

RESEARCH

Open Access



Linear behavior in Covid19 epidemic as an effect of lockdown

Dario Bambusi^{1*}  and Antonio Ponso²

*Correspondence:

dario.bambusi@unimi.it

¹Dipartimento di Matematica
"Federigo Enriques", Università degli
Studi di Milano, Via Saldini 50,
I-20133 Milano, Italy
Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article

Abstract

We propose a mechanism explaining the approximately linear growth of Covid19 world total cases as well as the slow linear decrease of the daily new cases (and daily deaths) observed (in average) in USA and Italy. In our explanation, we regard a given population (the whole world or a single nation) as composed by many sub-clusters which, after lockdown, evolve essentially independently. The interaction is modeled by the fact that the outbreak time of the epidemic in a sub-cluster is a random variable with probability density slowly varying in time. The explanation is independent of the law according to which the epidemic evolves in the single sub cluster.

Keywords: Mathematical epidemiology; Statistical models; Linear growth; COVID-19

1 Introduction

It is quite striking to observe the graph of the total number of cases in the world epidemic of Covid19: since the beginning of April to the half of May it is growing approximately linearly. The daily new cases of USA and Italy also exhibit a strange behavior: up to fluctuations, they decrease approximately linearly.^a Similarly the daily deaths of USA and Italy, but also of UK exhibit an approximately linear decrease in a slightly successive period. The linear behavior occurs after a transient in which the epidemic grows essentially in agreement with the standard laws of epidemiological models like SIS or SIR, but it is hard to explain it using such models. In the present paper we propose a mechanism in order to explain the above mentioned behaviors.

The first ingredient of our mechanism is lockdown. The situation we want to model is that of a large cluster (the world or a single nation) consisting of several sub-clusters that, due to a lockdown intervention, become almost completely disconnected from each other. One thus gets a situation with many separated sub clusters in which the epidemic evolves independently. A small interaction among the sub clusters can persist, and we model this by assuming that the time at which the epidemics outbreaks in a sub cluster is distributed at random. To take into account the fact that the evolution in each sub-cluster is characterized by different parameters we treat parameters as random variables on which the total number of cases N_j in the j th cluster can depend. Then the total number of cases at a given time is the sum of many random variables, namely the quantities N_j .

© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Assuming that the times of outbreak and the parameters describing the epidemic in a sub-cluster are independent, identically distributed random variables, it turns out that, up to a small correction, and after some transient, the expectation of the total number of cases at time t turns out to be proportional to the distribution function of the probability measure describing the outbreak times (the hypothesis of independence of the above mentioned random variables can be relaxed to that of weak correlation; see Remark 2.1 below). If one assumes that the probability density $\rho(\tau)$ of the outbreak times is a function of the form

$$\rho(\tau) = \frac{1}{T} \varrho\left(\frac{\tau}{T}\right), \quad (1)$$

with a large time T , then it is natural to expand in Taylor series in τ/T retaining only the first few terms. Then one can compute the expectation of the total number of cases, which (after some transient time, and with some mild assumption on ϱ) turns out to be close to

$$c_0 + c_1(t/T) + c_2(t/T)^2 + O((t/T)^3), \quad (2)$$

with suitable constants $c_0 < 0$, $c_1 > 0$, and $c_2 < 0$. Of course this is a parabola with small curvature, which is close to a line until the quadratic term becomes relevant; on such a second time scale, one observes a linear behavior of the daily new cases (i.e. the derivative of (2)). The interesting issue of estimating the time T and other parameters of the model from the data of the epidemics, which requires a quite careful analysis, is faced in a subsequent work.

We emphasize that, as it will be clear from the proof, our explanation predicts that the linear behavior should occur *while the epidemic is passing its pick phase* and that the behavior of the total number of cases *is independent of the specific epidemic model in each cluster*.

We conclude this section by recalling that an alternative explanation of the linear growth of the *official* cases has been proposed in [1] as a result of a limited testing capacity: the idea is that if one can test X cases per day, than he will not be able to discover more than X cases per day. However, we think that this mechanism does not explain neither the linear growth of the deaths, which is less susceptible to measurement biases, nor the large scale of the phenomenon (the world). Furthermore such an explanation does not allow to understand the linear decrease observed in the data.

Finally, we think that it would be very interesting to try to understand the origin of the probability distribution of the outbreak times through models taking into account the spatial spreading of the epidemic for example by exploiting the ideas of [2–5]. This however requires a quite detailed study of the spatial model of the epidemics and is left for future work.

In Sect. 2 we give our result. The Section is split in 3 subsections: in the first one we present our assumptions, in the second one we present an abstract theorem and in the third one we show that in the case of slowly changing probability distribution one gets the wanted linear behavior.

2 Model and results

2.1 The model

Let us consider a cluster \mathcal{C} consisting of a large number K of disconnected sub-clusters \mathcal{C}_j , $j = 1, \dots, K$, and denote by $N_j(t)$ the total number of cases or deaths at time t in \mathcal{C}_j .

For the sake of definiteness, we will simply refer to N_j as the number of cases, without distinguishing between total cases, deaths or else. If p_j denotes the population of C_j , then $n_j(t) := N_j(t)/p_j$ is the fraction, or density of cases there.

In order to model the differences among the sub-clusters we assume n_j to depend on some parameters ω varying in some probability space Ω . Moreover, the epidemic is supposed to start in C_j at some time τ_j . We assume that there exists a smooth function $0 \leq n(\omega, t) \leq 1$, non decreasing with respect to t , s.t.

$$n_j(t) = n(\omega_j, t - \tau_j), \tag{3}$$

$$n(\omega, t) = 0, \quad \forall t \leq 0, \forall \omega \in \Omega. \tag{4}$$

In particular the assumption (4) means that no history of the epidemic exists before the outbreak at $t = 0$.

The number of cases in the total cluster $C := \bigcup_{j=1}^K C_j$, with total population $P_K = \sum_{j=1}^K p_j$, is the sum $N(t) := \sum_{j=1}^K N_j(t) = \sum_{j=1}^K p_j n_j(t)$, and its density is the population weighted average

$$\eta_K(t) := \frac{N(t)}{P_K} = \sum_{j=1}^K \frac{p_j}{P_K} n_j(t). \tag{5}$$

The limit of $\eta(t)$ of $\eta_K(t)$ as $K \rightarrow +\infty$ is the relevant dynamical variable considered in the present work. In order to ensure its existence and to be able to compute it we make the following assumptions.

H0. $\lim_{K \rightarrow +\infty} p_K/P_K = 0$, i.e. most of sub-populations do not have a macroscopic size.

H1. $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_K$ are i.i.d. random variables, distributed according to a probability measure $d\mu(\omega)$ on Ω .

H2. τ_1, \dots, τ_K are non negative i.i.d. random variables, distributed according to a probability measure $dR(\tau) := \rho(\tau) d\tau$.

As a consequence of the hypotheses H0–H2, the density of cases in C defined in (5), namely

$$\eta_K(t, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_K, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_K) = \sum_{j=1}^K \frac{p_j}{P_K} n(t - \tau_j, \omega_j), \tag{6}$$

becomes a weighted average of K mutually independent, identically distributed random variables defined, for any t , on the probability space $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega$ endowed by the measure $dR d\mu$. Moreover, since $n(t, \omega)$ is positive and upper bounded by definition, by the weak law of large numbers the limit

$$\eta_K(t, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_K, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_K) \xrightarrow{K \rightarrow +\infty} \eta(t) := \int n(\omega, t - \tau) dR(\tau) d\mu(\omega) \tag{7}$$

exists in probability; see for example Theorem 1 in [6].

Remark 2.1 One can substitute the assumptions H1, H2 above with the assumption that the variables ω_j and τ_j , and as a consequence the variables $n_j := n(t - \tau_j, \omega_j)$, be just weakly

correlated (namely, assumption (b) of Theorem 1 in [7]). This amounts to assume that the correlation between n_i and n_j decays sufficiently fast with the distance of the sub-clusters \mathcal{C}_i and \mathcal{C}_j . Of course, in this case the sub-clusters are to be thought of as the nodes of a network with suitably weighted connections. A precise mathematical formulation of such a weaker assumption is also faced in a subsequent work.

2.2 An abstract result

Since $n(t, \omega)$, as a function of t is a bounded non decreasing function, the limit

$$n_\infty(\omega) := \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} n(t, \omega) \tag{8}$$

exists point-wise and furthermore, by the dominated convergence theorem

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \langle n(t) \rangle_\Omega = \langle n_\infty \rangle_\Omega, \tag{9}$$

where

$$\langle \cdot \rangle_\Omega := \int_\Omega (\cdot) \, d\mu(\omega).$$

Denote by

$$R(t) := \int_0^t dR(s) = \int_0^t \rho(s) \, ds$$

the distributions function of the measure dR , then the following theorem holds.

Theorem 1 *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $T_\epsilon > 0$ such that for any $t > T_\epsilon$ the expected density of cases defined in (7) is given by*

$$\eta(t) = \langle n_\infty \rangle_\Omega R(t - T_\epsilon) + C_R(t) + \delta(t), \tag{10}$$

where

$$C_R(t) := \int_0^{T_\epsilon} \langle n(s) \rangle_\Omega \rho(t - s) \, ds, \tag{11}$$

and

$$|\delta(t)| < \epsilon. \tag{12}$$

Proof First of all,

$$\eta(t) = \int_0^{+\infty} \langle n(t - \tau) \rangle_\Omega \rho(\tau) \, d\tau = \int_0^t \langle n(s) \rangle_\Omega \rho(t - s) \, ds,$$

where we used Eq. (4). Now, by (9), for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $T_\epsilon > 0$ such that, for $t > T_\epsilon$ one has $|\langle n_\infty \rangle_\Omega - \langle n(t) \rangle_\Omega| < \epsilon$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(t) &= \int_0^{T_\epsilon} \langle n(s) \rangle_\Omega \rho(t-s) \, ds + \int_{T_\epsilon}^t \langle n(s) \rangle_\Omega \rho(t-s) \, ds \\ &= C_R(t) + \int_{T_\epsilon}^t \langle n_\infty \rangle_\Omega \rho(t-s) \, ds + \int_{T_\epsilon}^t [\langle n(s) \rangle_\Omega - \langle n_\infty \rangle_\Omega] \rho(t-s) \, ds \\ &= C_R(t) + \langle n_\infty \rangle_\Omega R(t - T_\epsilon) + \delta(t), \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $\delta(t)$ is defined as the third integral appearing on the second line above, and clearly $|\delta(t)| < \epsilon R(t - T_\epsilon) \leq \epsilon$. □

Remark 2.2 The quantity $C_R(t)$, defined in (11) represents the contribution of the sub clusters in which the epidemic started between $t - T_\epsilon$ and t and thus has not yet reached an end at time t .

2.3 Linear behavior

We first remark that a trivial case of linear behavior is that corresponding to a uniform distribution R .

Corollary 1 *Assume that*

$$\rho(\tau) = \frac{1}{T} \chi_{[0,T]}(\tau),$$

with some T fulfilling $T > T_\epsilon$, then, for times t fulfilling $T_\epsilon < t < T$, one has

$$\eta(t) = \frac{\langle n_\infty \rangle_\Omega}{T} (t - T_\epsilon) + \bar{c} + \delta(t), \tag{14}$$

with \bar{c} the constant given by

$$\bar{c} := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^{T_\epsilon} \langle n(s) \rangle_\Omega \, ds.$$

Proof Under the assumptions made, the quantity C_R defined in (11) is independent of time and equal to \bar{c} . □

More generally, in order to get the behavior (2), assume that the probability density of dR is slowly changing in time, namely it is of the form (1) with some large T . Then, expanding ϱ in Taylor series, one has (as in multiscale expansions)

$$\varrho(t) = \varrho_0 + \varrho_1 t + \frac{1}{2} \varrho_2 t^2 + O(t^3), \quad t = t/T, \tag{15}$$

with $\varrho_j = d\varrho/dt|_{t=0}$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). In particular, we are interested in densities such that $\varrho_1 < 0$. We have the following

Corollary 2 *Assume (1) and $t > T_\epsilon$; then one has*

$$\eta(t) = c_0 + c_1(t/T) + c_2(t/T)^2 + O((t/T)^3) + \delta(t), \tag{16}$$

where, in terms of the positive small parameter

$$\lambda := \frac{T_\epsilon}{T} \left(\langle n_\infty \rangle_\Omega - \frac{1}{T_\epsilon} \int_0^{T_\epsilon} \langle n(s) \rangle_\Omega ds \right), \quad (17)$$

the coefficients of the expansion (16) read

$$c_0 := -\varrho_0 \lambda + O(\lambda^2); \quad (18)$$

$$c_1 := \varrho_0 \langle n_\infty \rangle_\Omega - \varrho_1 \lambda + O(\lambda^2); \quad (19)$$

$$c_2 := \frac{1}{2} \varrho_1 \langle n_\infty \rangle_\Omega - \frac{1}{2} \varrho_2 \lambda + O(\lambda^2), \quad (20)$$

whereas $|\delta| < \epsilon$ as in Theorem 1.

Proof One just computes R and C_R for the considered case, by plugging the expansion (15) into both of them and collecting the terms proportional to the same power of t/T . \square

Concerning the signs of the coefficients c_0 , c_1 and c_2 , we observe that $\varrho_0 > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ is small, which ensures $c_0 < 0$ and $c_1 > 0$. On the other hand, in order to ensure $c_2 < 0$ one needs $\varrho_1 < 0$, as pointed out above.

Acknowledgements

During the preparation of this work we had several very useful discussions with Alessandra Bianchi and Simone Paleari.

Funding

We did not have any specific funding for this research.

Abbreviations

i.i.d., Independent and identically distributed.

Availability of data and materials

We looked at the following web sites <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/> and <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html>.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

Both authors contributed in an equal way to the research. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

Dario Bambusi is full professor at the Department of Mathematics of the University of Milan (Italy). His main scientific interests are on dynamical systems and in particular qualitative theory of Hamiltonian partial differential equation. Antonio Ponno is associated professor at the Department of Mathematics of the University of Padua (Italy). His main scientific interests are on dynamical foundations of statistical mechanics and, in particular, on the Fermi–Pasta–Ulam problem.

Author details

¹Dipartimento di Matematica "Federigo Enriques", Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Saldini 50, I-20133 Milano, Italy.

²Dipartimento di Matematica "T. Levi-Civita", Università degli Studi di Padova, Via Trieste 63, I-35121 Padova, Italy.

Endnote

^a USA between half of April and the last part of May, Italy between the end of March and the beginning of May.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 4 June 2020 Accepted: 20 November 2020 Published online: 30 November 2020

References

1. Omori R, Mizumoto K, Chowell G. Changes in testing rates could mask the novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) growth rate. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2020;94:116–8.
2. Balcan D, Colizza V, Gonçalves B, Hu H, Ramasco JJ, Vespignani A. Multiscale mobility networks and the spatial spreading of infectious diseases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2009;106(51):21484–9.
3. Balcan D, Gonçalves B, Hu H, Ramasco JJ, Colizza V, Vespignani A. Modeling the spatial spread of infectious diseases: the Global Epidemic and Mobility computational model. *J Comput Sci.* 2010;1:132–45.
4. Chinazzi M et al. The effect of travel restrictions on the spread of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak. *Science.* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba9757>.
5. Gatto M, Bertuzzo E, Mari L, Miccoli S, Carraro L, Casagrandi R, Rinaldo A. Spread and dynamics of the COVID-19 epidemic in Italy: effects of emergency containment measures. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2004978117>.
6. Jamison B, Orey S, Pruitt W. Convergence of weighted averages of independent random variables. *Z Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor Verw Geb.* 1965;4:40–4.
7. Etemadi N. Stability of sums of weighted nonnegative random variables. *J Multivar Anal.* 1983;13:361–5.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)
