Sugammadex in the management of myasthenic patients undergoing surgery: beyond expectations

Michele Carron, Alessandro De Cassai, Federico Linassi

Department of Medicine-DIMED, Section of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, University of Padova, Padova, Italy

Correspondence to: Michele Carron. Department of Medicine—DIMED, Section of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, University of Padova, Via V. Gallucci 13, 35121 Padova, Italy. Email: michele.carron@unipd.it.

Provenance: This is an invited article commissioned by the Academic Editor Dr. Hao Zhang, MD (Department of Anesthesiology, Rocket Force Characteristic Medical Center of PLA, Beijing, China).

Comment on: Mouri H, Jo T, Matsui H, *et al.* Effect of sugammadex on postoperative myasthenic crisis in myasthenia gravis patients: propensity score analysis of a Japanese nationwide database. Anesth Analg 2019. [Epub ahead of print].

Submitted Sep 21, 2019. Accepted for publication Oct 11, 2019. doi: 10.21037/atm.2019.10.35 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm.2019.10.35

Myasthenia gravis is an autoimmune disease characterized by 1 2 antibodies that bind to acetylcholine receptors or functionally related molecules in the postsynaptic membrane of the 3 4 neuromuscular junction. These antibodies induce skeletal muscle weakness that can be generalized or localized, is 5 typically more severe in proximal muscles, and nearly always 6 involves the eye, producing diplopia and ptosis. Muscle 7 weakness improves with rest and worsens with activity (1). 8 9 Myasthenia gravis is the most common primary disease 10 of the neuromuscular junction, with an annual incidence of approximately 8 to 10 cases per 1 million persons and 11 a prevalence of 150 to 250 cases per 1 million people (1). 12 Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors, with or without concurrent 13 immunosuppressive therapy, represent first-line treatment for 14 the disease. Thymectomy should be considered in patients 15 with a thymoma and myasthenia gravis (1). 16

In a patient with myasthenia gravis, two kinds of 17 crises may develop, both causing weakness, sometimes 18 difficult to differentiate: cholinergic crisis or myasthenic 19 crisis. Cholinergic crises are generally caused by an 20 21 excess of cholinesterase inhibitor medications. They 22 produce symptoms of cholinergic overactivity, such as hypersalivation, sweating, abdominal cramps, urinary 23 urgency, bradycardia, muscle fasciculations, and muscle 24 weakness. Myasthenic crises can be considered disease 25 exacerbations, which may be triggered by several factors, 26 27 including infection, emotional stress, pregnancy, and certain 28 medications (e.g., verapamil, fluoroquinolones, macrolides,

aminoglycosides) (1,2). Myasthenic crises are responsible 29 for delayed extubation after surgery and a high incidence 30 of postoperative complications in patients with myasthenia 31 gravis. Kas and colleagues reported successful extubation 32 in the operating room in only 5.2% of 324 myasthenic 33 patients undergoing transsternal thymectomy; 29.6%, 34 45.6%, and 37.3% of the patients required ventilatory 35 support for 24, 48, and 72 hours or more, respectively (3). 36 Major complications (e.g., respiratory failure, pneumonia, 37 heart failure) occurred in 23.7% of the patients, and minor 38 complications (e.g., cardiac dysrhythmia, retention of 39 airway secretions, tracheobronchitis) were noted in 65%. 40 Specifically, respiratory failure developed in 16.3% of 41 patients after simple thymectomy, 19.3% of patients after 42 thymoma removal, and in 30.3% of patients after extended 43 thymectomy (3). Similarly, Leuzzi and colleagues reported 44 successful extubation in the operating room in only 4.5% of 45 myasthenic patients after thymectomy (4). 46

Anesthetic drugs may contribute to the development 47 of a perioperative myasthenic crisis (2). Neuromuscular-48 blocking agents (NMBAs) are especially problematic, as 49 patients with myasthenia gravis are particularly sensitive to 50 these drugs (1,2). The anesthetic approach is often modified 51 to avoid or limit the use of NMBAs in these patients. Gritti 52 and colleagues reported that increasing the percentage 53 of patients receiving general (propofol, sevoflurane or 54 desflurane) anesthesia without NMBA from 67% to 94% 55 increased the rate of patients transferred to the surgical 56

Page 2 of 6

ward after surgery from 26.0% to 93.2%, significantly 57 reducing intensive care unit (ICU) admission rates (5). 58 Similarly, Fujita and colleagues reported that thymectomy 59 was successfully performed in 90.9% of patients receiving 60 combined general (sevoflurane) and epidural anesthesia 61 without NMBAs, and the percentage of patients not 62 extubated in the operating room because of respiratory 63 depression or other reasons was lower in patients who did 64 not receive NMBAs (28.3%) than in those who received 65 NMBAs (50%) (6). In a study of 122 thymectomies 66 performed under combined general (sevoflurane) and 67 epidural anesthesia without NMBAs, Watanabe and 68 colleagues reported that 11.5% of patients developed a 69 postoperative myasthenic crisis, requiring reintubation after 70 71 failed extubation and/or prolonged ventilator support for more than 48 hours postoperatively (7). Thus, anesthesia 72 73 per se can trigger factor a myasthenic crisis, but the risk of a crisis is clearly increased with the use of NMBAs (1-7). 74 75 Although avoidance of NMBAs is recommended, this is not always possible (5-7); NMBAs are particularly advised for 76 77 laparoscopic surgery (2).

Sugammadex has changed the management of 78 79 intraoperative neuromuscular blockade (NMB) in patients with myasthenia gravis (2). Sugammadex is a modified 80 γ -cyclodextrin that reverses the effects of steroidal NMBAs. 81 It is most commonly used for rocuronium reversal at the end 82 of surgery. After intravenous injection, sugammadex initially 83 acts by encapsulating and inactivating unbound rocuronium 84 85 circulating in the plasma to form tight 1:1 complexes that are excreted in the urine. Secondly, sugammadex promotes 86 the dissociation of rocuronium from neuromuscular 87 junctions by creating a concentration gradient from 88 89 the neuromuscular junction to the plasma, where it is subsequently encapsulated, inactivated, and excreted. 90 91 Sugammadex does not affect the release or breakdown of acetylcholine, and it does not interfere with the morphology 92 or physiology of the neuromuscular junction. So, when 93 used for reversing NMB, sugammadex is not accompanied 94 by the risk of triggering a cholinergic crisis, which may 95 occur with cholinesterase inhibitors. Several case reports 96 and series have described the potential benefits of a 97 rocuronium-sugammadex strategy for neuromuscular 98 block management in myasthenic patients undergoing 99 intravenous or inhalational general anesthesia (Table 1) 100 (8-25). In the majority of reports, use of sugammadex was 101 associated with fast, complete reversal of rocuronium-102 induced NMB, as well as successful extubation at the end 103 of surgery and no postoperative complications (8-25). 104

Carron et al. Sugammadex in myasthenia gravis

		000	AAO ^a					Sugamn	nadex		
First author	(n)	Age (years)	(grade)	Surgery	Anesthesia	NMB ^b	Dose (mg/kg)	Reversal time ^c (s)	Efficacy ^d	Safety ^e	Outcome ^f
Unterbuchner (8)	-	72	_	Prostatectomy	Propofol	Moderate	2	210	Yes	Yes	Favorable
Petrun (9)	-	40	IIA	Cholecystectomy	Sevoflurane	Moderate	2	240	Yes	Yes	Favorable
de Boer (10)	F	I	IIA	Unspecified	Unspecified	Deep	4	162	Yes	Yes	Favorable
	÷	I	IIA	Unspecified	Unspecified	Deep	4	135	Yes	Yes	Favorable
Jakubiak (11)	÷	38	I	Gastric banding	Propofol	Moderate	1.25	168	Yes	Yes	Favorable
Rudzka-Nowak (12)	÷	85	IIA	Hemicolectomy	Sevoflurane	I	ი	300	Yes	Yes	Favorable
Takeda (13)	-	12	IIA	Thymectomy	Sevoflurane	Moderate	2	120	Yes	Yes	Favorable
Garcia (14)		35	Dyspnea	Caesarean delivery	Propofol	Moderate	2.5	240	Yes ⁹	Yes	Prolonged intubation
Table 1 (continued)											

Table 1 Reports from the literature of sugammadex use in patients with myasthenia gravis

Table 1 (continued)											
	Patiente	AGA	MG ^a					Sugamm	adex		
First author	(n)	years)	(grade)	Surgery	Anesthesia	NMB	Dose (mg/kg)	Reversal time ^c (s)	Efficacy ^d	Safety ^e	Outcome ^f
Kiss (15)	-	25	AIII	Thymectomy	Propofol	Moderate	4	I	No	Yes	Prolonged intubation
Sungur Ulke (16)	10	31±12	I-II: 7 pts ¹ III-IV: 3 pts	Thymectomy	Propofol	Moderate Deep	N	111 (min 35; max 240)	Yes	Yes	Favorable
Sugi (17)	-	26	I	Thymectomy	Propofol	Moderate	N	510	No	Yes	Favorable
Casarotti (18)	-	48	_	Emergency laparotomy	Propofol	Deep	4	180	Yes	Yes	Favorable
		72	AII	Endoscopic hemostasis	Propofol	Moderate	4	120	Yes	Yes	Favorable
de Boer (19)	12	46±18		Thymectomy	Intravenous inhalational	Moderate ^k	N	79±67	Yes	Yes	Favorable
	Ø	69±16		Various	Intravenous inhalational	Deep	4	165±49	Yes	Yes	Favorable
Vymazal (20)	117	41.6 (min 32; max	IIA: 22 pts	Thymectomy: 105 pts	lsoflurane	Moderate	N	117 (min 105; max	Yes	Yes	Favorable
		68)	IIB: 95 pts	Cholecystectomy: 12 pts		Deep	4	127)			
Kim (21)	-	56	I	Septostomy and septoplasty	Sevoflurane	Moderate	3.1	144	Yes	Yes	Favorable
Dabbous (22)	-	66	AII	Aortic valve replacement	Propofol	Deep	4	210	Yes	Yes	Favorable
Shah (23)	-	87	I	Emergency laparotomy	Desflurane	I	4	I	Yes	Yes	Favorable
Kondo (24)	-	71	IIA	Arch replacement S	ievoflurane/propofol	Moderate	3.4	I	Yes	Yes	Favorable
Fernandes (25)	-	27	I	Cholecystectomy	Sevoflurane	Moderate	1.8	I	No	Yes	Difficult weaning
Literature searches use in adults with severity of myasthe tetanic count ≥1; °, or presence (no) of recovery and/or my total dose of 17.3² administered via a for reversal of NME ', authors did not spe to a TOF ratio >0.9., pts, patients; NMB, n	were perfor myasthenia myasthenia reversal tir sugammad asthenic cr 4 mg/kg we nasogastri 5; ¹ , failure: scify the num After sugam euromuscula	med using gravis. E b, NMB: r ne: time f dex-relate isis after is unable ic tube; ¹ , recovery nber of pat madex, ne	J PubMed, Sc ata are num noderate NMm rom sugamm rom sugamm surgery); ⁹ , al to recover ⁻ case series after neostic ients receiving ostigmine 2 m	copus, and Web of ber or mean ± starn IB: ≥T1 on TOF stin addex administration ons; ¹ , outcome: fax uthors reported a T TOF ratio from 0.3(of 10 pts. A case jmine; ^k , two cases jmoderate vs. deep ng + atropine 0.5 mg rf-four; T1, 1 twitch.	science to identify a dard deviation. ^a , s uulation monitoring; to a TOF ratio >0. orable (full recover OF response of 4/4 3 to >0.9. After su with a TOF value of moderate NMB NMB; ^m , failure: sugs produced progressiv	triticles publis studies used deep NMB: 9; ^d , efficacy 9; ^d , efficacy but did not gammadex, of 0% was (TOF ratio: tammadex tota ve improveme	thed up to the Ossee absent T absent T absent T absent T absent indicate indi indicate indicate indicate indicate indicate indicate indica	September rman clinics rman clinics to TOF rati r to TOF rati crisis after crisis after the TOF rati gmine 60 m Sugammad) were reve) were reve ?.3 mg/kg wa ratory patterr	5, 2019 re il classific imulation o >0.9; °, surgery) o g in 10 m ex 2 mg/ rsed with rsed with s unable tu	egarding s sation to monitorir safety: a safety: a failure: s failure: s hL norma kg was a kg was a 4 mg/kg o reverse l vximum; m	sugammadex evaluate the ng and post- bsence (yes) able (no full ugammadex I saline was idministered (60, 150 s); NMB from T1 iin, minimum;

Page 4 of 6

The few reported cases of incomplete recovery [train-105 of-four (TOF) ratio <0.9 during neuromuscular function 106 monitoring] after sugammadex and concomitant muscle 107 weakness (14,15,17,25) were successfully managed after 108 administration of acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (15,17,25). 109 However, there is a paucity of studies comparing the effects 110 of sugammadex versus acetylcholinesterase inhibitors on 111 perioperative outcomes of patients with myasthenia gravis. 112

In an article published on May 20, 2019 in Anesthesia & 113 Analgesia, Mouri and colleagues reported the results of their 114 retrospective cohort analysis of 795 adults with myasthenia 115 gravis who underwent thymectomy under general anesthesia 116 from July 1, 2010 to March 31, 2016 (26). The patients were 117 selected from the Japanese Diagnosis Procedure Combination 118 119 nationwide database. Patients who received sugammadex were compared to a control group of patients who did not receive 120 sugammadex; the authors did not specify the reversal agent 121 (if any) used in the control group. The primary outcome was 122 123 postoperative myasthenic crisis, which was defined as respiratory failure necessitating prolonged (≥ 3 days) mechanical ventilation 124 125 postoperatively or reintubation in the first 30 days postthymectomy. The secondary outcomes were the occurrence of 126 postoperative pneumonia or tracheostomy, 28-day mortality, 127 hospital length of stay after surgery, and total hospitalization 128 costs (26). The main result was that, compared to control 129 group patients who did not receive sugammadex (n=288), 130 patients managed with rocuronium-sugammadex (n=507) 131 had a significantly lower risk of postoperative myasthenic 132 crisis [4.3% vs. 8.7%; odds ratio (OR), 0.48; 95% confidence 133 interval (CI), 0.25-0.91] (26). Unfortunately, the authors did 134 not indicate whether the postoperative myasthenic crises 135 were the result of failure to adequately reverse rocuronium-136 induced NMB by sugammadex (26). Based on the literature, 137 approximately 98% of patients with myasthenia gravis treated 138 with sugammadex underwent successful tracheal extubation 139 at the end of surgery after reaching full recovery from NMB 140 (documented by a TOF ratio >0.9), avoiding postoperative 141 ICU admission for mechanical ventilation (8-25). 142

It is important to note that although sugammadex may 143 avoid muscle weakness related to the residual effects of 144 NMBAs, it may not prevent exacerbation of the underlying 145 myasthenia gravis after surgery. Severity of the disease 146 itself is associated with an increased risk of postoperative 147 myasthenic crisis. In multivariate logistic regression analysis, 148 Leuzzi and colleagues showed that Osserman stage IIB (OR, 149 5.69) and III–IV (OR, 11.33), body mass index >28 kg/m² 150 (OR, 3.65), previous myasthenic crisis (OR, 24.10), duration 151 of symptoms >2 years (OR, 5.94), and lung resection (OR, 152

8.48) were all independent risk factors for the development 153 of a postoperative myasthenic crisis (4). When a myasthenic 154 crisis occurs, administration of an acetylcholinesterase 155 inhibitor, such as pyridostigmine or neostigmine (1,2), 156 seems to improve muscle weakness after general anesthesia 157 (14,15,17,25). Intravenous immune globulin or plasma 158 exchange are other options suggested for persistent severe 159 myasthenic crises (1). 160

The study of Mouri and colleagues was unable to 161 demonstrate a significant decrease in postoperative 162 pneumonia with sugammadex, compared to the control 163 group (1.0% vs. 2.4%, respectively; OR, 0.44; 95% CI, 164 0.17-1.14) (26). Previous reports in non-myasthenic 165 patients have shown that use of NMBAs increases the 166 risk of pneumonia, and reversal of NMB reduces this 167 risk. Bulka and colleagues reported that surgical patients 168 receiving an NMBA had a higher absolute incidence of 169 postoperative pneumonia (9.00 vs. 5.22 per 10,000 person-170 days at risk), with a significantly increased incidence rate 171 ratio of 1.79 (27). Patients who received an NMBA but 172 no reversal agent were 2.26 times more likely to develop 173 postoperative pneumonia than patients who received an 174 NMBA and neostigmine (27). Appropriate monitoring 175 of neuromuscular function and reversal are thereby 176 recommended to minimize the risk of complications related 177 to residual NMB, including postoperative pneumonia (28). 178 In a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials involving 179 patients without myasthenia gravis, our group noted that 180 sugammadex was associated with a significantly lower risk 181 of postoperative respiratory adverse events (OR, 0.36) and 182 weakness (OR, 0.45), compared to neostigmine (28). The 183 Mouri and colleagues' study is the first study providing 184 evidence in support of the potential benefits of sugammadex 185 over neostigmine in reducing the risk of postoperative 186 pneumonia, although the favorable trend did not reach 187 statistical significance (26). 188

Interestingly, the study of Mouri and colleagues 189 showed that use of sugammadex reduced median length 190 of hospital stay after surgery (10 vs. 11 days; P<0.001) and 191 total hospitalization costs (\$13,186 vs. \$14,119; P<0.001), 192 compared with non-use of sugammadex (26). Although 193 sugammadex produces faster and more predictable 194 recovery from NMB than neostigmine, the direct cost 195 of sugammadex is higher. Cost-effectiveness analyses 196 have demonstrated that using sugammadex to reduce 197 the time to full reversal of NMB in the operating room 198 can be economically beneficial, depending on the cost 199 of the operating room, the actual time saved by using 200

Annals of Translational Medicine, 2019

sugammadex, and whether this saved time is used 201 productively (29-31). In addition to enhancing operating 202 room efficiency by accelerating transfer from the operating 203 room, use of sugammadex may also reduce overall costs 204by decreasing the risk of postoperative complications and 205 unplanned ICU admissions (30). Furthermore, Ledowski and 206 colleagues noted that sugammadex use reduced the length of 207hospital stay by several hours (73 vs. 78 h; P=0.044) in non-208 myasthenic patients and suggested that this may contribute 209 to economic benefits if it avoids an additional night in the 210hospital (with an estimated average cost of US \$420) (32). 211 Thus, it is not surprising that Mouri and colleagues found 212 a significant reduction in total hospitalization costs with 213 sugammadex. Oh and colleagues previously reported that 214 sugammadex reduced total hospital charges by 24% in non-215 myasthenic patients undergoing major abdominal surgery, 216 compared with neostigmine (33). In that study, sugammadex 217 was associated with a 20% reduction in hospital length of 218 stay and a 34% reduction in 30-day unplanned readmission 219 rate. Readmission data were not reported in the Mouri 220 and colleagues' study (26). Whether sugammadex results 221 in further potential economic benefit in patients with 2.2.2 myasthenia gravis will depend on readmission costs and the 223 extent of reduction in 30-day unplanned readmission rates 224 in these patients (34). 225

The study by Mouri and colleagues leaves us with 226 some important messages. Sugammadex is superior to 227 neostigmine for reversing rocuronium-induced NMB in 228 patients with myasthenia gravis undergoing surgery. It 229 represents the treatment of choice for reducing the risk of 230 perioperative myasthenic crisis, and possibly decreasing the 231 risk of postoperative pneumonia, in these patients. Given 232 the current high costs of medical care, the overall economic 233 benefits of sugammadex represent a welcome addition to 234 the armamentarium of anesthesiologists. 235

236

Acknowledgments

238 239

- 39 None.
- 240

²⁴¹ ²⁴² Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: Michele Carron has received payments
for lectures from Merck Sharp & Dohme (MSD), Rome,
Italy. Alessandro De Cassai and Federico Linassi have no
conflicts of interest to declare.

247

248 Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all

aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related 249 to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are 250 appropriately investigated and resolved. 251 252 253 References 254 1. Gilhus NE. Myasthenia gravis. N Engl J Med 255 2016;375:2570-81. 256 Sungur Z, Sentürk M. Anaesthesia for thymectomy 2. 257 in adult and juvenile myasthenic patients. Curr Opin 258 Anaesthesiol 2016;29:14-9. 259 3. Kas J, Kiss D, Simon V, et al. Decade-long experience with 260 surgical therapy of myasthenia gravis: early complications 261 of 324 transsternal thymectomies. Ann Thorac Surg 262 2001;72:1691-7. 263 4. Leuzzi G, Meacci E, Cusumano G, et al. Thymectomy 264 in myasthenia gravis: proposal for a predictive score of 265 postoperative myasthenic crisis. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 266 2014;45:e76-88; discussion e88. 267 5. Gritti P, Sgarzi M, Carrara B, et al. A standardized 268 protocol for the perioperative management of myasthenia 269 gravis patients. Experience with 110 patients. Acta 270 Anaesthesiol Scand 2012;56:66-75. 271 6. Fujita Y, Moriyama S, Aoki S, et al. Estimation of the 272 success rate of anesthetic management for thymectomy 273 in patients with myasthenia gravis treated without muscle 274 relaxants: a retrospective observational cohort study. J 275 Anesth 2015;29:794-7. 276 Watanabe A, Watanabe T, Obama T, et al. Prognostic 7. 277 factors for myasthenic crisis after transsternal thymectomy 278 in patients with myasthenia gravis. J Thorac Cardiovasc 279 Surg 2004;127:868-76. 280 8. Unterbuchner C, Fink H, Blobner M. The use of 281 sugammadex in a patient with myasthenia gravis. 282 Anaesthesia 2010;65:302-5. 283 9. Petrun AM, Mekis D, Kamenik M. Successful use of 284 rocuronium and sugammadex in a patient with myasthenia. 285 Eur J Anaesthesiol 2010;27:917-8. 286 10. de Boer HD, van Egmond J, Driessen JJ, et al. 287 Sugammadex in patients with myasthenia gravis. 288 Anaesthesia 2010;65:653. 289 11. Jakubiak J, Gaszyński T, Gaszyński W. Neuromuscular 290 block reversal with sugammadex in a morbidly obese 291 patient with myasthenia gravis. Anaesthesiol Intensive 292 Ther 2012;44:28-30. 293 12. Rudzka-Nowak A, Piechota M. Anaesthetic management 294 of a patient with myasthenia gravis for abdominal surgery 295 using sugammadex. Arch Med Sci 2011;7:361-4. 296

Carron et al. Sugammadex in myasthenia gravis

Page 6 of 6

13. Takeda A, Kawamura M, Hamaya I, et al. Case of anesthesia for 297 298 thoracoscopic thymectomy in a pediatric patient with myasthenia gravis: reversal of rocuronium-induced neuromuscular blockade 299 with sugammadex. Masui 2012;61:855-8. 300 301 14. Garcia V, Diemunsch P, Boet S. Use of rocuronium and sugammadex for caesarean delivery in a patient with 302 myasthenia gravis. Int J Obstet Anesth 2012;21:286-7. 303 304 15. Kiss G, Lacour A, d'Hollander A. Fade of train-of-four ratio despite administration of more than 12 mg kg(-1) 305 306 sugammadex in a myasthenia gravis patient receiving rocuronium. Br J Anaesth 2013;110:854-5. 307 308 16. Sungur Ulke Z, Yavru A, Camci E, et al. Rocuronium and sugammadex in patients with myasthenia gravis undergoing 309 310 thymectomy. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2013;57:745-8. Sugi Y, Nitahara K, Shiroshita T, et al. Restoration of 311 17. train-of-four ratio with neostigmine after insufficient 312 313 recovery with sugammadex in a patient with myasthenia gravis. A A Case Rep 2013;1:43-5. 314 18. Casarotti P, Mendola C, Cammarota G, et al. High-dose 315 316 rocuronium for rapid-sequence induction and reversal with sugammadex in two myasthenic patients. Acta Anaesthesiol 317 Scand 2014;58:1154-8. 318 319 19. de Boer HD, Shields MO, Booij LH. Reversal of neuromuscular blockade with sugammadex in patients with 320 321 myasthenia gravis: a case series of 21 patients and review of the literature. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2014;31:715-21. 322 323 20. Vymazal T, Krecmerova M, Bicek V, et al. Feasibility of full and rapid neuromuscular blockade recovery with 324 325 sugammadex in myasthenia gravis patients undergoing surgery - a series of 117 cases. Ther Clin Risk Manag 326 2015;11:1593-6. 327 328 21. Kim RK, Kim SY. Rapid return of spontaneous respiration after general anesthesia with sugammadex in a patient with 329 myasthenia gravis. J Lifestyle Med 2016;6:43-6. 330 331 22. Dabbous AS, Nehme PW, Abou Leila AM. Anesthetic management of aortic valve replacement in a myasthenia 332 gravis patient, the era of a new reversal. Middle East J 333 334 Anaesthesiol 2016;23:491-4. 23. Shah D, Dharmarajah A. Use of sugammadex in an 335 octagenerian with myaesthenia gravis undergoing 336 337 emergency laporotomy. J Clin Anesth 2017;37:109-10. 24. Kondo M, Yoshikawa Y, Terada H, et al. Anesthetic 338 management of total aortic arch replacement in a 339 340 myasthenia gravis patient under deep hypothermic circulatory arrest. Case Rep Anesthesiol 341 2019;2019:3278147. 342

343 25. Fernandes HDS, Ximenes JLS, Nunes DI, et al. Failure

	of reversion of neuromuscular block with sugammadex	344
	in patient with myasthenia gravis: case report and brief	345
	review of literature. BMC Anesthesiol 2019;19:160.	346
26.	Mouri H, Jo T, Matsui H, et al. Effect of sugammadex	347
	on postoperative myasthenic crisis in myasthenia	348
	gravis patients: propensity score analysis of a Japanese	349
	Nationwide Database. Anesth Analg 2019. [Epub ahead	350
	of print].	351
27.	Bulka CM, Terekhov MA, Martin BJ, et al.	352
	Nondepolarizing neuromuscular blocking agents, reversal,	353
	and risk of postoperative pneumonia. Anesthesiology	354
	2016;125:647-55.	355
28.	Carron M, Zarantonello F, Tellaroli P, et al. Efficacy	356
	and safety of sugammadex compared to neostigmine for	357
	reversal of neuromuscular blockade: a meta-analysis of	358
	randomized controlled trials. J Clin Anesth 2016;35:1-12.	359
29.	Paton F, Paulden M, Chambers D, et al. Sugammadex	360
	compared with neostigmine/glycopyrrolate for routine	361
	reversal of neuromuscular block: a systematic review and	362
	economic evaluation. Br J Anaesth 2010;105:558-67.	363
30.	Carron M, Baratto F, Zarantonello F, et al. Sugammadex	364
	for reversal of neuromuscular blockade: a retrospective	365
	analysis of clinical outcomes and cost-effectiveness in a	366
	single center. Clinicoecon Outcomes Res 2016;8:43-52.	367
31.	Insinga RP, Joyal C, Goyette A, et al. A discrete event	368
	simulation model of clinical and operating room efficiency	369
	outcomes of sugammadex versus neostigmine for	370
	neuromuscular block reversal in Canada. BMC Anesthesiol	371
	2016;16:114.	372
32.	Ledowski T, Hillyard S, Kozman A, et al. Unrestricted	373
	access to sugammadex: impact on neuromuscular blocking	374
	agent choice, reversal practice and associated healthcare	375
	costs. Anaesth Intensive Care 2012;40:340-3.	376
33.	Oh TK, Oh AY, Ryu JH, et al. Retrospective analysis of	377
	30-day unplanned readmission after major abdominal	378
	surgery with reversal by sugammadex or neostigmine. Br J	379
	Anaesth 2019;122:370-8.	380
34.	Carron M, De Cassai A, Ieppariello G. Reversal of	381
	rocuronium-induced neuromuscular block: is it time	382
	for sugammadex to replace neostigmine? Br J Anaesth	383
	2010-123-e157-9	384

Cite this article as: Carron M, De Cassai A, Linassi F. Sugammadex in the management of myasthenic patients undergoing surgery: beyond expectations. Ann Transl Med 2019. doi: 10.21037/atm.2019.10.35