

ON THE ORTHOGONALITY OF GENERALIZED EIGENSPACES FOR THE ORNSTEIN–UHLENBECK OPERATOR

VALENTINA CASARINO, PAOLO CIATTI, AND PETER SJÖGREN

ABSTRACT. We study the orthogonality of the generalized eigenspaces of an Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator \mathcal{L} in \mathbb{R}^N , with drift given by a real matrix B whose eigenvalues have negative real parts. If B has only one eigenvalue, we prove that any two distinct generalized eigenspaces of \mathcal{L} are orthogonal with respect to the invariant Gaussian measure. Then we show by means of two examples that if B admits distinct eigenvalues, the generalized eigenspaces of \mathcal{L} may or may not be orthogonal.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we discuss the orthogonality of the generalized eigenspaces associated to a general Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator \mathcal{L} in \mathbb{R}^N .

Recently, the authors started studying some harmonic analysis issues in a nonsymmetric Gaussian context [1, 2, 3]. In particular, the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup $(\mathcal{H}_t)_{t>0}$ generated by \mathcal{L} is not assumed self-adjoint in $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$; here γ_∞ denotes the unique invariant probability measure under the action of the semigroup, and will be specified later.

In this general framework, the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator \mathcal{L} admits a complete system of generalized eigenfunctions; see [8]. But without self-adjointness, the orthogonality of distinct eigenspaces of \mathcal{L} is not guaranteed. In fact, while the kernel of \mathcal{L} is always orthogonal to the other generalized eigenspaces of \mathcal{L} in $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$, the question of orthogonality between generalized eigenspaces associated to nonzero eigenvalues is more delicate. As expected, the spectral properties of B play a prominent role here. Indeed, we prove in Section 3 that if B has a unique eigenvalue, then any two generalized eigenfunctions of \mathcal{L} corresponding to different eigenvalues are orthogonal in $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$.

Then in Sections 4 and 5 we exhibit two examples showing, respectively, that if B admits two distinct eigenvalues, the generalized eigenspaces associated to \mathcal{L} may or

Date: March 18, 2021, 1:19.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 15A18, 47A70, 47D03 .

Key words and phrases. Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator, generalized eigenspaces, orthogonality, Gaussian measure.

The first and second authors are members of the Gruppo Nazionale per l’Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM) and were partially supported by GNAMPA (Project 2020 “Alla frontiera tra l’analisi complessa in più variabili e l’analisi armonica”).

may not be orthogonal. The last section also contains a result which relates orthogonality of the eigenspaces of \mathcal{L} to that of the eigenspaces of the drift matrix, under some restrictions.

In the following, the symbol I_k will denote the identity matrix of size k , and we omit the subscript when the size is obvious. We will write $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for scalar products both in \mathbb{R}^N and in $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$. By \mathbb{N} we mean $\{0, 1, \dots\}$.

2. THE ORNSTEIN–UHLENBECK OPERATOR

In this section, we specify the definition of the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator \mathcal{L} and recall some known facts concerning its spectrum.

We consider the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup $(\mathcal{H}_t^{Q,B})_{t>0}$, given for all bounded continuous functions f in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 1$, and all $t > 0$ by the Kolmogorov formula

$$\mathcal{H}_t^{Q,B} f(x) = \int f(e^{tB}x - y) d\gamma_t(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

(see [6]). Here B is a real $N \times N$ matrix whose eigenvalues have negative real parts, and Q is a real, symmetric and positive-definite $N \times N$ matrix. Then we introduce the covariance matrices

$$Q_t = \int_0^t e^{sB} Q e^{sB^*} ds, \quad t \in (0, +\infty],$$

all symmetric and positive definite. Finally, the normalized Gaussian measures γ_t are defined for $t \in (0, +\infty]$ by

$$d\gamma_t(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} (\det Q_t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Q_t^{-1}x, x \rangle} dx.$$

As mentioned above, γ_∞ is the unique invariant probability measure of the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup.

The Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator is the infinitesimal generator of the semigroup $(\mathcal{H}_t^{Q,B})_{t>0}$, and it is explicitly given by

$$\mathcal{L}^{Q,B} f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} (Q \nabla^2 f)(x) + \langle Bx, \nabla f(x) \rangle, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where ∇ is the gradient and ∇^2 the Hessian.

By convention, we abbreviate $\mathcal{H}_t^{Q,B}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{Q,B}$ to \mathcal{H}_t and \mathcal{L} , respectively. We can thus write $\mathcal{H}_t = e^{t\mathcal{L}}$.

In [8, Theorem 3.1] it is verified that the spectrum of \mathcal{L} is the set

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^r n_j \lambda_j : n_j \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ are the eigenvalues of the drift matrix B . In particular, 0 is an eigenvalue of \mathcal{L} , and the corresponding eigenspace $\ker \mathcal{L}$ is one-dimensional and consists of all constant functions, as proved in [8, Section 3].

We also recall that, given a linear operator T on some L^2 space, a number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is a generalized eigenvalue of T if there exists a nonzero $u \in L^2$ such that $(T - \lambda I)^k u = 0$ for some positive integer k . Then u is called a generalized eigenfunction, and those u

span the generalized eigenspace corresponding to λ . As already recalled, it is known from [8, Section 3] that the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator \mathcal{L} admits a complete system of generalized eigenfunctions, that is, the linear span of the generalized eigenfunctions is dense in $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$.

Subsection 2.1. Use of Hermite polynomials. As proved in [9], a suitable linear change of coordinates in \mathbb{R}^N makes $Q = I$ and Q_∞ diagonal. When applying this, we adhere to the notation introduced in Lemma 1 in [4], where also the following facts can be found. Let \mathbf{H}_n denote the space of Hermite polynomials of degree n in these coordinates, adapted by means of a dilation to γ_∞ in the sense that the \mathbf{H}_n are mutually orthogonal in $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$ (they are written $H_{\lambda,k}$ in [4]). The classical Hermite expansion (called the Itô–Wiener decomposition in [4]) says that $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$ is the closure of the direct sum of the \mathbf{H}_n ; we refer to [10, p. 64] for a proof in dimension one and note that the extension to higher dimension is trivial. In other words, we can decompose any function $u \in L^2(\gamma_\infty)$ as

$$u = \sum_j u_j \tag{2.1}$$

with $u_j \in \mathbf{H}_j$ and convergence in $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$. Further, each \mathbf{H}_n is invariant under \mathcal{L} ; see [4, Proposition 1].

The Hermite decomposition implies, in particular, that each generalized eigenfunction of \mathcal{L} with a nonzero eigenvalue is orthogonal to the space of constant functions, that is, to the kernel of \mathcal{L} . Anyway, we provide here a proof of this fact which is independent of Hermite polynomials.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\lambda \neq 0$. If $u \in L^2(\gamma_\infty)$ and $(\mathcal{L} - \lambda)^k u = 0$ for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, then $\int u d\gamma_\infty = 0$.*

Proof. The implication is trivial if we set $k = 0$, so assume it holds for some $k \geq 0$ and that $(\mathcal{L} - \lambda)^{k+1} u = 0$.

Then

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L} - \lambda)^k u = \lambda(\mathcal{L} - \lambda)^k u,$$

and thus for any $t > 0$

$$e^{t\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L} - \lambda)^k u = e^{t\lambda}(\mathcal{L} - \lambda)^k u.$$

These operators commute, so

$$(\mathcal{L} - \lambda)^k e^{t\mathcal{L}} u = (\mathcal{L} - \lambda)^k e^{t\lambda} u,$$

that is,

$$(\mathcal{L} - \lambda)^k (e^{t\mathcal{L}} u - e^{t\lambda} u) = 0.$$

The induction assumption now implies that

$$\int (e^{t\mathcal{L}} u - e^{t\lambda} u) d\gamma_\infty = 0.$$

Since γ_∞ is invariant under the semigroup, this means that

$$\int u d\gamma_\infty = e^{t\lambda} \int u d\gamma_\infty$$

for all $t > 0$. Thus the integral vanishes. \square

3. THE CASE WHEN B HAS ONLY ONE EIGENVALUE

Proposition 3.1. *If the drift matrix B has only one eigenvalue, then any two generalized eigenfunctions of \mathcal{L} with different eigenvalues are orthogonal with respect to γ_∞ .*

We let λ be the unique eigenvalue of B , which is necessarily real and negative. It is known that all generalized eigenfunctions of \mathcal{L} are polynomials, see [7, Thm. 9.3.20].

We first state a lemma and use it to prove the proposition.

Lemma 3.2. *Let u be a generalized eigenfunction of \mathcal{L} which is a polynomial of degree $n \geq 0$. Then the corresponding eigenvalue is $n\lambda$.*

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let u be a generalized eigenfunction of \mathcal{L} , thus satisfying $(\mathcal{L} - \mu)^k u = 0$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Applying the coordinates from Subsection 2.1, we can decompose u as in (2.1), where the sum is now finite. Since then

$$\sum_j (\mathcal{L} - \mu)^k u_j = 0$$

and each term here is in the corresponding \mathbf{H}_j , all the terms are 0. But this is compatible with Lemma 3.2 only if there is only one nonzero term in the decomposition of u . Thus $u \in \mathbf{H}_n$, where n is the polynomial degree of u .

Lemma 3.2 then implies that two generalized eigenfunctions with different eigenvalues are of different degrees and thus belong to different \mathbf{H}_n . The desired orthogonality now follows from that of the \mathbf{H}_n . \square

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Let u be a generalized eigenfunction of \mathcal{L} of polynomial degree n . We denote the corresponding eigenvalue by μ . Decomposing u as in (2.1), we see that this sum is for $j \leq n$ and that the term u_n is nonzero and a generalized eigenfunction of \mathcal{L} with eigenvalue μ . For some m , the function $(\mathcal{L} - \mu)^m u_n$ will then be an eigenfunction with the same eigenvalue. This function is in \mathbf{H}_n and thus a polynomial of degree n . As a result, we can assume that u is actually an eigenfunction of \mathcal{L} , when proving the lemma.

We now choose coordinates in \mathbb{R}^N that give a Jordan decomposition of B . This means that $B = \lambda I + R$, where $R = (R_{i,j})$ is a matrix with nonzero entries only in the first subdiagonal. More precisely, $R_{i,i-1} = 1$ for $i \in P$, where P is a subset of $\{2, \dots, N\}$, and all other entries of R vanish.

We write $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{S} + \mathcal{B}$, where

$$\mathcal{B}f(x) = \langle Bx, \nabla f(x) \rangle,$$

and \mathcal{S} is the remaining, second-degree part of \mathcal{L} . Notice that, when applied to polynomials, \mathcal{B} preserves the degree whereas \mathcal{S} decreases it by 2. So if v is the n th-degree part of u , we must have $\mathcal{B}v = \mu v$.

We let \mathcal{B} act on a monomial x^α , where $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N$ is a multiindex, getting

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}x^\alpha &= \sum_j \lambda x_j \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{i \in P} x_{i-1} \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x_i} \\ &= \lambda \sum_j \alpha_j x^\alpha + \sum_{i \in P} \alpha_i \frac{x_{i-1}}{x_i} x^\alpha = \lambda n x^\alpha + \sum_{i \in P} \alpha_i x^{\alpha^{(i)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha^{(i)} = \alpha + e_{i-1} - e_i$ for $i \in P$. Here $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^n$ denotes the standard basis in \mathbb{R}^N . Thus the restriction of \mathcal{B} to the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree n is given as $\lambda n I + \mathcal{R}$, where \mathcal{R} is the linear operator that maps x^α to $\sum_{i \in P} \alpha_i x^{\alpha^{(i)}}$.

We claim that the only eigenvalue of \mathcal{R} is 0. If so, the only eigenvalue of the restriction of \mathcal{B} mentioned above is λn , which would prove the lemma since $\mathcal{B}v = \mu v$.

In order to prove this claim, we define for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N$ with $|\alpha| = n$

$$V(\alpha) = \sum_1^N j \alpha_j.$$

Clearly $V(\alpha^{(i)}) = V(\alpha) - 1$. We select a basis in the linear space of all homogeneous polynomials of degree n consisting of all monomials x^α with $|\alpha| = n$, enumerated in such a way that V is nondecreasing. The definition of \mathcal{R} now shows that its matrix with respect to this basis is upper triangular with zeros on the diagonal. The claim follows, and so does the lemma. \square

4. B HAS TWO DISTINCT EIGENVALUES: A FIRST EXAMPLE

The following example shows that the generalized eigenspaces of the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator may be orthogonal even in the case when B has more than one eigenvalue.

In two dimensions, we let

$$Q = I_2 \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.1)$$

whose eigenvalues are $-1 \pm i$.

Proposition 4.1. *With $N = 2$, let Q and B be as in (4.1). Then each generalized eigenfunction of \mathcal{L} is an eigenfunction. Moreover, any two eigenfunctions of \mathcal{L} with different eigenvalues are orthogonal with respect to γ_∞ .*

Proof. One finds that

$$e^{sB} = e^{-s} \begin{pmatrix} \cos s & \sin s \\ -\sin s & \cos s \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$e^{sB} e^{sB^*} = e^{-2s} I_2,$$

so that

$$Q_\infty = \frac{1}{2} I_2, \quad Q_\infty^{-1} = 2 I_2.$$

The invariant measure is therefore

$$d\gamma_\infty(x) = \pi^{-1} \exp(-x_1^2 - x_2^2) dx. \quad (4.2)$$

Since $Q = I_2$ and Q_∞ is diagonal, we are in the situation treated in [4]; see also Subsection 2.1. But [4] defines the analog of our \mathcal{L} (denoted A) without the coefficient $1/2$ in front of the second-order term Δ . We will adapt to the definitions and notation of [4]. Therefore, we replace \mathcal{L} by

$$A = 2\mathcal{L} = \Delta + \langle \tilde{B}x, \nabla \rangle,$$

where $\tilde{B} = 2B$. Obviously, A and \mathcal{L} have the same (generalized) eigenfunctions.

From [4] we will also need the diagonal matrix denoted D_λ , which in the case considered is

$$D_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1/2$.

The invariant measure is the same for the semigroups (e^{tA}) and $(e^{t\mathcal{L}})$ by uniqueness, and given by (4.2).

For $k = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ we introduce two-dimensional Hermite polynomials

$$H_k(x_1, x_2) = H_{k_1}(x_1) H_{k_2}(x_2),$$

where H_{k_i} is the classical Hermite polynomial. Here we should point out that [4] uses dilated Hermite polynomials denoted $H_{\lambda, k}$. But in our case there are no dilations, since the dilation factors are $\sqrt{2\lambda_i} = 1$, $i = 1, 2$.

The H_k are mutually orthogonal in $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$, and

$$\tilde{H}_{k_1, k_2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{k_1+k_2} k_1! k_2!}} H_{k_1, k_2}$$

are orthonormal. The space \mathbf{H}_n is generated by the Hermite polynomials H_k of degree $k_1 + k_2 = n$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and \mathbf{H}_n is invariant under A .

As in [4, Section 4], we split the partial differential operator A as $A = A_1 + L$ with $A_1 = \Delta - \langle D_\lambda^{-1}x, \nabla \rangle$ and $L = \langle Cx, \nabla \rangle$, where $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and $C = (c_{i,j})$ is the skew-symmetric matrix

$$C = \tilde{B} + D_\lambda^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then each polynomial in \mathbf{H}_n is an eigenfunction of A_1 with eigenvalue $-2n$. This follows from the differential equation satisfied by the Hermite polynomials; see [4, formula (3.5)].

The effect of L on Hermite polynomials can be read off from a formula on page 711 of [4], best described as that following the words "we find that". We adapt this formula to the normalized polynomials \tilde{H}_{k_1, k_2} with $k_1 + k_2 = n$, and choose $\ell_i = k_i \pm 1$. Here the scalar product written $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is taken in $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$. We also use the trivial observation that $c_{1,2} \lambda_2 = 1$ and $c_{2,1} \lambda_1 = -1$.

The result is

$$\langle L \tilde{H}_{k_1, k_2}, \tilde{H}_{k_1-1, k_2+1} \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{k_1+k_2} k_1! k_2!}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{k_1+k_2} (k_1-1)! (k_2+1)!}} 2^{k_1+k_2+1} k_1! (k_2+1)! \\
 &= 2 \sqrt{k_1} \sqrt{k_2+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq k_1 \leq n$ and $0 \leq k_2 \leq n-1$; similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\langle L \tilde{H}_{k_1, k_2}, \tilde{H}_{k_1+1, k_2-1} \rangle \\
 &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{k_1+k_2} k_1! k_2!}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{k_1+k_2} (k_1+1)! (k_2-1)!}} 2^{k_1+k_2+1} (k_1+1)! k_2! \\
 &= -2 \sqrt{k_1+1} \sqrt{k_2}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq k_1 \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq k_2 \leq n$. This describes the restriction of L to \mathbf{H}_n completely, since

$$\langle L \tilde{H}_{k_1, k_2}, \tilde{H}_{\ell_1, \ell_2} \rangle \neq 0 \quad \text{only when} \quad (k_1 - \ell_1)(k_2 - \ell_2) = -1.$$

In \mathbf{H}_n we use the orthonormal basis $\tilde{H}_{n-\kappa, \kappa}$, $\kappa = 0, 1, \dots, n$. A consequence of the preceding formulas is that the matrix $L^{(n)} = (L_{i,j}^{(n)})$ of the restriction of L with respect to this basis is given by

$$L_{\kappa+1, \kappa}^{(n)} = 2 \sqrt{\kappa+1} \sqrt{n-\kappa} = -L_{\kappa, \kappa+1}^{(n)}, \quad 0 \leq \kappa \leq n-1,$$

all other entries of the matrix being 0. Thus $L^{(n)}$ is skew-symmetric. The restriction to \mathbf{H}_n of the operator $A = A_1 + L$ has matrix $-2nI_{n+1} + L^{(n)}$, and it follows that A is a normal operator in \mathbf{H}_n . The spectral theorem for normal operators implies that A can be diagonalized in \mathbf{H}_n by means of an orthogonal change of coordinates. Since the spaces \mathbf{H}_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are mutually orthogonal, this proves the proposition. \square

5. B HAS TWO DISTINCT EIGENVALUES: A SECOND EXAMPLE

In this section we exhibit a class of drift matrices B with two different eigenvalues (which, in contrast with those in the example in Section 4, are real), but such that the generalized eigenspaces associated to the corresponding Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator \mathcal{L} are not orthogonal.

In \mathbb{R}^2 we consider $Q = I_2$ and

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} -a+d & 0 \\ c & -a-d \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.1}$$

with $a > d > 0$ and $c \neq 0$. To compute the exponential of sB , we write $B = -aI + M$, where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ c & -d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $MM = d^2I$, we get for $s > 0$

$$\exp(sB) = e^{-as} (\cosh(sd) I + d^{-1} \sinh(sd) M).$$

This leads to

$$\exp(sB) \exp(sB^*) = e^{-2as} \begin{pmatrix} e^{2sd} & \frac{c}{d} e^{sd} \sinh(sd) \\ \frac{c}{d} e^{sd} \sinh(sd) & \frac{c^2}{d^2} \sinh^2(sd) + e^{-2sd} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Integrating this matrix over $0 < s < \infty$, we obtain

$$Q_\infty = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2(a-d)} & \frac{c}{4a(a-d)} \\ \frac{c}{4a(a-d)} & \frac{c^2}{4a(a-d)(a+d)} + \frac{1}{2(a+d)} \end{pmatrix},$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{2} Q_\infty^{-1} = \frac{1}{c^2 + 4a^2} \begin{pmatrix} 2a[c^2 + 2a(a-d)] & -2ac(a+d) \\ -2ac(a+d) & 4a^2(a+d) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The invariant measure γ_∞ is thus proportional to

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left(-\frac{2a[c^2 + 2a(a-d)]}{c^2 + 4a^2} x_1^2 + \frac{4ac(a+d)}{c^2 + 4a^2} x_1 x_2 - \frac{4a^2(a+d)}{c^2 + 4a^2} x_2^2 \right) dx \\ = \exp \left(-(a-d)x_1^2 \right) \exp \left(-\frac{a+d}{c^2 + 4a^2} (cx_1 - 2ax_2)^2 \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Writing $z_1 = \sqrt{a-d} x_1$ and $z_2 = \sqrt{\frac{a+d}{c^2+4a^2}} (2ax_2 - cx_1)$ and recalling that γ_∞ is a probability measure, we see that

$$d\gamma_\infty = \pi^{-1} \exp(-z_1^2 - z_2^2) dz.$$

To find some eigenfunctions of \mathcal{L} , we consider polynomials in x_1, x_2 of degree 2. One finds that

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= x_1^2 - \frac{1}{2(a-d)}, \\ v_2 &= x_1^2 - \frac{2d}{c} x_1 x_2 - \frac{1}{2a}, \\ v_3 &= x_1^2 - \frac{4d}{c} x_1 x_2 + \frac{4d^2}{c^2} x_2^2 - \frac{c^2 + 4d^2}{2c^2(a+d)} \end{aligned}$$

are eigenfunctions, with eigenvalues $-2(a-d)$, $-2a$ and $-2(a+d)$, respectively.

Any two of these polynomials turn out not to be orthogonal with respect to the invariant measure, as follows by straightforward computations. We sketch one example.

One simply multiplies v_1 and v_3 and rewrites the product in terms of z_1 and z_2 . Doing so, one can neglect all terms of odd order in z_1 or z_3 , when integrating with respect to γ_∞ . Writing "odd" for such terms, we find that the product is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{a^2} z_1^4 + \frac{d^2(c^2 + 4a^2)}{a^2 c^2 (a^2 - d^2)} z_1^2 z_2^2 - \left[\frac{c^2 + 4d^2}{2c^2(a^2 - d^2)} + \frac{1}{2a^2} \right] z_1^2 \\ - \frac{d^2(c^2 + 4a^2)}{2a^2 c^2 (a^2 - d^2)} z_2^2 + \frac{c^2 + 4d^2}{4c^2(a^2 - d^2)} + \text{odd}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating and simplifying, we get

$$\int v_1 v_3 d\gamma_\infty = \frac{1}{2a^2} > 0,$$

so v_1 and v_3 are not orthogonal.

Remark 5.1. Let now $d = a/2$ in this example. Then the fourth-degree polynomial

$$v_4 = x_1^4 - \frac{6}{a} x_1^2 + \frac{3}{a^2}$$

is an eigenfunction of \mathcal{L} with eigenvalue $-2a$, like v_2 . Thus eigenfunctions of different polynomial degrees can have the same eigenvalue. This shows that for an eigenfunction u , the sum in (2.1) may consist of more than one term, and a (generalized) eigenspace need not be contained in one \mathbf{H}_n .

The eigenvalues of the matrix B defined in (5.1) are $-a \pm d$, and it is easily seen that the corresponding eigenspaces are not orthogonal in \mathbb{R}^2 . This turns out to be related to the non-orthogonality of the eigenspaces of \mathcal{L} , at least in two dimensions, in the following way.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $N = 2$ and $Q = I$, and assume that B has two different, real eigenvalues. Then the generalized eigenspaces of \mathcal{L} are orthogonal in $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$ if and only if the two eigenspaces of B are orthogonal in \mathbb{R}^2 .*

Proof. To begin with, we consider a coordinate change $\tilde{x} = Hx$, where H is an orthogonal matrix. Simple computations show that the operator $\mathcal{L}^{Q,B}$ is transformed to $\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{Q},\tilde{B}}$ in the new coordinates, with $\tilde{Q} = HQH^*$ and $\tilde{B} = HBH^*$; cf. [9, p. 474]. In our case, $\tilde{Q} = Q = I$. The eigenvalues of B and the angle between its eigenvectors will not change.

To prove the proposition, assume first that the (real) eigenvectors of B are orthogonal in \mathbb{R}^2 . Then B is symmetric, since it can be diagonalized by means of an orthogonal change of coordinates as just described. This implies that \mathcal{L} is symmetric ([7, Proposition 9.3.10]), so that the orthogonality of its eigenspaces is trivial.

Next, we assume that the eigenvectors of B are not orthogonal in \mathbb{R}^2 . By Schur's decomposition theorem (see [5, Theorem 2.3.1]) there exists an orthogonal change of coordinates which makes B lower triangular, though not diagonal. We are thus in the situation described in (5.1). As we have seen, some eigenspaces of \mathcal{L} are then not orthogonal with respect to the invariant measure. \square

We finally remark that the “if” part of this proposition easily extends to arbitrary dimension N . Then it is assumed that B has N different, real eigenvalues with mutually orthogonal eigenspaces.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Casarino, P. Ciatti and P. Sjögren, The maximal operator of a normal Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup is of weak type (1,1), *Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (5) **XXI** (2020), 385-410.

- [2] V. Casarino, P. Ciatti and P. Sjögren, On the maximal operator of a general Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup, [arXiv:1901.04823](#), submitted.
- [3] V. Casarino, P. Ciatti and P. Sjögren, Riesz transforms of a general Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup, (2020), [arXiv:2004.04022](#), *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, to appear.
- [4] R. Chill, E. Fasangova, G. Metafune and D. Pallara, The sector of analyticity of the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup on L^p spaces with respect to invariant measure, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **71** (2005), 703–722.
- [5] R.A. Horn and C.R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, (2012).
- [6] A. N. Kolmogorov, Zufällige Bewegungen, *Ann. of Math.* **116** (1934), 116–117.
- [7] L. Lorenzi and M. Bertoldi, *Analytical methods for Markov semigroups*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (Boca Raton), 283, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2007.
- [8] G. Metafune, D. Pallara and E. Priola, Spectrum of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operators in L^p spaces with respect to invariant measures, *J. Funct. Anal.* **196** (2002), 40–60.
- [9] G. Metafune, J. Prüss, A. Rhandi, and R. Schnaubelt, The domain of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on a L^p -space with invariant measure, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **1** (2002), 471–487.
- [10] N. Wiener, *The Fourier Integral and Certain of its Applications*, Cambridge University Press, (1933).

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA, STRADELLA SAN NICOLA 3, I-36100 VICENZA, ITALY
Email address: valentina.casarino@unipd.it

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA, VIA MARZOLO 9, I-35100 PADOVA, ITALY
Email address: paolo.ciatti@unipd.it

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG AND MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CHALMERS
UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, SE - 412 96 GÖTEBORG, SWEDEN
Email address: peters@chalmers.se