different genes coding for putative GPCRs have been identified

Structure-Activity Studies on Neuropeptide S IDENTIFICATION OF THE AMINO ACID RESIDUES CRUCIAL FOR RECEPTOR ACTIVATION*

Received for publication, February 27, 2006, and in revised form, May 23, 2006 Published, JBC Papers in Press, May 23, 2006, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M601846200

Adelheid L. Roth[‡], Erika Marzola[§], Anna Rizzi[¶], Marika Arduin[§], Claudio Trapella[§], Corrado Corti[‡], Raffaella Vergura^{¶1}, Prisca Martinelli[‡], Severo Salvadori[§], Domenico Regoli[¶], Mauro Corsi[‡], Paolo Cavanni[‡], Girolamo Caló^{¶2}, and Remo Guerrini[§]

From the [‡]Department of Biology, Psychiatry Center of Excellence for Drug Discovery, GlaxoSmithKline Pharmaceuticals, Via Alessandro Fleming 2, 37135 Verona, Italy and the [§]Department of Pharmaceutical Science and Biotechnology Center and the [¶]Department of Experimental and Clinical Medicine, Section of Pharmacology and Neuroscience Center, University of Ferrara, Via Fossato di Mortara 19, 44100 Ferrara, Italy

Neuropeptide S (NPS) has been recently recognized as the endogenous ligand for the previous orphan G-protein-coupled receptor GPR154, now referred to as the NPS receptor (NPSR). The NPS-NPSR receptor system regulates important biological functions such as sleeping/wakening, locomotion, anxiety, and food intake. To collect information on the mechanisms of interaction between NPS and its receptor, a classical structure-activity relationship study was performed. Human (h) NPS derivatives obtained by Ala and D-scan and N- and C-terminal truncation were assessed for their ability to stimulate calcium release in HEK293 cells expressing the human recombinant NPSR. The results of this study indicate that (i) the effect of hNPS is mimicked by the fragment hNPS-(1-10); (ii) Phe², Arg³, and Asn⁴ are crucial for biological activity; (iii) the sequence Thr⁸-Gly⁹-Met¹⁰ is important for receptor activation, although with non-stringent chemical requirements; and (iv) the sequence Val⁶-Gly⁷ acts as a hinge region between the two above-mentioned domains. However, the stimulatory effect of hNPS given intracerebroventricularly on mouse locomotor activity was not fully mimicked by hNPS-(1-10), suggesting that the C-terminal region of the peptide maintains importance for in vivo activity. In conclusion, this study identified the amino acid residues of this peptide most important for receptor activation.

Many drugs produce therapeutic activities by interacting with G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs),³ which represent, at present, the most important biological target for drug discovery (1, 2). This may remain true in the future, as at least 800

in the human genome, \sim 360 of which encode transmitter GPCRs (3), yet the endogenous ligand is known for only \sim 240 receptors, whereas the others are still orphans (2). Novel drugs acting on orphan GPCRs are likely to provide innovative treatments for a variety of pathological conditions and diseases. The first step in understanding the function and the potential of an orphan receptor as drug target is the identification of its endogenous ligand. In the last 10 years, the reverse pharmacology technique (4), *i.e.* the use of a recombinant orphan GPCR as a target for identifying its endogenous ligand, has been validated as a successful approach for the identification of novel transmitter systems. In particular, several novel peptide receptor systems have been identified with such an approach, including nociceptin/orphanin FQ, prolactin-releasing peptide, orexins, apelin, ghrelin, urotensin II, metastatin, neuropeptide B, neuropeptide W, and neuropeptide FF and their receptors (for a recent review, see Ref. 2). These novel peptide receptor systems have been demonstrated to play important roles in regulating several biological functions, e.g. food intake, pain transmission, cardiovascular homeostasis, sleep behavior, stress responses, and drug reward (4). One of the latest neuropeptides identified by the reverse pharmacology approach is neuropeptide S (NPS) (5, 6). Human (h) NPS is a 20-residue peptide with the primary sequence SFRNGVGTGMKKTSFQRAKS, which is highly conserved across species. The N-terminal serine residue, which is present in all species analyzed so far, gave the name to this novel neuropeptide. As with other neuropeptides, hNPS is cleaved from a larger precursor protein containing a hydrophobic signal peptide and proteolytic processing sites (7). hNPS (as well as the rat and mouse isoforms of this peptide) selectively binds and activates a previous orphan GPCR known as GPR154 (GenBankTM accession number NM20127), which shows low homology to other members of the GPCR family (5). After its pairing with NPS, the GPR154 receptor was renamed the NPS receptor and abbreviated as NPSR by Civelli and co-workers (5). In this study, we have adopted the abbreviations NPS for the peptide and NPSR for its receptor; however, we would like to emphasize that the latter abbreviation has to be considered provisional because it is not in line with the recommendations of the International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology for receptor nomenclature (8): the receptor name should not include the letter R as an abbreviation for receptor.

^{*} This work was supported in part by the University of Ferrara (60% Grant to S. S., D. R., and G. C.) and GlaxoSmithKline Pharmaceuticals (to the Department of Biology, Psychiatry Center of Excellence for Drug Discovery, Verona, Italy). The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

¹ Recipient of a bourse from Consorzio Spinner, Regione Emilia-Romagna, Fondo Sociale Europeo 2000–2006.

² To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: 39-0532-291-221: Fax: 39-0532-291-205; E-mail: g.calo@unife.it.

³ The abbreviations used are: GPCRs, G-protein-coupled receptors; NPS, neuropeptide S; h, human; NPSR, neuropeptide S receptor; HEK, human embryonic kidney; FLIPR, fluorescence imaging plate reader; WT, wild-type; Fmoc, *N*-(9-fluorenyl)methoxycarbonyl; HPLC, high pressure liquid chromatography; ANOVA, analysis of variance.

In situ hybridization studies revealed that NPSR mRNA is widely expressed throughout the nervous system. In contrast, NPS precursor protein mRNA is strongly expressed only in the locus coeruleus area and in few nuclei of the brainstem (5). hNPS binds with high affinity ($K_d = 0.33$ nM) to the hNPSR expressed in HEK293 cells, where it increases the intracellular calcium levels with high potency ($EC_{50} = 9.4 \text{ nM}$) (5). In vivo, NPS injected intracerebroventricularly in mice at subnanomolar doses stimulates spontaneous locomotor activity and consistently induces anxiolytic-like effects in a battery of behavioral tests (open field, light-dark, elevated plus maze, marble burying) (5). These anxiolytic-like properties of NPS were recently confirmed in the mouse four-plate model and demonstrated to be mediated by a non-benzodiazepine mechanism (9). Moreover, NPS given intracerebroventricularly suppresses all stages of sleep in rats, indicating that this peptide may induce wakefulness (5). The profile of behavioral effects evoked by the intracerebroventricular administration of NPS (stimulation of arousal and wakefulness together with anxiolytic-like effects) appears to be quite unique because stimulants such as amphetamine and cocaine potently induce arousal but, at the same time, are anxiogenic. On the other hand, anxiolytics such as benzodiazepines produce sedative effects and are widely used as hypnotics. A variant form of the NPSR was recently identified as a candidate gene for asthma susceptibility (10); in addition, the NPSR was found to be significantly up-regulated in mouse lung after ovalbumin challenge in sensitized compared with non-sensitized mice (10). An N107I variant of the NPSR was recently characterized pharmacologically, and the mutation was demonstrated to cause a gain of function and to lead to an increase in agonist potency without affecting binding affinity (11). Finally, in line with NPSR expression in the hypothalamus, a key brain region for the regulation of food intake, a recent publication demonstrated an inhibitory effect of NPS given intracerebroventricularly on food intake in rats (12).

In summary, the NPS-NPSR system seems to play an important role in regulating central and peripheral functions; the development of NPSR-selective ligands is now needed to determine the therapeutic potential of novel drugs interacting with this receptor. NPSR agonists might have clinical application in the treatment of obesity, hypersomnia/narcolepsy, and anxiety disorders without causing sedation.

In this work, we report the results of a classical structureactivity relationship study in which individual amino acids of the primary sequence were replaced with an alanine residue (Ala-scan) or the corresponding enantiomer (D-scan). Moreover, the N- and C-terminal portions of the peptide were progressively shortened (N- and C-terminal truncation) by single amino acid residue elimination. An HEK293 clonal cell line stably expressing the hNPSR was generated, and a functional assay was developed. All the NPS-related peptides were assayed for their ability to activate or inhibit using hNPSR-mediated Ca²⁺ mobilization. hNPS-(1-10) was identified as the minimum sequence required to activate the hNPSR in vitro with similar potency and efficacy as full-length hNPS. In addition, the in vivo effects of hNPS and its analog hNPS-(1-10) on locomotion were assessed after intracerebroventricular administration in mice.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Generation of a Stable HEK293 Clonal Cell Line Expressing Human GPR154-The open reading frame of the hNPSR (human GPR154, GenBankTM accession number NM 20127) was cloned into the expression vector pcDNA3.1 (Invitrogen). HEK293 cells were transfected by the FuGENE 6 method (Roche Applied Science, Basel, Switzerland) at a plasmid/reagent ratio of 1:3. On day 2 post-transfection, Geneticin (400 μ g/ml) was added to the culture medium. After 6 days of selection, clonal foci of cells expressing the Geneticin resistance gene encoded by the pcDNA3.1-hNPSR plasmid were selected and expanded. Functional expression of the hNPSR was evaluated by measuring NPS-stimulated calcium response over several passages in 10 different clones. On the basis of the robustness of the calcium response to hNPS measured by the fluorescence imaging plate reader (FLIPR) assay, clone 6 of HEK293 cells expressing the hNPSR (referred to as HEK293/ hNPSR cells) was chosen for all further studies.

Cell Culture—HEK293/hNPSR cells were maintained in minimal essential medium containing Earle's salts supplemented with 10% dialyzed fetal bovine serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1% nonessential amino acids, and 400 μ g/ml Geneticin. Wild-type (WT) HEK293 cells were maintained in the same medium in the absence of Geneticin. Both cell lines were cultured at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ in a humidified environment.

FLIPR Experiments—HEK293/hNPSR and WT HEK293 cells were seeded at a density of 50,000 cells/well into poly-D-lysinecoated, 96-well black wall, clear bottom plates (BioCoat, BD Biosciences). The day after, the medium was removed by aspiration, and labeling medium (100 μ l/well; cell culture medium supplemented with 20 mM HEPES, 2.5 mM probenecid, 2 μ M fluo-4 acetoxymethyl ester (Invitrogen), and 0.01% pluronic acid) was added. After 45 min at 37 °C, the labeling medium was aspirated, and assay buffer (100 μ l/well; Hanks' balanced salt solution supplemented with 20 mM HEPES, 2.5 mM probenecid, and 500 μ M brilliant black) was added. Peptides were dissolved in Hanks' balanced salt solution/HEPES (20 mM), and serial dilutions were carried out in Hanks' balanced salt solution/ HEPES (20 mM) containing 0.02% (w/v) bovine serum albumin (fraction V) to prepare a master plate at a 3-fold concentration. Carbachol (10 μ M final concentration), which stimulates muscarinic receptors endogenously expressed by HEK293 cells, was included in each FLIPR experiment for evaluating cell viability and responsiveness. Fluorescence changes were measured (excitation $\lambda = 488$ nm and emission $\lambda = 510$ nm) at room temperature. On-line additions were carried out in a volume of 50 μ l/well. The maximum change in fluorescence over the base line was used to determine agonist response. The agonist activity of hNPS analogs was evaluated by generating concentrationresponse curves in the range of 1 pM to 1 μ M. Antagonist activity was investigated by generating inhibition curves for hNPS analogs (1 pM to 1 μ M concentration range, 10-min preincubation time) against the stimulatory effect elicited by 30 nm hNPS (which corresponds approximately to the EC_{80}) (see Fig. 1).

Mouse Spontaneous Locomotor Activity—Male Swiss albino mice (Morini Farm, Reggio Emilia, Italy) weighing 30–35 g were used. They were housed in $425 \times 266 \times 155$ -mm cages

iterative fitting of the resultant

responses. The data presented are

the means of at least three inde-

pendent experiments performed in

triplicate. The pharmacological ter-

minology adopted in this study is in

line with the recommendations of

the International Union of Basic

and Clinical Pharmacology (17):

the agonist potencies are given as

 pEC_{50} = the negative logarithm to

base 10 of the molar concentration

of an agonist that produces 50% of



FIGURE 1. **FLIPR calcium assay.** Shown are the concentration-response curves of Ca^{2+} mobilization induced by human NPS synthesized in-house (*left panel*) compared side by side with the commercially available human, mouse (*m*), and rat (*r*) peptides (*right panel*) in an HEK293 clonal cell line expressing the hNPSR. The data are the means \pm S.E. of a single experiment performed in triplicate.

(Tecniplast, Mantova, Italy), 9 animals/cage, under standard conditions (22 °C, 55% humidity, 12-h light-dark cycle, light on at 7:00 a.m.) with food (Mangime Italiano da Laboratorio, standard diet, Morini Farm) and water ad libitum for at least 7 days before experiments began. Mice were intracerebroventricularly injected (injection volume of 2 μ l) under light ether anesthesia (*i.e.* just sufficient for losing the righting reflex) using the "free hand" technique described by Laursen and Belknap (13). In brief, a 27-gauge needle attached via a polyethylene tube to a 10- μ l Hamilton syringe was used for the injection at an \sim 45° angle at 2 mm lateral to the bregma midline. Each mouse received only one intracerebroventricular injection. Experiments were carried out between 9:00 a.m. and 1:00 p.m. Doseresponse curves for hNPS (dose range of 0.01-1 nmol) and hNPS-(1-10) (dose range of 0.1-10 nmol) were generated in mice acclimatized to the test chamber for 1 h prior to the injection. Locomotor activity was assessed using Basile activity cages as described previously (14). At least 12 mice were randomly assigned to each treatment.

Peptide Synthesis—hNPS and its analogs were synthesized according to published methods (15) using Fmoc/t-butyl chemistry with a Syro XP multiple peptide synthesizer (MultiSynTech GmbH, Witten Germany). Preloaded Fmoc 4-benzyloxybenzyl ester resin (Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland) was used for the synthesis of all peptides. The peptides were cleaved from the resin using reagent B (16); after filtration of the exhausted resin, the solvent was concentrated *in vacuo*, and the residue was triturated with ether. Crude peptides were purified by preparative reversed-phase HPLC, and the purity grade was checked by analytical HPLC analyses and mass spectrometry using a matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Bruker BioScience Corp., Billerica, MA) and an electrospray ionization Micromass ZMD-2000 mass spectrometer (Waters).

Drugs and Reagents—Mouse and rat NPS were purchased from Phoenix Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Belmont, CA). hNPS was also purchased from the same supplier for comparison with the homemade peptide. Cell culture media and supplements were from Invitrogen. All other reagents were purchased from Sigma.

Data Analysis and Terminology—In vitro data were analyzed by nonlinear regression with GraphPad Prism software (Version 4.0) using the four-parameter logistic equation, allowing the maximum possible effect. For *in vivo* data, locomotor activity was expressed both as impulses/5 min over the time course of the experiment and as cumulative impulses over the first and second 30-min observation periods. Differences between groups were assessed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test. p < 0.05 versus saline (*in vivo*) or versus full-length hNPS (*in vitro*) was considered to be significantly different.

RESULTS

In Vitro Studies

HEK293/hNPSR Cell Line Characterization and Effects of Species-specific NPS Isoforms-A typical concentration-response curve for hNPS in this clonal hNPSR cell line is shown in Fig. 1. The in-house synthesized hNPS produced a concentration-dependent increase in intracellular calcium levels ($[Ca^{2+}]_i$), with a pEC₅₀ of 8.39 \pm 0.08 and an $E_{\rm max}$ corresponding to 3.7 \pm 0.2-fold the basal fluorescence levels. Similar results in terms of both potency and maximum effects were obtained by testing the commercially available human NPS (pEC $_{50}$ = 8.57 \pm 0.09), mouse NPS $(pEC_{50} = 8.66 \pm 0.16)$, and rat NPS $(pEC_{50} = 8.83 \pm 0.17)$ as shown in Fig. 1. None of the above-mentioned peptides was able to induce Ca^{2+}_{i} mobilization in WT HEK293 cells (data not shown), whereas HEK293/hNPSR and WT HEK293 cells were similarly sensitive to the stimulatory effect of 10 μ M carbachol (4.4 ± 0.8 - and 4.2 ± 0.2 -fold over basal levels, respectively), acting on a muscarinic receptor endogenously expressed in HEK293 cells.

hNPS Ala-scan—Amino acid side chain contribution to the biological effect of hNPS was evaluated by systematic alanine replacement of each residue of the peptide primary sequence. Thus, 19 Ala-substituted hNPS analogs (Ala is present in the natural sequence at position 18) were synthesized and tested for their ability to stimulate $Ca^{2+}{}_i$ mobilization in HEK293/hNPSR cells. The results of these experiments are summarized in Table 1. The Ala replacement of Ser¹ did not significantly modify either peptide potency or efficacy. In contrast, the substitution of Phe² with Ala generated an inactive analog. The substitution of Arg³ with Ala produced a statistically significant decrease in peptide potency and efficacy. In fact, the maximum effect elicited by [Ala³]hNPS was approximately half that produced by the naturally occurring peptide. The N4A substitution produced a dramatic loss of potency (at

TABLE 1

Ala-scan of hNPS

Data are the means \pm S.E. of at least three separate experiments. E_{max} is expressed as a percentage of the maximum effect elicited by hNPS in the same experiment. Inactive, inactive up to 1 μ M; CRC incomplete, concentration-response curve incomplete (the effect elicited by the compound at 1 μ M is reported).

Compound	Peptide sequence													Agonist ef	fect							
Compound	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	pEC ₅₀	E _{max}
																						%
hNPS	S	F	R	Ν	G	V	G	Т	G	М	Κ	Κ	Т	S	F	Q	R	Α	Κ	S	7.94 ± 0.03	100
[Ala ¹]hNPS	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.79 ± 0.09	85 ± 4
[Ala ²]hNPS	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Inactive	Inactive
[Ala ³]hNPS	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.86 ± 0.09^{a}	49 ± 5^{a}
[Ala ⁴]hNPS	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	CRC incomplete	25 ± 5
[Ala⁵]hNPS	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.51 ± 0.13	89 ± 9
[Ala ⁶]hNPS	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.76 ± 0.15	98 ± 5
[Ala ⁷]hNPS	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	CRC incomplete	31 ± 7
[Ala ⁸]hNPS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.02 ± 0.13	93 ± 11
[Ala ⁹]hNPS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.11 ± 0.12	96 ± 7
[Ala ¹⁰]hNPS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.09 ± 0.10	97 ± 5
[Ala ¹¹]hNPS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.78 ± 0.10	96 ± 5
[Ala ¹²]hNPS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.95 ± 0.16	97 ± 5
[Ala ¹³]hNPS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.01 ± 0.13	95 ± 9
[Ala ¹⁴]hNPS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.92 ± 0.12	105 ± 12
[Ala ¹⁵]hNPS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	7.97 ± 0.15	103 ± 18
[Ala ¹⁶]hNPS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	7.99 ± 0.19	92 ± 4
[Ala ¹⁷]hNPS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	7.90 ± 0.19	87 ± 4
[Ala ¹⁹]hNPS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	8.03 ± 0.09	95 ± 5
[Ala ²⁰]hNPS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	7.98 ± 0.07	94 ± 9

 $^ap < 0.05$ versus hNPS (ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test).

TABLE 2

D-scan of hNPS

Data are the means \pm S.E. of at least three separate experiments. E_{max} is expressed as a percentage of the maximum effect elicited by hNPS in the same experiment. Inactive, inactive up to 1 μ M; CRC incomplete, concentration-response curve incomplete (the effect elicited by the compound at 1 μ M is reported).

1 1																	*		•		,	
Compound										Pep	otide s	equer	ice								Agonist effect	
Compound	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	pEC ₅₀	E _{max}
																						%
hNPS	S	F	R	Ν	G	V	G	Т	G	Μ	Κ	Κ	Т	S	F	Q	R	А	Κ	S	8.10 ± 0.24	100
[D-Ser ¹]hNPS	s	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	7.81 ± 0.12	90 ± 17
[D-Phe ²]hNPS	-	f	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	6.51 ± 0.30^{a}	109 ± 10
[D-Arg ³]hNPS	-	-	r	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.03 ± 0.14^{a}	106 ± 4
[D-Asn ⁴]hNPS	-	-	_	n	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.12 ± 0.26^{a}	101 ± 5
[D-Val ⁶]hNPS	-	-	-	-	-	v	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	CRC incomplete	40 ± 3
[D-Thr ⁸]hNPS	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	t	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	7.92 ± 0.07	112 ± 7
[D-Met ¹⁰]hNPS	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	m	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	7.80 ± 0.09	100 ± 6
[D-Lys ¹¹]hNPS	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	k	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.66 ± 0.14	105 ± 3
[D-Lys ¹²]hNPS	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	k	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	7.85 ± 0.12	97 ± 8
[D-Thr ¹³]hNPS	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	t	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	7.75 ± 0.17	105 ± 3
D-Ser ¹⁴]hNPS	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.90 ± 0.07	101 ± 6
[D-Phe ¹⁵]hNPS	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	f	_	_	-	-	_	7.87 ± 0.13	99 ± 8
[D-Gln ¹⁶]hNPS	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	q	_	_	_	_	7.80 ± 0.20	102 ± 8
D-Arg ¹⁷ hNPS	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	r	-	-	-	7.79 ± 0.16	93 ± 4
D-Ala ¹⁸ hNPS	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	a	-	-	7.83 ± 0.28	105 ± 7
[D-Lys ¹⁹]hNPS	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	k	-	7.78 ± 0.14	97 ± 6
[D-Ser ²⁰]hNPS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	7.89 ± 0.15	104 ± 11

 $^ap < 0.05$ versus hNPS (ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test).

least 100-fold) because [Ala⁴]hNPS produced a weak stimulatory effect (26% of that produced by the natural peptide) on $Ca^{2+}{}_{i}$ mobilization only at the highest concentration tested. Interestingly, the substitution of Gly⁷ with Ala generated a peptide with only a weak stimulatory effect (37% of that produced by hNPS) at the highest concentration tested. Ala substitutions from positions 8 to 20 did not significantly modify either the potency or efficacy of hNPS analogs.

hNPS D-*scan*—The contribution of the spatial orientation of the amino acid side chain to the biological effect of hNPS was evaluated by systematic *D*-amino acid replacement of each residue of the peptide primary sequence. Thus, 17 hNPS analogs (the achiral residue Gly is present in the natural sequence at positions 5, 7, and 9) were synthesized and tested for their ability to stimulate $Ca^{2+}{}_{i}$ mobilization in HEK293/hNPSR cells.

The results of these experiments are summarized in Table 2. Whereas inversion of the chirality of Ser¹ did not affect the potency and efficacy of the peptide, the chirality of Phe², Arg³, and Asn⁴ seemed to be of high importance. Substitution of these amino acids with their respective enantiomers caused a statistically significant loss of potency (in the range of 10–30-fold). [D-Val⁶]hNPS produced a weak stimulatory effect (40% of that produced by the natural peptide) on Ca²⁺_i mobilization only at the highest concentration tested. D-Amino acid substitution from positions 8 to 20 did not modify either the potency or efficacy of the peptides, producing hNPS analogs that behaved as potent full agonists.

hNPS N- and C-terminal Truncation—The contribution of the N- and C-terminal domains of the peptide to the biological effects of hNPS were investigated by progressive deletion of 10

TABLE 3

N- and C-terminal truncation of hNPS

Data are the means \pm S.E. of at least three separate experiments. E_{max} is expressed as a percentage of the maximum effect elicited by hNPS in the same experiment. Inactive, inactive up to 1 μ M; CRC incomplete, concentration-response curve incomplete (the effect elicited by the compound at 1 μ M is reported).

Compound										Pep	tide s	equen	ce								Agonist effect	
Compound	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	pEC ₅₀	E _{max}
																						%
hNPS	S	F	R	Ν	G	V	G	Т	G	Μ	Κ	Κ	Т	S	F	Q	R	А	Κ	S	8.54 ± 0.03	100
hNPS-(2-20)		F	R	Ν	G	V	G	Т	G	Μ	Κ	Κ	Т	S	F	Q	R	Α	Κ	S	7.80 ± 0.11^{a}	107 ± 4
hNPS-(3-20)			R	Ν	G	V	G	Т	G	Μ	Κ	Κ	Т	S	F	Q	R	Α	Κ	S	Inactive	Inactive
hNPS-(4-20)				Ν	G	V	G	Т	G	Μ	Κ	Κ	Т	S	F	Q	R	Α	Κ	S	Inactive	Inactive
hNPS-(5-20)					G	V	G	Т	G	Μ	Κ	Κ	Т	S	F	Q	R	Α	Κ	S	Inactive	Inactive
hNPS-(6-20)						V	G	Т	G	Μ	K	Κ	Т	S	F	Q	R	Α	Κ	S	Inactive	Inactive
hNPS-(7-20)							G	Т	G	Μ	Κ	Κ	Т	S	F	Q	R	А	Κ	S	Inactive	Inactive
hNPS-(8-20)								Т	G	Μ	Κ	Κ	Т	S	F	Q	R	Α	Κ	S	Inactive	Inactive
hNPS-(9–20)									G	М	K	Κ	Т	S	F	Q	R	Α	Κ	S	Inactive	Inactive
hNPS-(10-20)										Μ	Κ	Κ	Т	S	F	Q	R	Α	Κ	S	Inactive	Inactive
hNPS-(11–20)											K	Κ	Т	S	F	Q	R	Α	Κ	S	Inactive	Inactive
hNPS-(1–19)	S	F	R	Ν	G	V	G	Т	G	М	K	Κ	Т	S	F	Q	R	Α	Κ		8.52 ± 0.06	102 ± 3
hNPS-(1-18)	S	F	R	Ν	G	V	G	Т	G	Μ	Κ	Κ	Т	S	F	Q	R	Α			8.52 ± 0.34	103 ± 4
hNPS-(1-17)	S	F	R	Ν	G	V	G	Т	G	Μ	Κ	Κ	Т	S	F	Q	R				8.30 ± 0.31	105 ± 5
hNPS-(1-16)	S	F	R	Ν	G	V	G	Т	G	Μ	Κ	Κ	Т	S	F	Q					8.64 ± 0.11	98 ± 2
hNPS-(1-15)	S	F	R	Ν	G	V	G	Т	G	Μ	Κ	Κ	Т	S	F						8.29 ± 0.15	102 ± 2
hNPS-(1-14)	S	F	R	Ν	G	V	G	Т	G	Μ	Κ	Κ	Т	S							8.59 ± 0.03	110 ± 5
hNPS-(1-13)	S	F	R	Ν	G	V	G	Т	G	Μ	Κ	Κ	Т								8.45 ± 0.07	109 ± 5
hNPS-(1-12)	S	F	R	Ν	G	V	G	Т	G	Μ	Κ	Κ									8.39 ± 0.25	103 ± 7
hNPS-(1-11)	S	F	R	Ν	G	V	G	Т	G	Μ	Κ										8.31 ± 0.41	92 ± 3
hNPS-(1-10)	S	F	R	Ν	G	V	G	Т	G	Μ											8.32 ± 0.06	106 ± 2

 $^{a}p < 0.05$ versus hNPS (ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test).

TABLE 4

N- and C-terminal truncation of hNPS-(1-10)

Data are the means \pm S.E. of at least three separate experiments. E_{max} is expressed as a percentage of the maximum effect elicited by hNPS in the same experiment. Inactive, inactive up to 1 μ M; CRC incomplete, concentration-response curve incomplete (the effect elicited by the compound at 1 μ M is reported).

					Agonist effect						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	pEC ₅₀	E _{max}
											%
S	F	R	Ν	G	V	G	Т	G	М	8.03 ± 0.06	107 ± 2
	F	R	Ν	G	V	G	Т	G	М	CRC incomplete	39 ± 15
S	F	R	Ν	G	V	G	Т	G		7.77 ± 0.18	103 ± 7
S	F	R	Ν	G	V	G	Т			7.33 ± 0.16^{a}	101 ± 4
S	F	R	Ν	G	V	G				CRC incomplete	67 ± 7
S	F	R	Ν	G	V					CRC incomplete	21 ± 4
S	F	R	Ν	G						Inactive	Inactive
S	F	R	Ν							Inactive	Inactive
	1 S S S S S S S S	1 2 S F S F S F S F S F S F S F S F S F S F S F S F	1 2 3 S F R F R S F R S F R S F R S F R S F R S F R S F R S F R S F R S F R	1 2 3 4 S F R N F R N S F R N S F R N S F R N S F R N S F R N S F R N S F R N S F R N S F R N	Peptide 1 2 3 4 5 S F R N G F R N G S F R N G S F R N G S F R N G S F R N G S F R N G S F R N G S F R N G	Peptide sequence 1 2 3 4 5 6 S F R N G V F R N G V S F R N G V S F R N G V S F R N G V S F R N G V S F R N G V S F R N G V S F R N G V	Peptide sequence 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 S F R N G V G F R N G V G S F R N G V G S F R N G V G S F R N G V G S F R N G V G S F R N G V G S F R N G V G S F R N G V G S F R N G V G	Peptide sequence 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 S F R N G V G T F R N G V G T S F R N G V G T S F R N G V G T S F R N G V G T S F R N G V G T S F R N G V G S S F R N G V G S S F R N G V G S	Peptide sequence 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 S F R N G V G T G F R N G V G T G S F R N G V G T G S F R N G V G T G S F R N G V G T G S F R N G V G T S F R N G V G T S F R N G V G S S F R N G V S S F R N S S S S S S S	Peptide sequence 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 S F R N G V G T G M S F R N G V G T G M S F R N G V G T G M S F R N G V G T G M S F R N G V G T G M S F R N G V G T G M S F R N G V G T G M S F R N G V G T G M S F R N G V G V G S S F R N G	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Peptide sequence & Agonist eff \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & PEC_{50} \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & PEC_{50} \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & PEC_{50} \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & PEC_{50} \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & PEC_{50} \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & PEC_{50} \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & PEC_{50} \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & PEC_{50} \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & PEC_{50} \\ \hline 1 & 5 & F & R & N & G & V & G & T & G & M & CRC incomplete \\ \hline 1 & 5 & F & R & N & G & V & G & T & 7.33 \pm 0.16^{a} \\ \hline 1 & 5 & F & R & N & G & V & G & CRC incomplete \\ \hline 1 & 5 & F & R & N & G & V & G & Inactive \\ \hline 1 & 5 & F & R & N & G & V & Inactive \\ \hline 1 & 5 & F & R & N & G & V & Inactive \\ \hline 1 & 5 & F & R & N & G & V & Inactive \\ \hline 1 & 5 & F & R & N & G & V & Inactive \\ \hline 1 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 7 & 7 & 10.18 \\ \hline 1 & 5 & 5 & 7 & 7 & 10.18 \\ \hline 1 & 5 & 5 & 7 & 7 & 10.18 \\ \hline 1 & 5 & 7 & 7 & 10.18 \\ \hline 1 & 5 & 7 & 7 & 10.18 \\ \hline 1 & 5 & 7 & 7 & 10.18 \\ \hline 1 & 5 & 7 & 7 & 10.18 \\ \hline 1 & 5 & 7 & 7 & 10.18 \\ \hline 1 & 7.77 \pm 0.18 \\ \hline 1 & 7$

 $^{a}p < 0.05$ versus hNPS (ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test).

residues from both sides. The results of these experiments are summarized in Table 3. The deletion of Ser¹ produced a statistically significant reduction in the potency of the peptide without modifying its efficacy. Additional truncations of the N terminus eliminating Phe² caused a dramatic loss of potency, rendering peptides inactive at the highest concentration tested (1 μ M).

The progressive deletion of single amino acids from the C terminus of the molecule from Ser^{20} to Lys^{11} did not affect either peptide potency or efficacy. In fact, hNPS-(1–10) produced a similar maximum effect and potency as the reference peptide hNPS-(1–20).

N- and C-terminal Truncation of hNPS-(1–10)—As hNPS-(1–10) maintained the same biological activity of hNPS, we performed a second round of N- and C-terminal truncated peptide synthesis with hNPS-(1–10) aimed at the identification of the minimum sequence required for hNPSR activation. The results of these additional truncations are summarized in Table 4. A dramatic loss of potency was observed when Ser¹ was deleted (hNPS-(2–10)). The elimination of Met¹⁰ and of Gly⁹-Met¹⁰ produced only slight reductions of potency of ~3- and 5-fold,

respectively. In contrast, the additional deletion of Thr⁸ caused a >100-fold loss of potency with hNPS-(1–7), displaying a pEC₅₀ of <6. Similar results were obtained with the compounds in which the C terminus was further shortened.

hNPS-(1–10) Analogs—The previous results suggested that hNPS-(1–10) is the shortest hNPS sequence that maintains the same potency and efficacy as the natural ligand. To confirm and extend this proposal and to compare the chemical requirements for the biological activity of this fragment with those of the natural sequence, hNPS-(1–10) was resynthesized together with a short series of hNPS-(1–10) analogs. The results of these experiments are summarized in Table 5. The replacement of Val⁶ with the corresponding enantiomer and the substitution of Gly⁷ with Ala generated inactive analogs. The substitution with Ala at positions 8 and 10 alone or in combination produced only a small reduction of the potency of the reference peptide hNPS-(1–10).

All inactive peptides were also tested for putative antagonist activity for the hNPSR by generating inhibition curves (from 1 pm to 1 μ M) against the stimulatory effect elicited by 30 nM hNPS. None of these peptides was able to inhibit the hNPS-mediated response (data not shown). Finally, all peptides were

TABLE 5

hNPS-(1–10) analogs

Data are the means \pm S.E. of three separate experiments. E_{max} is expressed as a percentage of the maximum effect elicited by hNPS in the same experiment. Inactive, inactive up to 1 μ M; CRC incomplete, concentration-response curve incomplete (the effect elicited by the compound at 1 μ M is reported).

Comment				Peptide seq	uence				Agonis	st effect
Сотроина	1 2	3	4	5	6 7	8	9	10	pEC ₅₀	E _{max}
										%
hNPS-(1-10)	S F	R	Ν	G	V G	Т	G	М	8.32 ± 0.06	104 ± 3
$[D-Val^{6}]hNPS-(1-10)$		_	_	_	v –	_	_	_	Inactive	Inactive
$[Ala^7]hNPS-(1-10)$		-	-	-	– A	-	-	-	Inactive	Inactive
$[Ala^8]hNPS-(1-10)$		-	-	-		Α	-	-	7.63 ± 0.12^{a}	97 ± 1
$[Ala^{10}]hNPS-(1-10)$		-	-	-		-	-	A	8.00 ± 0.41	101 ± 2
[Ala", Ala"]hNPS-(1–10)		-	-	-		A	-	A	7.65 ± 0.05^{-5}	106 ± 9
$^{a}p < 0.05$ versus hNPS (ANOVA follow	ed by Dunnet	t's test).								
		800-					800.			
200 - saline		2007			Ť		800-]		
		E 700-					Ê 700.			
		Ē					Ē			
150-		<mark>ج</mark> 600-					9 600-			*
		0,000					(30			
		8 500-					Se 500-			*
		nd					Ind			
	1	÷ 400-					E 400-		Г	
		er o				T	to	1		
≝ \ \ ∕A, ^	.7	q 300-	—				e 300-			
	NI I	5					E .			
50-	K	200-					9 200-		T	
	· · · /	nlat					llati			
	the second	S 100-					E 100-			
0-		0					บี			
				0.01 nmol	0.1 amol		0-		0.01 amal (
0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45	50 55 60 6	D	saime	0.01 11110	U. I HINOI	1 nmoi		same	0.01 mmoi	
cine (min)					hNPS				h	NPS
200 - saline		⁸⁰⁰ 7					800-	1		
-0- hNPS(1-10) 0.1 nn	lor						-			
		ie 700-					.u 700-	1		
hNPS(1-10) 10 nm	ol	log l					-60			
150-		e 600-					<u>600</u>			
es		ses					se			
		Sin 500-					sinc 500-	1		
		Ē		_			Ē			
		5 400-					b 400-	1		
	1	ie per			1 1		per			
	d n	un 300-					E 300-	1		
Z 50-		9 000					19 000	2		
		ati					ativ	1		
	<u>`</u>	P 100					R 100			
	T T	3]					3			
0-		م					0-			
0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45	50 55 60 6	5	saline	0.1 nmol	1 nmol	10 nmol		saline	0.1 nmol	1 nmol 10 nmol
time (min)					hNPS(1-10)			h	NPS(1-10)

Downloaded from www.jbc.org at SBA Uni Ferrara, on March 28, 2012

FIGURE 2. **Mouse locomotor activity assay.** Shown are the dose-response curves for hNPS (0.01-1 nmol; *upper panels*) and hNPS-(1-10) (0.1-10 nmol; *lower panels*) injected intracerebroventricularly. The locomotor activity of the mice over the 60-min time course of the experiment is displayed in the *left panels* and as cumulative impulses over the first 30-min period in the *middle panels* and the second 30-min period in the *right panels*. *Data points* indicate the means, and *vertical lines/error bars* indicated the S.E. of at least four separate experiments (12 mice/group). *, p < 0.05 versus saline (ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test).

also evaluated in WT HEK293 cells, in which they failed to promote $Ca^{2+}{}_{i}$ mobilization (data not shown).

In Vivo Studies

In habituated mice, intracerebroventricular injection of hNPS in the range of 0.01–1 nmol caused a dose-dependent increase in spontaneous locomotor activity compared with saline-injected mice. In particular, in the first 30-min observation period, only 0.1 nmol produced a statistically significant stimulatory effect on locomotor activity, whereas in the second 30-min period, both 0.1 and 1 nmol produced a similar and

statistically significant stimulatory effect (Fig. 2, *upper panels*). Under the same experimental conditions, hNPS-(1–10) at 0.1–10 nmol failed to evoked statistically significant effects. However, it should be noted that a clear trend for a stimulatory effect of 1 and 10 nmol was evident in the first 30-min observation period, whereas this was no longer observed in the second period of observation (Fig. 2, *lower panels*).

DISCUSSION

In this work , we report the first systematic structure-activity relationship study on the human neuropeptide NPS. By applying



sequence required for

the classical peptide structure-activity relationship approach, we were able to obtain relevant information on the chemical requirements needed for NPSR activation.

The first step of this study was to develop an *in vitro* assay suitable for screening the pharmacological activity of hNPS peptide analogs. As reported previously (5), the hNPSR mediates intracellular Ca^{2+} release; therefore, a FLIPR readout was chosen for monitoring peptide activity for the hNPSR. hNPS activated the human receptor with high potency similar to that reported by Xu *et al.* (5) under similar experimental conditions. In addition, the rat and mouse isoforms of NPS displayed similar effects as the human peptide. All these peptides failed to modify calcium levels in WT HEK293 cells. These results nicely confirm previous findings (5) and demonstrate that (i) the NPSR is likely coupled to *G*-proteins of the G_q type, and (ii) the human receptor does not discriminate between human and rodent NPS.

The Ala scan of hNPS clearly demonstrated that (i) the benzyl ring of Phe² is crucial for NPS binding to its receptor; (ii) a similar important role in receptor binding is played by the amide function of the Asn⁴ side chain; and (iii) the guanidine moiety of Arg³ contributes to the receptor binding properties of the peptide, but is also important for receptor activation because [Ala³]hNPS displayed reduced efficacy, behaving as a partial agonist. Finally, the G7A substitution produced a detrimental effect with a loss of potency of >100-fold. This substitution had a double effect: it increased the steric hindrance of the amino acid and generated an additional chiral carbon in the peptide backbone. Ala substitution at positions 1, 5, 6, and 8–20 did not affect the activation properties of the peptide.

The indications obtained with the Ala-scan approach were confirmed and extended by the D-scan. In fact, the inversion of chirality of Phe², Arg³, and Asn⁴ caused a significant loss of potency of at least 10-fold; this confirms the crucial role played by the hNPS sequence Phe-Arg-Asn in biological activity and suggests a "message" role for this domain of the peptide. This is in line with recent findings by Reinscheid et al. (11) demonstrating that the peptide hNPS-(4-20) is inactive on both the human and mouse NPSRs. Interestingly, the inversion of chirality of Val⁶ (which can be replaced by Ala without affecting activity) produced a dramatic loss of potency. This finding, together with the fact that introduction of chirality at position 7 (replacement of Gly with Ala) also had a detrimental effect on receptor interaction, strongly suggests that the sequence Val⁶-Gly⁷ makes an important contribution to maintaining/favoring the bioactive conformation of hNPS, possibly acting as a hinge region. Finally, the inversion of chirality at positions 1 and 8-20 did not produce a change in NPS activity.

The N-terminal truncation of hNPS demonstrated that deletion of Ser¹ produced a significant loss of potency. This indicates that an amino acid residue (or most likely just a chemical moiety) must occupy position 1; however, neither the chemical function of its side chain nor its spatial orientation is crucial for biological activity. The additional deletion of position 2 produced a drastic loss of potency, again suggesting a crucial role for Phe² in receptor interaction. Because hNPS-(3–20) is virtually inactive, the contribution of the other N-terminal amino acids to biological activity could not be evaluated.



conformation-inducer

 FIGURE 3. Schematic representation of the suggested pharmacophoric domains of hNPS.

In line with indications emerging from Ala- and D-scan studies, the deletion of C-terminal amino acids from positions 20 to 11 did not affect hNPS activity. Collectively, these results demonstrated a negligible role for the *in vitro* activity of hNPS-(11–20).

To identify the minimum hNPS fragment able to activate the hNPSR in vitro, a second round of N- and C-terminal truncation was performed on hNPS-(1–10). The deletion of Ser¹ produced a dramatic decrease in potency, thus supporting the idea that a chemical moiety must be present at the N terminus of Phe², although its chemical requirements are not stringent. The elimination of Met¹⁰ produced a slight decrease in peptide potency, which reached statistical significance when Gly⁹ was deleted. The additional deletion of Thr⁸ generated an inactive compound. Interestingly enough, the amino acids in Thr⁸-Gly⁹-Met¹⁰ could be substituted with Ala or their corresponding enantiomers without loss of activity, whereas their deletion generated an inactive peptide. These results indicate that this sequence is indeed important for receptor activation, although without displaying stringent chemical requirements. This is also corroborated by the fact that residues 8 and 10 are the only ones within sequence 1-10 that show variability among species-specific forms of NPS (5).

To further validate the notion that hNPS-(1–10) and the fulllength sequence peptide recognizes the NPSR similarly, a short series of hNPS-(1–10) analogs were synthesized and evaluated. The results of these studies confirmed the crucial role of the hinge sequence Val^6 -Gly⁷ as well as the lack of stringent chemical requirements for the C-terminal tripeptide sequence Thr⁸-Gly⁹-Met¹⁰.

The presented structure-activity relationship data allowed us to identify sequence 1–10 as the smallest fragment able to activate the hNPSR with similar potencies and efficacies as fulllength hNPS. This peptide sequence contains three major portions: (i) the Phe²-Arg³-Asn⁴ sequence, which likely represents the message domain of this peptide; (ii) the sequence Thr⁸-Gly⁹-Met¹⁰, which is also important for receptor binding, although with non-stringent chemical requirements; and (iii) the sequence Val⁶-Gly⁷, which probably acts as a hinge region, determining the correct spatial arrangement of the two abovementioned domains and thus stabilizing/favoring the active conformation of the peptide. A schematic representation summarizing this hypothesis is shown in Fig. 3.

The biological activity of hNPS and hNPS-(1–10) was assessed *in vivo* after intracerebroventricular administration, measuring locomotor activity in mice habituated to the test cage. hNPS displayed a robust and dose-dependent stimulatory effect on locomotor activity, which was similar in terms of potency, duration, and overall effect to that reported previously by Xu *et al.* (5). The only difference between the present data

and those of Xu et al. is the delayed effect of 1 nmol of hNPS. This different result is difficult to interpret because both sets of data were obtained under very similar experimental conditions. The only issue worthy of mention is related to the strain of mice used: Swiss versus C57BL/6 in our experiments and those of Xu et al., respectively. Despite this minor difference, our results confirmed and extended to another strain of mice the potent hyperlocomotor action of NPS. This effect is probably related to the powerful arousal-promoting action of NPS that is associated with a robust anxiolytic-like effect (5), making the behavioral profile of this novel neuropeptide, *i.e.* an activating anxiolytic, quite unique (18). Under identical experimental conditions, only a slight, short-lasting, and not statistically significant effect was obtained by testing hNPS-(1-10), even using doses (10 nmol/mouse) 100-fold higher than the first effective dose of hNPS (0.1 nmol/mouse). Thus, hNPS-(1-10) does fully mimic the in vitro effects of the natural ligand in the FLIPR assay, whereas this does not apply to its in vivo biological activity, where the peptide fragment produced only weak and shortlasting effects. The reason(s) for these in vitro versus in vivo discrepant results are at present unknown; however, the following two issues should be considered. (i) Peptidergic signals are terminated by the action of specific peptidases that efficiently process endogenous peptides into inactive fragments. It is possible that hNPS and hNPS-(1-10) substantially differ in their susceptibility to peptidases. Because the peptidase activity is likely to play a crucial role in the brain (in vivo studies), whereas its biological relevance is probably negligible in recombinant systems (in vitro studies), the metabolism issue may explain (at least in part) the discrepant results obtained with hNPS-(1-10). (ii) In vitro studies were performed on cells expressing the hNPSR protein, whereas in vivo studies were carried out in mice. It is therefore possible that peptide fragment 1-10 represents the minimum active sequence for the hNPSR, but not for the mouse NPSR ortholog. However, this possibility seems to be unlikely because, in general, natural peptides do not discriminate between species-specific receptor orthologs, whereas this feature is quite characteristic of non-peptide ligands (19). Future studies are required to identify the reasons underlying the different actions of hNPS-(1-10) in vitro and in vivo. Independent of these considerations, the data obtained with hNPS-(1-10) measuring locomotor activity in mice clearly demonstrated that the C-terminal sequence of hNPS maintains importance in determining the *in vivo* biological activity of this peptide. This has been also illustrated in Fig. 3.

In conclusion, this study investigated the structure-activity relationships of the novel peptide NPS and identified the amino acid residues of this peptide most important for receptor activation. This information will be used in future studies to design NPS analogs acting as selective NPSR ligands with improved potency (agonists) and reduced efficacy (partial agonists and possibly pure antagonists). The availability of such ligands will allow the thorough investigation of the biological functions regulated by this novel peptide receptor system.

REFERENCES

- 1. Gilchrist, A. (2004) Expert Opin. Ther. Targets 8, 495-498
- 2. Saito, Y., and Civelli, O. (2005) Int. Rev. Neurobiol. 65, 179-209
- Vassilatis, D. K., Hohmann, J. G., Zeng, H., Li, F., Ranchalis, J. E., Mortrud, M. T., Brown, A., Rodriguez, S. S., Weller, J. R., Wright, A. C., Bergmann, J. E., and Gaitanaris, G. A. (2003) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 100, 4903–4908
- 4. Civelli, O. (2005) Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 26, 15-19
- Xu, Y. L., Reinscheid, R. K., Huitron-Resendiz, S., Clark, S. D., Wang, Z., Lin, S. H., Brucher, F. A., Zeng, J., Ly, N. K., Henriksen, S. J., de Lecea, L., and Civelli, O. (2004) *Neuron* 43, 487–497
- Sato, S., Shintani, Y., Miyajima, N., and Yoshimura, K. (April 18, 2002) Japan Patent WO 0231145
- 7. Reinscheid, R. K., and Xu, Y. L. (2005) FEBS J. 272, 5689-5693
- Ruffolo, R. R., Humphrey, P. P. A., Watson, S. P., and Spedding, M. (2000) in *The IUPHAR Compendium of Receptor Characterization and Classification* (Ruffolo, R. R., ed) 2nd Ed., pp. 7–8, IUPHAR Media, London
- 9. Leonard, S. K., Malberg, J. E., Schechter, L. E., Luo, B., Platt, B., Rosenzweig-Lipson, S., and Ring, R. H. (2005) *Soc. Neurosci. Abstr.* 889.14
- Laitinen, T., Polvi, A., Rydman, P., Vendelin, J., Pulkkinen, V., Salmikangas, P., Makela, S., Rehn, M., Pirskanen, A., Rautanen, A., Zucchelli, M., Gullsten, H., Leino, M., Alenius, H., Petays, T., Haahtela, T., Laitinen, A., Laprise, C., Hudson, T. J., Laitinen, L. A., and Kere, J. (2004) *Science* **304**, 300–304
- Reinscheid, R. K., Xu, Y. L., Okamura, N., Zeng, J., Chung, S., Pai, R., Wang, Z., and Civelli, O. (2005) *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **315**, 1338–1345
- Beck, B., Fernette, B., and Stricker-Krongrad, A. (2005) *Biochem. Biophys.* Res. Commun. 332, 859-865
- Laursen, S. E., and Belknap, J. K. (1986) J. Pharmacol. Methods 16, 355–357
- Rizzi, A., Bigoni, R., Marzola, G., Guerrini, R., Salvadori, S., Regoli, D., and Caló, G. (2001) Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol. 363, 161–165
- 15. Benoiton, N. L. (2005) *Chemistry of Peptide Synthesis*, pp. 125–154, Taylor & Francis, London
- 16. Solé, N. A., and Barany, G. (1992) J. Org. Chem. 57, 5399-5403
- Neubig, R. R., Spedding, M., Kenakin, T., and Christopoulos, A. (2003) *Pharmacol. Rev.* 55, 597–606
- 18. Koob, G. F., and Greenwell, T. N. (2004) Neuron 43, 441-442
- Hall, J. M., Caulfield, M. P., Watson, S. P., and Guard, S. (1993) *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 14, 376–383

