullet),$$

and, by (i) and the fact that $G_U \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ (Theorem 2.2.6 (ii)), \mathcal{T} -flabby objects are injective with respect to the functor $\text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G_U, \bullet)$ for each $G \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$, and for each $U \in \mathcal{T}$. \square

Proposition 2.3.8. *Let $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$. Then F is \mathcal{T} -flabby if and only if $\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F)$ is \mathcal{T} -flabby for each $G \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$.*

Proof. Suppose that F is \mathcal{T} -flabby, and let $G \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$. We have

$$\text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\bullet, \mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\bullet \otimes_{k_{\mathcal{T}}} G, F)$$

and $\text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\bullet \otimes_{k_{\mathcal{T}}} G, F)$ is exact on $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ by Theorems 2.2.6 (ii) and 2.3.6 (i).

Suppose that $\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F)$ is \mathcal{T} -flabby for each $G \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$. Let $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$ with $V \supseteq U$. For each $W \in \mathcal{T}$ the morphism $\Gamma(V; \Gamma_W F) \rightarrow \Gamma(U; \Gamma_W F)$ is surjective. Hence the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(V; F) &\simeq \Gamma(V; \Gamma_V F) \\ &\rightarrow \Gamma(U; \Gamma_V F) \\ &\simeq \Gamma(U; F) \end{aligned}$$

is surjective. \square

Let us consider the following subcategory of $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$:

$$\mathcal{P}_{X_{\mathcal{T}}} := \{G \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}}); G \text{ is } \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\bullet, F)\text{-acyclic for each } F \in \mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathcal{T}}}\},$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathcal{T}}}$ is the family of \mathcal{T} -flabby objects of $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$.

This category is generating. In fact if $\{U_j\}_{j \in J} \in \mathcal{T}$, then $\bigoplus_{j \in J} k_{U_j} \in \mathcal{P}_{X_{\mathcal{T}}}$ by Theorem 2.3.7 (and the fact that

$$\text{IIHom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\bullet, \bullet) \simeq \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\bigoplus \bullet, \bullet)$$

and products are exact). Moreover $\mathcal{P}_{X_{\mathcal{T}}}$ is stable by $\bullet \otimes_{k_{\mathcal{T}}} K$, where $K \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$. In fact if $G \in \mathcal{P}_{X_{\mathcal{T}}}$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathcal{T}}}$ we have

$$\text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G \otimes_{k_{\mathcal{T}}} K, F) \simeq \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, \mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(K, F))$$

and $\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(K, F)$ is \mathcal{T} -flabby by Proposition 2.3.8. In particular, if $G \in \mathcal{P}_{X_{\mathcal{T}}}$ then $G_U \in \mathcal{P}_{X_{\mathcal{T}}}$ for every $U \in \text{Op}(X_{\mathcal{T}})$.

Theorem 2.3.9. *The category $(\mathcal{P}_{X_{\mathcal{T}}}^{op}, \mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathcal{T}}})$ is injective with respect to the functors $\text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\bullet, \bullet)$ and $\mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\bullet, \bullet)$.*

Proof. (i) Let $G \in \mathcal{P}_{X_{\mathcal{T}}}$ and consider an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0$ with F' \mathcal{T} -flabby. We have to prove that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F'') \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Since the functor $\text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, \bullet)$ is acyclic on \mathcal{T} -flabby sheaves we obtain the result.

Let F be \mathcal{T} -flabby, and let $0 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow G \rightarrow G'' \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence on $\mathcal{P}_{X_{\mathcal{T}}}$. Since the objects of $\mathcal{P}_{X_{\mathcal{T}}}$ are $\text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\bullet, F)$ -acyclic the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G'', F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G', F) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

(ii) Let $G \in \mathcal{P}_{X_{\mathcal{T}}}$, and let $0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence with F' \mathcal{T} -flabby. We shall show that for each $U \in \mathcal{T}$ the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(U; \mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F')) \rightarrow \Gamma(U; \mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F)) \rightarrow \Gamma(U; \mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, F'')) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. This is equivalent to show that for each $U \in \mathcal{T}$ the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G_U, F') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G_U, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G_U, F'') \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. This follows since $G_U \in \mathcal{P}_{X_{\mathcal{T}}}$ as we saw above. The proof of the exactness in $\mathcal{P}_{X_{\mathcal{T}}}^{op}$ is similar. \square

Proposition 2.3.10. *Let $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$. The following assumptions are equivalent*

- (i) F is \mathcal{T} -flabby,
- (ii) F is $\text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, \bullet)$ -acyclic for each $G \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$,
- (iii) $R^1 \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(k_{V \setminus U}, F) = 0$ for each $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) follows from Theorem 2.3.7, (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) setting $G = k_{V \setminus U}$ with $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$, (iii) \Rightarrow (i) since if $R^1 \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(k_{V \setminus U}, F) = 0$ for each $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$ with $V \supseteq U$, then the restriction $\Gamma(V; F) \rightarrow \Gamma(U; F)$ is surjective. \square

Let X, Y be two topological spaces and let $\mathcal{T} \subset \text{Op}(X)$, $\mathcal{T}' \subset \text{Op}(Y)$ satisfy (2.1). Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map. If $f^{-1}(\mathcal{T}') \subset \mathcal{T}$ then f defines a morphism of sites $f : X_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathcal{T}'}$.

Proposition 2.3.11. *Let $f : X_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathcal{T}'}$ be a morphism of sites. \mathcal{T} -flabby sheaves are injective with respect to the functor f_* . The functor f_* sends \mathcal{T} -flabby sheaves to \mathcal{T}' -flabby sheaves.*

Proof. Let us consider $V \in \mathcal{T}'$. There is an isomorphism of functors $\Gamma(V; f_* \bullet) \simeq \Gamma(f^{-1}(V); \bullet)$. It follows from Proposition 2.3.5 that \mathcal{T} -flabby are injective with respect to the functor $\Gamma(f^{-1}(V); \bullet)$ for any $V \in \mathcal{T}'$.

Let F be \mathcal{T} -flabby and let $U, V \in \mathcal{T}'$ with $V \supset U$. Then the morphism

$$\Gamma(V; f_* F) = \Gamma(f^{-1}(V); F) \rightarrow \Gamma(f^{-1}(U); F) = \Gamma(U; f_* F)$$

is surjective. \square

2.4. \mathcal{T} -sheaves on locally weakly quasi-compact spaces. Assume that X is a locally weakly quasi-compact space.

Lemma 2.4.1. *For each $U \in \text{Op}^c(X)$ there exists $V \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $U \subset\subset V \subset\subset X$.*

Proof. Since X is locally weakly quasi-compact we may find $W \in \text{Op}^c(X)$ such that $U \subset\subset W$. By (2.1) (i) we may find a covering $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ of X with $W_i \in \mathcal{T}$ and $W_i \subset\subset X$ for each $i \in I$. Then there exists a finite family $\{W_j\}_{j=1}^{\ell}$ whose union $V = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} W_j$ contains W . Then $V \in \mathcal{T}$ and $U \subset\subset V \subset\subset X$. \square

When X is locally weakly quasi-compact we can construct a left adjoint to the functor ρ^{-1} .

Proposition 2.4.2. *Let $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$, and let $U \in \text{Op}(X)$. Then*

$$\Gamma(U; \rho^{-1} F) \simeq \varprojlim_{V \subset\subset U, V \in \mathcal{T}} \Gamma(V; F)$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.2.8 we may assume $F = \varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i$, with $F_i \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$.

Then $\rho^{-1} F \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho^{-1} \rho_* F_i \simeq \varinjlim_i F_i$. We have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(U; \rho^{-1} F) &\simeq \varprojlim_{V \subset\subset U, V \in \mathcal{T}} \varinjlim_{V \subset\subset W} \Gamma(W; \rho^{-1} F) &\simeq \varprojlim_{V \subset\subset U, V \in \mathcal{T}} \varinjlim_{V \subset\subset W} \Gamma(W; \varinjlim_i \rho^{-1} \rho_* F_i) \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_{V \subset\subset U, V \in \mathcal{T}} \varinjlim_{V \subset\subset W, i} \Gamma(W; \rho^{-1} \rho_* F_i) &\simeq \varprojlim_{V \subset\subset U, V \in \mathcal{T}} \varinjlim_i \Gamma(V; \rho^{-1} \rho_* F_i) \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_{V \subset\subset U, V \in \mathcal{T}} \varinjlim_i \Gamma(V; \rho_* F_i) &\simeq \varprojlim_{V \subset\subset U, V \in \mathcal{T}} \Gamma(V; F), \end{aligned}$$

where the first and the fourth isomorphisms follow from Lemma 1.2.16, the third isomorphism is a consequence of Corollary 1.2.13, and the last isomorphism follows from Proposition 2.1.7. \square

Proposition 2.4.3. *The functor ρ^{-1} admits a left adjoint, denoted by $\rho_!$. It satisfies*

(i) for $F \in \text{Mod}(k_X)$ and $U \in \mathcal{T}$, $\rho_! F$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf
 $U \mapsto \varinjlim_{U \subset \subset V} \Gamma(V; F)$,

(ii) For $U \in \text{Op}(X)$ one has $\rho_! k_U \simeq \varinjlim_{V \subset \subset U, V \in \mathcal{T}} k_V$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{F} \in \text{Psh}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ be the presheaf $U \mapsto \varinjlim_{U \subset \subset V} \Gamma(V; F)$, and let $G \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$. We will construct morphisms

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Psh}(k_{\mathcal{T}})}(\tilde{F}, G) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\xi} \\ \xleftarrow{\vartheta} \end{array} \text{Hom}_{k_X}(F, \rho^{-1}G).$$

To define ξ , let $\varphi : \tilde{F} \rightarrow G$ and $U \in \text{Op}(X)$. Then the morphism $\xi(\varphi)(U) : F(U) \rightarrow \rho^{-1}G(U)$ is defined as follows

$$F(U) \simeq \varinjlim_{V \subset \subset U, V \in \mathcal{T}} \varinjlim_{V \subset \subset W} F(W) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \varinjlim_{V \subset \subset U, V \in \mathcal{T}} G(V) \simeq \rho^{-1}G(U).$$

On the other hand, let $\psi : F \rightarrow \rho^{-1}G$ and $U \in \mathcal{T}$. Then the morphism $\vartheta(\psi)(U) : \tilde{F}(U) \rightarrow G(U)$ is defined as follows

$$\tilde{F}(U) \simeq \varinjlim_{U \subset \subset V \in \mathcal{T}} F(V) \xrightarrow{\psi} \varinjlim_{U \subset \subset V \in \mathcal{T}} \rho^{-1}G(V) \rightarrow G(U).$$

By construction one can check that the morphism ξ and ϑ are inverse to each others. Then (i) follows from the chain of isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Psh}(k_{\mathcal{T}})}(\tilde{F}, G) \simeq \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\tilde{F}^{++}, G) \simeq \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\tilde{F}^{++}, G).$$

To show (ii), consider the following sequence of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\rho_! k_U, F) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{k_X}(k_U, \rho^{-1}F) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_{V \subset \subset U, V \in \mathcal{T}} \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(k_V, F) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\varinjlim_{V \subset \subset U, V \in \mathcal{T}} k_V, F), \end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism follows from Proposition 2.4.2. \square

Proposition 2.4.4. *The functor $\rho_!$ is exact and commutes with \varinjlim and \otimes .*

Proof. It follows by adjunction that $\rho_!$ is right exact and commutes with \varinjlim , so let us show that it is also left exact. With the notations of Proposition 2.4.3, let $F \in \text{Mod}(k_X)$, and let $\tilde{F} \in \text{Psh}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ be the presheaf $U \mapsto \varinjlim_{U \subset \subset V} \Gamma(V; F)$. Then

$\rho_! F \simeq \tilde{F}^{++}$, and the functors $F \mapsto \tilde{F}$ and $G \mapsto G^{++}$ are left exact.

Let us show that $\rho_!$ commutes with \otimes . Let $F, G \in \text{Mod}(k_X)$, the morphism

$$\varinjlim_{U \subset \subset V} F(V) \otimes_k \varinjlim_{U \subset \subset V} G(V) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{U \subset \subset V} (F(V) \otimes_k G(V))$$

defines a morphism in $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$

$$\rho_! F \otimes_{k_{\mathcal{T}}} \rho_! G \rightarrow \rho_! (F \otimes_{k_X} G)$$

by Proposition 2.4.3 (i). Since $\rho_!$ commutes with \varinjlim we may suppose that $F = k_U$ and $G = k_V$ and the result follows from Proposition 2.4.3 (ii). \square

Proposition 2.4.5. *The functor $\rho_!$ is fully faithful. In particular one has $\rho^{-1} \circ \rho_! \simeq \text{id}$. Moreover, for $F \in \text{Mod}(k_X)$ and $G \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ one has*

$$\rho^{-1} \mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\rho_! F, G) \simeq \mathcal{H}om_{k_X}(F, \rho^{-1} G).$$

Proof. For $F, G \in \text{Mod}(k_X)$ by adjunction we have

$$\text{Hom}_{k_X}(\rho^{-1} \rho_! F, G) \simeq \text{Hom}_{k_X}(F, \rho^{-1} \rho_* G) \simeq \text{Hom}_{k_X}(F, G).$$

This also implies that $\rho_!$ is fully faithful, in fact

$$\text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\rho_! F, \rho_! G) \simeq \text{Hom}_{k_X}(F, \rho^{-1} \rho_! G) \simeq \text{Hom}_{k_X}(F, G).$$

Now let $K, F \in \text{Mod}(k_X)$ and $G \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{k_X}(K, \rho^{-1} \mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\rho_! F, G)) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\rho_! K, \mathcal{H}om_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\rho_! F, G)) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\rho_! K \otimes_{k_{\mathcal{T}}} \rho_! F, G) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(\rho_!(K \otimes_{k_X} F), G) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{k_X}(K \otimes_{k_X} F, \rho^{-1} G) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{k_X}(K, \mathcal{H}om_{k_X}(F, \rho^{-1} G)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Finally let us consider sheaves of rings in $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$. If \mathcal{A} is a sheaf of rings in $\text{Mod}(k_X)$, then $\rho_* \mathcal{A}$ and $\rho_! \mathcal{A}$ are sheaves of rings in $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$.

Let \mathcal{A} be a sheaf of unitary k -algebras on X , and let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \in \text{Psh}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ be the presheaf defined by the correspondence $\mathcal{T} \ni U \mapsto \varinjlim_{U \subset \subset V} \Gamma(V; \mathcal{A})$. Let $F \in \text{Psh}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$, and assume that, for $V \subset U$, with $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(U; \tilde{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes_k \Gamma(U; F) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(U; F) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Gamma(V; \tilde{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes_k \Gamma(V; F) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(V; F). \end{array}$$

In this case one says that F is a presheaf of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ -modules on \mathcal{T} .

Proposition 2.4.6. *Let \mathcal{A} be a sheaf of k -algebras on X , and let F be a presheaf of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ -modules on $X_{\mathcal{T}}$. Then $F^{++} \in \text{Mod}(\rho_! \mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. Let $U \in \mathcal{T}$, and let $r \in \varinjlim_{U \subset \subset V} \Gamma(V; \mathcal{A})$. Then r defines a morphism $\varinjlim_{U \subset \subset V} \Gamma(V; \mathcal{A}) \otimes_k \Gamma(W; F) \rightarrow \Gamma(W; F)$ for each $W \subseteq U$, $W \in \mathcal{T}$, hence an endomorphism of $(F^{++})|_{U_{X_{\mathcal{T}}}} \simeq (F|_{U_{X_{\mathcal{T}}}})^{++}$. This morphism defines a morphism of presheaves $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(F^{++})$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{++} \simeq \rho_! \mathcal{A}$ by Proposition 2.4.3. Then $F^{++} \in \text{Mod}(\rho_! \mathcal{A})$. □

Proposition 2.4.7. *Assume that X is locally weakly quasi-compact. Let $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ be \mathcal{T} -flabby. Then $\rho^{-1} F$ is c -soft.*

Proof. Recall that if $U \in \text{Op}(X)$ then $\Gamma(U; \rho^{-1} F) \simeq \varprojlim_{V \subset \subset U} \Gamma(V; F)$, where $V \in \mathcal{T}$. Let $W \in \text{Op}(X)$, $W \subset \subset X$. It follows from Lemma 2.4.1 that every

$U' \supset \supset W$, $U' \in \text{Op}(X)$ contains $U \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $U \supset \supset W$. Hence

$$\varinjlim_{U'} \Gamma(U'; F) \simeq \varinjlim_U \Gamma(U; F),$$

where $U' \supset \supset W$, $U' \in \text{Op}(X)$ and $U \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $U \supset \supset W$. We have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \varinjlim_U \Gamma(U; \rho^{-1}F) &\simeq \varinjlim_U \varprojlim_{V \subset \subset U} \Gamma(V; F) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_U \Gamma(U; F) \end{aligned}$$

where $U \in \mathcal{T}$, $U \supset \supset W$ and $V \in \mathcal{T}$. The first isomorphism follows from Proposition 2.4.2 and second one follows since for each $U \supset \supset W$, $U \in \mathcal{T}$, there exists $V \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $U \supset \supset V \supset \supset W$.

Let $V, W \in \text{Op}^c(X)$ with $V \subset \subset W$. Since F is \mathcal{T} -flabby and filtrant inductive limits are exact, the morphism $\varinjlim_{W'} \Gamma(W'; \rho^{-1}F) \simeq \varinjlim_{W'} \Gamma(W'; F) \rightarrow \varinjlim_U \Gamma(U; F) \simeq \varinjlim_U \Gamma(U; \rho^{-1}F)$, where $W', U \in \mathcal{T}$, $W' \supset \supset W$, $U \supset \supset V$, is surjective. Hence $\Gamma(W; \rho^{-1}F) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{U \supset \supset V} \Gamma(U; \rho^{-1}F)$ is surjective. \square

2.5. \mathcal{T}_{loc} -sheaves. Let X be a \mathcal{T} -space and let

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{T}_{loc} = \{U \in \text{Op}(X) : U \cap W \in \mathcal{T} \text{ for every } W \in \mathcal{T}\}.$$

Clearly, $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{T}_{loc}$, $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{loc}$ and \mathcal{T}_{loc} is closed under finite intersections.

Definition 2.5.1. *We make the following definitions:*

- a subset S of X is a \mathcal{T}_{loc} -subset if and only if $S \cap V$ is a \mathcal{T} -subset for every $V \in \mathcal{T}$;
- a closed (resp. open) \mathcal{T}_{loc} -subset is a \mathcal{T}_{loc} -subset which is closed (resp. open) in X ;
- a \mathcal{T}_{loc} -connected subset is a \mathcal{T}_{loc} -subset which is not the disjoint union of two proper clopen \mathcal{T}_{loc} -subsets.

Observe that if $\{S_i\}_i$ is a family of \mathcal{T}_{loc} -subsets such that $\{i : S_i \cap W \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite for every $W \in \mathcal{T}$, then the union and the intersection of the family $\{S_i\}_i$ is a \mathcal{T}_{loc} -subset. Also the complement of a \mathcal{T}_{loc} -subset is a \mathcal{T}_{loc} -subset. Therefore the \mathcal{T}_{loc} -subsets form a Boolean algebra.

Example 2.5.2. Let us see some examples of \mathcal{T}_{loc} subsets:

- (i) Let \mathcal{T} be the family of Example 2.1.2. Then the \mathcal{T}_{loc} subsets are the locally semi-algebraic subsets of X .
- (ii) Let \mathcal{T} be the family of Example 2.1.3. Then the \mathcal{T}_{loc} subsets are the sub-analytic subsets of X .
- (iii) Let \mathcal{T} be the family of Example 2.1.4. Then the \mathcal{T}_{loc} subsets are the conic subanalytic subsets of X .
- (iv) Let \mathcal{T} be the family of Example 2.1.5. Then the \mathcal{T}_{loc} subsets are the locally definable subsets of X .

One can endow \mathcal{T}_{loc} with a Grothendieck topology in the following way: a family $\{U_i\}_i$ in \mathcal{T}_{loc} is a covering of $U \in \mathcal{T}_{loc}$ if for any $V \in \mathcal{T}$, there exists a finite subfamily covering $U \cap V$. We denote by $X_{\mathcal{T}_{loc}}$ the associated site, write for short $k_{\mathcal{T}_{loc}}$ instead of $k_{X_{\mathcal{T}_{loc}}}$, and let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ \rho_{loc} \swarrow & & \searrow \rho \\ X_{\mathcal{T}_{loc}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_{\mathcal{T}} \end{array}$$

be the natural morphisms of sites.

Remark 2.5.3. *The forgetful functor, induced by the natural morphism of sites $X_{\mathcal{T}_{loc}} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{T}}$, gives an equivalence of categories*

$$\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}_{loc}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}}).$$

The quasi-inverse to the forgetful functor sends $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ to $F_{loc} \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}_{loc}})$ given by $F_{loc}(U) = \varprojlim_{V \in \mathcal{T}} F(U \cap V)$ for every $U \in \mathcal{T}_{loc}$.

Therefore, we can and will identify $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}_{loc}})$ with $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ and apply the previous results for $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ to obtain analogous results for $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}_{loc}})$.

Recall that $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ is \mathcal{T} -flabby if the restriction $\Gamma(V; F) \rightarrow \Gamma(U; F)$ is surjective for any $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$ with $V \supseteq U$. Assume that

$$(2.4) \quad X_{\mathcal{T}_{loc}} \text{ has a countable cover } \{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ with } V_n \in \mathcal{T}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proposition 2.5.4. *Let $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$. Then F is \mathcal{T} -flabby if and only if the restriction $\Gamma(X; F) \rightarrow \Gamma(U; F)$ is surjective for any $U \in \mathcal{T}_{loc}$.*

Proof. Suppose that F is \mathcal{T} -flabby. Consider a covering $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $X_{\mathcal{T}_{loc}}$ satisfying (2.4). Set $U_n = U \cap V_n$ and $S_n = V_n \setminus U_n$. All the sequences

$$0 \rightarrow k_{U_n} \rightarrow k_{V_n} \rightarrow k_{S_n} \rightarrow 0$$

are exact. Since F is \mathcal{T} -flabby the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(k_{S_n}, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(k_{V_n}, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(k_{U_n}, F) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Moreover the morphism $\text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(k_{S_{n+1}}, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(k_{S_n}, F)$ is surjective for all n since $S_n = S_{n+1} \cap V_n$ is open in S_{n+1} . Then by Proposition 1.12.3 of [26] the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(k_{S_n}, F) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(k_{V_n}, F) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(k_{U_n}, F) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. The result follows since $\varprojlim_n \Gamma(U_n; G) \simeq \Gamma(U; G)$ for any $G \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ and $U \in \mathcal{T}_{loc}$. The converse is obvious. \square

Proposition 2.5.5. *The full additive subcategory of $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ of \mathcal{T} -flabby object is $\Gamma(U; \bullet)$ -injective for every $U \in \mathcal{T}_{loc}$.*

Proof. Take an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0$, and suppose that F' is \mathcal{T} -flabby. Consider a covering $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $X_{\mathcal{T}_{loc}}$ satisfying (2.4). Set $U_n = U \cap V_n$. All the sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(U_n; F') \rightarrow \Gamma(U_n; F) \rightarrow \Gamma(U_n; F'') \rightarrow 0$$

are exact by Proposition 2.3.5, and the morphism $\Gamma(U_{n+1}; F') \rightarrow \Gamma(U_n; F')$ is surjective for all n . Then by Proposition 1.12.3 of [26] the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \Gamma(U_n; F') \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \Gamma(U_n; F) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \Gamma(U_n; F'') \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Since $\varprojlim_n \Gamma(U_n; G) \simeq \Gamma(U; G)$ for any $G \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ the result follows. \square

Let X, Y be two topological spaces and let $\mathcal{T} \subset \text{Op}(X)$, $\mathcal{T}' \subset \text{Op}(Y)$ satisfy (2.1). Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map. If $f^{-1}(\mathcal{T}'_{loc}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{loc}$ then f defines a morphism of sites $f : X_{\mathcal{T}_{loc}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathcal{T}'_{loc}}$.

Corollary 2.5.6. *Let $f : X_{\mathcal{T}_{loc}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathcal{T}'_{loc}}$ be a morphism of sites. \mathcal{T} -flabby sheaves are injective with respect to the functor f_* . The functor f_* sends \mathcal{T} -flabby sheaves to \mathcal{T}' -flabby sheaves.*

Proof. Let us consider $V \in \mathcal{T}'_{loc}$. There is an isomorphism of functors $\Gamma(V; f_* \bullet) \simeq \Gamma(f^{-1}(V); \bullet)$. It follows from Proposition 2.5.5 that \mathcal{T} -flabby are injective with respect to the functor $\Gamma(f^{-1}(V); \bullet)$ for any $V \in \mathcal{T}'_{loc}$.

Let F be \mathcal{T} -flabby and let $U, V \in \mathcal{T}'$ with $V \supset U$. Then the morphism

$$\Gamma(V; f_* F) = \Gamma(f^{-1}(V); F) \rightarrow \Gamma(f^{-1}(U); F) = \Gamma(U; f_* F)$$

is surjective by Proposition 2.5.4. \square

Remark 2.5.7. *An interesting case is when X is a locally weakly quasi-compact space and there exists $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \text{Op}(X)$ with $\mathcal{T} = \{U \in \mathcal{S} : U \subset\subset X\}$ satisfying (2.1).*

Assume that X satisfies (1.7). Then X has a covering $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of X such that $V_n \in \mathcal{T}$ and $V_n \subset\subset V_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 1.3.5 we may find a covering $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of X such that $U_n \in \text{Op}^c(X)$ and $U_n \subset\subset U_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 2.4.1 for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $V_n \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $U_n \subset\subset V_n \subset\subset U_{n+1}$.

In this situation Proposition 2.5.4 and 2.5.5 are satisfied.

2.6. \mathcal{T} -spectrum. Let X be a topological space and let $\mathcal{P}(X)$ be the power set of X . Consider a subalgebra \mathcal{F} of the power set Boolean algebra $(\mathcal{P}(X), \subseteq)$. Then \mathcal{F} is closed under finite unions, intersections and complements. We refer to [25] for an introduction to this subject.

The Boolean algebra \mathcal{F} has an associated topological space, that we denote by $S(\mathcal{F})$, called its Stone space. The points in $S(\mathcal{F})$ are the ultrafilters α on \mathcal{F} . The topology on $S(\mathcal{F})$ is generated by a basis of open and closed sets consisting of all sets of the form

$$\tilde{A} = \{\alpha \in S(\mathcal{F}) : A \in \alpha\},$$

where $A \in \mathcal{F}$. The space $S(\mathcal{F})$ is a compact totally disconnected Hausdorff space. Moreover, for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$, the subspace \tilde{A} is Hausdorff and compact.

Definition 2.6.1. *Let X be a \mathcal{T} -space and let \mathcal{F} be the Boolean algebra of \mathcal{T}_{loc} -subsets of X (i.e. Boolean combinations of elements of \mathcal{T}_{loc}). The topological space $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$ is the data of:*

- the points of $S(\mathcal{F})$ such that $U \in \alpha$ for some $U \in \mathcal{T}$,
- a basis for the topology is given by the family of subsets $\{\tilde{U} : U \in \mathcal{T}\}$.

We call $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$ the \mathcal{T} -spectrum of X .

With this topology, for $U \in \mathcal{T}$, the set \tilde{U} is quasi-compact in $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$ since it is quasi-compact in $S(\mathcal{F})$. Hence $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$ is locally weakly quasi-compact with a basis of quasi-compact open subsets given by $\{\tilde{U} : U \in \mathcal{T}\}$. Note that if $X \in \mathcal{T}$, then $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}} = \tilde{X}$ which is a spectral topological space.

Remark 2.6.2. *We may also define $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$ by means of prime filters of elements of \mathcal{T} . This is because \mathcal{T} -subsets can be written as finite unions and intersections of \mathcal{T} -open and \mathcal{T} -closed subsets. In this situation an ultrafilter is determined by the prime filter contained in it.*

Proposition 2.6.3. *Let X be a \mathcal{T} -space. Then there is an equivalence of categories $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}}) \simeq \text{Mod}(k_{\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}})$.*

Proof. Let us consider the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^t : \mathcal{T} &\rightarrow \text{Op}(\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}) \\ U &\mapsto \tilde{U}. \end{aligned}$$

This defines a morphism of sites $\zeta : \tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{T}}$. Indeed, if $V \in \mathcal{T}$, $S \in \text{Cov}(V)$, then $\tilde{S} = \{\tilde{V}_i : V_i \in S\} \in \text{Cov}(\tilde{V})$. Let $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ and consider the presheaf $\zeta^* F \in \text{Psh}(k_{\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}})$ defined by $\zeta^* F(U) = \varinjlim_{U \subseteq \tilde{V}} F(V)$. In particular, if $U = \tilde{V}$, $V \in \mathcal{T}$, $\zeta^* F(U) \simeq F(V)$. In this case, by Corollary 1.2.11 we have the isomorphisms

$$\zeta^{-1} F(\tilde{V}) = (\zeta^* F)^{++}(\tilde{V}) \simeq \zeta^* F(\tilde{V}) \simeq F(V).$$

Then for $V \in \mathcal{T}$ we have

$$\zeta_* \zeta^{-1} F(V) \simeq \zeta^{-1} F(\tilde{V}) \simeq F(V).$$

This implies $\zeta_* \circ \zeta^{-1} \simeq \text{id}$. On the other hand, given $\alpha \in \tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $G \in \text{Mod}(k_{\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}})$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\zeta^{-1} \zeta_* G)_{\alpha} &\simeq \varinjlim_{\tilde{U} \ni \alpha, U \in \mathcal{T}} \zeta^{-1} \zeta_* G(\tilde{U}) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_{\tilde{U} \ni \alpha, U \in \mathcal{T}} \zeta_* G(U) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_{\tilde{U} \ni \alpha, U \in \mathcal{T}} G(\tilde{U}) \\ &\simeq G_{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

since $\{\tilde{U} : U \in \mathcal{T}\}$ forms a basis for the topology of $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$. This implies $\zeta^{-1} \circ \zeta_* \simeq \text{id}$. \square

Example 2.6.4. Let us see some examples of \mathcal{T} -spectra.

- (i) When \mathcal{T} is the family of Example 2.1.2 the \mathcal{T} -spectrum $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$ of X is the semialgebraic spectrum of X ([10]). When X is semialgebraic, then $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}} = \tilde{X}$, the semialgebraic spectrum of X from [9].
- (ii) When \mathcal{T} is the family of Example 2.1.3 the \mathcal{T} -spectrum $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$ of X is the subanalytic spectrum of X . The equivalence $\text{Mod}(k_{\tilde{X}_{s_a}}) \simeq \text{Mod}(k_{X_{s_a}})$ was used in [38] to bound the homological dimension of subanalytic sheaves.
- (iii) When \mathcal{T} is the family of Example 2.1.5 the \mathcal{T} -spectrum $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$ of X is the o-minimal spectrum of X . When X is a definable space, then $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}} = \tilde{X}$, the o-minimal spectrum of X from [33, 19].

3. EXAMPLES

In this section we recall our main examples of \mathcal{T} -sheaves. Good references on o-minimality are, for example, the book [13] by van den Dries and the notes [8] by Coste. For semialgebraic geometry relevant to this paper the reader should consult the work by Delfs [10], Delfs and Knebusch [11] and the book [7] by Bochnak, Coste and Roy. For subanalytic geometry we refer to the work [6] by Bierstone and Milman.

3.1. The semialgebraic site. Let $R = (R, <, 0, 1, +, \cdot)$ be a real closed field. Let X be a locally semialgebraic space and consider the subfamily of $\text{Op}(X)$ defined by $\mathcal{T} = \{U \in \text{Op}(X) : U \text{ is semialgebraic}\}$. The family \mathcal{T} satisfies (2.1) and the associated site $X_{\mathcal{T}}$ is the semialgebraic site on X of [10, 11]. Note also that: (i) the \mathcal{T} -subsets of X are exactly the semialgebraic subsets of X ([7]); (ii) $\mathcal{T}_{loc} = \{U \in \text{Op}(X) : U \text{ is locally semialgebraic}\}$ and (iii) the \mathcal{T}_{loc} -subsets of X are exactly the locally semialgebraic subsets of X ([11]).

One can show (using triangulation of semialgebraic sets, as in [26]) that the family $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ corresponds to the family of sheaves which are locally constant on a locally semi-algebraic stratification of X . For each $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}})$ there exists a filtrant inductive system $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ in $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ such that $F \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i$.

The subcategory of \mathcal{T} -flabby sheaves corresponds to the subcategory of *sa*-flabby sheaves of [10] and it is injective with respect to $\Gamma(U; \bullet)$, $U \in \text{Op}(X_{\mathcal{T}})$ and $\text{Hom}_{k_{\mathcal{T}}}(G, \bullet)$, $G \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$. Our results on \mathcal{T} -flabby sheaves generalize those for *sa*-flabby sheaves from [10].

We call in this case the \mathcal{T} -spectrum $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$ of X the semialgebraic spectrum of X . The points of $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$ are the ultrafilters α of locally semialgebraic subsets of X such that $U \in \alpha$ for some $U \in \text{Op}(X_{\mathcal{T}})$. This is a locally weakly quasi-compact space with basis of quasi-compact open subsets given by $\{\tilde{U} : U \in \text{Op}(X_{\mathcal{T}})\}$ and there is an equivalence of categories $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}}) \simeq \text{Mod}(k_{\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}})$. When X is semialgebraic, then $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}} = \tilde{X}$, the semialgebraic spectrum of X from [9], and there is an equivalence of categories $\text{Mod}(k_{\mathcal{T}}) \simeq \text{Mod}(k_{\tilde{X}})$ ([10]).

3.2. The subanalytic site. Let X be a real analytic manifold and consider the subfamily of $\text{Op}(X)$ defined by $\mathcal{T} = \text{Op}^c(X_{sa}) = \{U \in \text{Op}(X_{sa}) : U \text{ is subanalytic relatively compact}\}$. The family \mathcal{T} satisfies (2.1) and the associated site $X_{\mathcal{T}}$ is the subanalytic site X_{sa} of [28, 35]. In this case the \mathcal{T}_{loc} -subsets are the subanalytic subsets of X .

The family $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$ corresponds to the family $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^c(k_X)$ of \mathbb{R} -constructible sheaves with compact support, and for each $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ there exists a filtrant inductive system $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ in $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^c(k_X)$ such that $F \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i$.

The subcategory of \mathcal{T} -flabby sheaves corresponds to quasi-injective sheaves and it is injective with respect to $\Gamma(U; \bullet)$, $U \in \text{Op}(X_{sa})$ and $\text{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G, \bullet)$, $G \in \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$.

We call in this case the \mathcal{T} -spectrum $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$ of X the subanalytic spectrum of X and denote it by \tilde{X}_{sa} . The points of \tilde{X}_{sa} are the ultrafilters of subanalytic subsets of X such that $U \in \alpha$ for some $U \in \text{Op}^c(X_{sa})$. Then there is an equivalence of categories $\text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}) \simeq \text{Mod}(k_{\tilde{X}_{sa}})$.

Let $U \in \text{Op}(X_{sa})$ and denote by $U_{X_{sa}}$ the site with the topology induced by X_{sa} . This corresponds to the site $X_{\mathcal{T}}$, where $\mathcal{T} = \text{Op}^c(X_{sa}) \cap U$. In this situation (2.1) is satisfied.

3.3. The conic subanalytic site. Let X be a real analytic manifold endowed with a subanalytic action μ of \mathbb{R}^+ . In other words we have a subanalytic map

$$\mu : X \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow X,$$

which satisfies, for each $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$:

$$\begin{cases} \mu(x, t_1 t_2) = \mu(\mu(x, t_1), t_2), \\ \mu(x, 1) = x. \end{cases}$$

Denote by $X_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ the topological space X endowed with the conic topology, i.e. $U \in \text{Op}(X_{\mathbb{R}^+})$ if it is open for the topology of X and invariant by the action of \mathbb{R}^+ . We will denote by $\text{Op}^c(X_{\mathbb{R}^+})$ the subcategory of $\text{Op}(X_{\mathbb{R}^+})$ consisting of relatively weakly quasi-compact open subsets.

Consider the subfamily of $\text{Op}(X_{\mathbb{R}^+})$ defined by $\mathcal{T} = \text{Op}^c(X_{sa, \mathbb{R}^+}) = \{U \in \text{Op}^c(X_{\mathbb{R}^+}) : U \text{ is subanalytic}\}$. The family \mathcal{T} satisfies (2.1) and the associated site $X_{\mathcal{T}}$ is the conic subanalytic site X_{sa, \mathbb{R}^+} . In this case the \mathcal{T}_{loc} -subsets are the conic subanalytic subsets.

Set $\text{Coh}(X_{sa, \mathbb{R}^+}) = \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$. For each $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa, \mathbb{R}^+}})$ there exists a filtrant inductive system $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ in $\text{Coh}(X_{sa, \mathbb{R}^+})$ such that $F \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i$.

The subcategory of \mathcal{T} -flabby sheaves is injective with respect to $\Gamma(U; \bullet)$, $U \in \text{Op}(X_{sa, \mathbb{R}^+})$ and $\text{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa, \mathbb{R}^+}}}(G, \bullet)$, $G \in \text{Coh}(X_{sa, \mathbb{R}^+})$.

We call in this case the \mathcal{T} -spectrum $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$ of X the conic subanalytic spectrum of X and denote it by $\tilde{X}_{sa, \mathbb{R}^+}$. The points of $\tilde{X}_{sa, \mathbb{R}^+}$ are the ultrafilters α of conic subanalytic subsets of X such that $U \in \alpha$ for some $U \in \text{Op}^c(X_{sa, \mathbb{R}^+})$. Then there is an equivalence of categories $\text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa, \mathbb{R}^+}}) \simeq \text{Mod}(k_{\tilde{X}_{sa, \mathbb{R}^+}})$.

3.4. The o-minimal site. Let $\mathcal{M} = (M, <, (c)_{c \in \mathcal{C}}, (f)_{f \in \mathcal{F}}, (R)_{R \in \mathcal{R}})$ be an arbitrary o-minimal structure. Let X be a locally definable space and consider the subfamily of $\text{Op}(X)$ defined by $\mathcal{T} = \text{Op}(X_{\text{def}}) = \{U \in \text{Op}(X) : U \text{ is definable}\}$. The family \mathcal{T} satisfies (2.1) and the associated site $X_{\mathcal{T}}$ is the o-minimal site X_{def} of [19]. Note also that: (i) the \mathcal{T} -subsets of X are exactly the definable subsets of X (by the cell decomposition theorem in [13], see [19] Proposition 2.1); (ii) $\mathcal{T}_{loc} = \{U \in \text{Op}(X) : U \text{ is locally definable}\}$ and (iii) the \mathcal{T}_{loc} -subsets of X are exactly the locally definable subsets of X .

Set $\text{Coh}(X_{\text{def}}) = \text{Coh}(\mathcal{T})$. For each $F \in \text{Mod}(k_{X_{\text{def}}})$ there exists a filtrant inductive system $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ in $\text{Coh}(X_{\text{def}})$ such that $F \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i$.

The subcategory of \mathcal{T} -flabby sheaves (or definably flabby sheaves) is injective with respect to $\Gamma(U; \bullet)$, $U \in \text{Op}(X_{\text{def}})$ and $\text{Hom}_{k_{X_{\text{def}}}}(G, \bullet)$, $G \in \text{Coh}(X_{\text{def}})$.

We call in this case the \mathcal{T} -spectrum $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$ of X the definable or o-minimal spectrum of X and denote it by \tilde{X}_{def} . The points of \tilde{X}_{def} are the ultrafilters α of the Boolean algebra of locally definable subsets of X such that $U \in \alpha$ for some $U \in \text{Op}(X_{\text{def}})$. This is a locally weakly quasi-compact space with basis of quasi-compact open subsets given by $\{\tilde{U} : U \in \text{Op}(X_{\text{def}})\}$ and there is an equivalence of categories $\text{Mod}(k_{X_{\text{def}}}) \simeq \text{Mod}(k_{\tilde{X}_{\text{def}}})$. When X is definable, then $\tilde{X}_{\text{def}} = \tilde{X}$, the o-minimal spectrum of X from [33, 19], and there is an equivalence of categories $\text{Mod}(k_{X_{\text{def}}}) \simeq \text{Mod}(k_{\tilde{X}})$ ([19]).

Finally observe that since locally semialgebraic spaces are locally definable spaces in a real closed field and real closed fields are o-minimal structures and, relatively compact subanalytic sets are definable sets in the o-minimal expansion of the field of real numbers by restricted globally analytic functions, both the semialgebraic and subanalytic sheaf theory are special cases of the o-minimal sheaf theory.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aizenbud, D. Gourevitch, Schwartz functions on Nash manifolds, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* no. **5** (2008).
- [2] A. Aizenbud, D. Gourevitch, The de Rham theorem and Shapiro lemma for Schwartz functions on Nash manifolds, *Israel J. Math.* **177** pp. 155-188 (2010).
- [3] E. Baro, M. Otero, Locally definable homotopy, *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* **161** no. **4**, pp. 488-503 (2010).
- [4] A. Berarducci, O-minimal spectra, infinitesimal subgroups and cohomology, *J. Symb. Logic* **72**, pp. 1177-1193 (2007).
- [5] A. Berarducci, Cohomology of groups in o-minimal structures: acyclicity of the infinitesimal subgroup, *J. Symb. Logic* **74**, pp. 891-900 (2009).
- [6] E. Bierstorne, D. Milmann, Semianalytic and subanalytic sets, *Publ. I.H.E.S.* **67**, pp. 5-42 (1988).
- [7] J. Bochnak, M. Coste, M. F. Roy, *Real algebraic geometry*, *Ergebnisse der Math.* **36**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1998).
- [8] M. Coste, An introduction to o-minimal geometry, *Dip. Mat. Univ. Pisa, Dottorato di Ricerca in Matematica, Istituti Editoriali e Poligrafici Internazionali*, Pisa (2000).
- [9] M. Coste, M. F. Roy, La topologie du spectre réel, in *Ordered fields and real algebraic geometry*, *Contemporary Mathematics* **8**, pp. 27-59 (1982).
- [10] H. Delfs, Homology of locally semialgebraic spaces, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1484**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1991).
- [11] H. Delfs, M. Knebusch, *Locally semi-algebraic spaces*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1173**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1985).
- [12] J. Denef, L. van den Dries, p -adic and real subanalytic sets, *Ann. Math.* **128**, pp. 79-138 (1988).
- [13] L. van den Dries, *Tame topology and o-minimal structures*, *London Math. Society Lecture Notes Series* **248**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998).
- [14] L. van den Dries, A. Macintyre, D. Marker, The elementary theory of restricted analytic fields with exponentiation, *Ann. Math.* **140** pp. 183-205 (1994).

- [15] L. van den Dries, C. Miller, On the real exponential field with restricted analytic functions, *Israel J. Math.* **85**, pp. 19-56 (1994).
- [16] L. van den Dries, C. Miller, Geometric categories and o-minimal structures, *Duke Math. J.* **84**, pp. 497-540 (1996).
- [17] L. van den Dries, P. Speissegger, The real field with convergent generalized power series, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **350**, pp. 4377-4421 (1998).
- [18] L. van den Dries, P. Speissegger, The field of reals with multisummable series and the exponential function, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **81**, pp. 513-565 (2000).
- [19] M. Edmundo, G. Jones, N. Peatfield, Sheaf cohomology in o-minimal structures, *J. Math. Logic* **6**, pp. 163-179 (2006).
- [20] M. Edmundo and L. Prelli, Poincaré - Verdier duality in o-minimal structures, *Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble* **60**, pp. 1259-1288 (2010).
- [21] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, **6**, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin (1989).
- [22] R. Godement, *Topologie algébrique et théorie des faisceaux*, Hermann, Paris (1958).
- [23] E. Hrushovski, Y. Peterzil, A question of van den Dries and a theorem of Lipshitz and Robinson; not everything is standard, *J. Symb. Logic* **72**, pp. 119-122 (2007).
- [24] B. Iversen, *Cohomology of sheaves*, Universitext Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1986).
- [25] P. T. Johnstone, *Stone spaces*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math. **3** Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1982).
- [26] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, *Sheaves on manifolds*, Grundlehren der Math. **292**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1990).
- [27] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, Moderate and formal cohomology associated with constructible sheaves, *Mémoires Soc. Math. France* **64** (1996).
- [28] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, Ind-sheaves, *Astérisque* **271** (2001).
- [29] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, *Categories and sheaves*, Grundlehren der Math. **332**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2006).
- [30] G. Morando, An existence theorem for tempered solutions of \mathcal{D} -modules on complex curves, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **43** no. **3**, pp. 625-659 (2007).
- [31] G. Morando, Tempered solutions of \mathcal{D} -modules on complex curves and formal invariants, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **59** no. **4**, pp. 1611-1639 (2009).
- [32] L. Lipshitz, Z. Robinson, Overconvergent real closed quantifier elimination, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **38**, pp. 897-906 (2006).
- [33] A. Pillay, Sheaves of continuous definable functions, *J. Symb. Logic* **53**, pp. 1165-1169 (1988).
- [34] L. Prelli, *Sheaves on subanalytic sites*, Phd Thesis, Universities of Padova and Paris 6 (2006).
- [35] L. Prelli, *Sheaves on subanalytic sites*, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* Vol. **120**, pp. 167-216 (2008).
- [36] L. Prelli, Conic sheaves on subanalytic sites and Laplace transform, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* Vol. **125**, pp. 173-206 (2011).
- [37] L. Prelli, De Rham theorem for Schwartz functions on Nash manifolds, *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, Volume **197**, Issue **1**, pp. 131-137 (2013).
- [38] L. Prelli, On the homological dimension of o-minimal and subanalytic sheaves, *Portugaliae Math.* Vol. **67** Issue **3**, pp. 403-411 (2010).
- [39] G. Tamme, *Introduction to étale cohomology*, Universitext Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1994).
- [40] A. Wilkie, Model completeness results for expansions of the ordered field of real numbers by restricted Pfaffian functions and the exponential function, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** pp. 1051-1094 (1996).

UNIVERSIDADE ABERTA & CMAF UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA, AV. PROF. GAMA PINTO 2,
1649-003 LISBOA, PORTUGAL

E-mail address: edmundo@cii.fc.ul.pt

CMAF UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA, AV. PROF. GAMA PINTO 2, 1649-003 LISBOA, PORTUGAL