

PUSHING THE BOUNDARIES OF CONVENTIONAL CORE-COLLAPSE SUPERNOVAE: THE EXTREMELY ENERGETIC SUPERNOVA SN 2003ma

A. REST^{1,2}, R. J. FOLEY^{3,26}, S. GEZARI^{4,27}, G. NARAYAN¹, B. DRAINE⁵, K. OLSEN^{6,7}, M. E. HUBER^{4,28}, T. MATHESON⁷,
A. GARG^{8,28}, D. L. WELCH⁹, A. C. BECKER¹⁰, P. CHALLIS^{3,28}, A. CLOCCHIATTI¹¹, K. H. COOK^{8,28}, G. DAMKE^{12,28}, M. MEIXNER^{13,28},
G. MIKNAITIS^{14,28}, D. MINNITI¹¹, L. MORELLI¹⁵, S. NIKOLAEV⁸, G. PIGNATA¹⁶, J. L. PRIETO^{17,18,28,29}, R. C. SMITH⁶, C. STUBBS¹,
N. B. SUNTZEFF¹⁹, A. R. WALKER⁶, W. M. WOOD-VASEY^{20,28}, A. ZENTENO^{21,22,28}, L. WYRZYKOWSKI²³, A. UDALSKI²⁴,
M. K. SZYMAŃSKI²⁴, M. KUBIAK²⁴, G. PIETRZYŃSKI^{24,25}, I. SOSZYŃSKI²⁴, O. SZEWCZYK^{24,25}, K. ULACZYK²⁴, AND R. POLESKI²⁴

¹ Department of Physics, Harvard University, 17 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA; arest@physics.harvard.edu

² Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Dr., Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

³ Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

⁴ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 North Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

⁵ Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

⁶ Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, National Optical Astronomy Observatory (CTIO/NOAO), Colina el Pino S/N, La Serena, Chile

⁷ National Optical Astronomy Observatory, 950 North Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85719-4933, USA

⁸ Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, 7000 East Avenue, Livermore, CA 94550, USA

⁹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, L8S 4M1, Canada

¹⁰ Department of Astronomy, University of Washington, Box 351580, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

¹¹ Department of Astronomy, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Casilla 306, Santiago 22, Chile

¹² Department of Astronomy, University of Virginia, P.O. Box 400325, Charlottesville, VA 22904-4325, USA

¹³ STScI, 3700 San Martin Dr., Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

¹⁴ Center for Neighborhood Technology, 2125 West North Avenue, Chicago IL 60647, USA

¹⁵ Dipartimento di Astronomia, Università di Padova, vicolo dell'Osservatorio 3, I-35122 Padova, Italy

¹⁶ Departamento de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad Andres Bello, Avda. Republica 252, Santiago, Chile

¹⁷ Department of Astronomy, Ohio State University, 140 West 18th Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210-1173, USA

¹⁸ Carnegie Observatories, 813 Santa Barbara St., Pasadena, CA 91101, USA

¹⁹ Department of Physics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-4242, USA

²⁰ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, 3951 O'Hara St., Pittsburg, PA 15260, USA

²¹ Department of Physics, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Scheinerstr. 1, 81679 München, Germany

²² Excellence Cluster Universe, Boltzmannstr. 2, 85748 Garching, Germany

²³ Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, UK

²⁴ Warsaw University Astronomical Observatory, Al. Ujazdowskie 4, 00-478 Warszawa, Poland

²⁵ Universidad de Concepción, Departamento de Física, Astronomy Group, Casilla 160-C, Concepción, Chile

Received 2009 November 10; accepted 2010 December 7; published 2010 February 14

ABSTRACT

We report the discovery of a supernova (SN) with the highest apparent energy output to date and conclude that it represents an extreme example of the Type II_n subclass. The SN, which was discovered behind the Large Magellanic Cloud at $z = 0.289$ by the SuperMACHO microlensing survey, peaked at $M_R = -21.5$ mag and only declined by 2.9 mag over 4.7 years after the peak. Over this period, SN 2003ma had an integrated bolometric luminosity of 4×10^{51} erg, more than any other SN to date. The radiated energy is close to the limit allowed by conventional core-collapse explosions. Optical spectra reveal that SN 2003ma has persistent single-peaked intermediate-width hydrogen lines, a signature of interaction between the SN and a dense circumstellar medium. The light curves show further evidence for circumstellar interaction, including a long plateau with a shape very similar to the classic SN II_n 1988Z—however, SN 2003ma is 10 times more luminous at all epochs. The fast velocity measured for the intermediate-width H α component (~ 6000 km s⁻¹) points toward an extremely energetic explosion ($> 10^{52}$ erg), which imparts a faster blast-wave speed to the post-shock material and a higher luminosity from the interaction than is observed in typical SNe II_n. Mid-infrared observations of SN 2003ma suggest an infrared light echo is produced by normal interstellar dust at a distance ~ 0.5 pc from the SN.

Key words: circumstellar matter – dust, extinction – supernovae: individual (SN 2003ma)

Online-only material: color figures

1. INTRODUCTION

Hydrogen-rich (Type II) core-collapse supernovae (SNe) from massive stars typically have peak luminosities correspond-

ing to absolute magnitudes $-14 > M_R > -18$ mag that are powered by thermal energy that is deposited into the expanding SN envelope during shock breakout (Li et al. 2010). Depending on the mass of the hydrogen (H) envelope, a plateau can arise in the optical light curve from a cooling wave of H recombination that recedes through the ejecta layers. After this photospheric phase, the light curve displays an exponential decline when it is predominantly powered by the radioactive decay of isotopes created in the explosion ($^{56}\text{Ni} \rightarrow ^{56}\text{Co} \rightarrow ^{56}\text{Fe}$).

In the presence of a dense circumstellar medium (CSM), the expanding SN shock will collide with the CSM and

²⁶ Clay Fellow.

²⁷ Hubble Fellow.

²⁸ Visiting Astronomer, Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, National Optical Astronomy Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc. (AURA) under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

²⁹ Hubble and Carnegie-Princeton fellow.

convert the bulk kinetic energy of the explosion into light (Chevalier & Fransson 1994), and produce a relatively narrow $((2-4) \times 10^3 \text{ km s}^{-1})$ $H\alpha$ line that is the hallmark of SNe IIn (Schlegel 1990). The additional luminosity from the circumstellar interaction can be extremely large and even dominate the total luminosity. Furthermore, while the luminosity from radioactive decay will decline quickly after a few months, the luminosity from circumstellar interaction can persist at a near-constant level for several years.

Recently, several extremely luminous SNe have emerged with peak luminosities with $M_R < -21$ mag. SN 2006gy had a very long rise time (70 days) and peaked at $M_R = -21.8$ mag (Smith et al. 2007b; Ofek et al. 2007). SN 2008fz had a similar peak brightness ($M_V = -22.3$ mag) and light curve shape (Drake et al. 2010). SN 2005ap was very luminous at peak ($M_{\text{unf}} = -22.7$ mag), but had a fast rise and decay. SN 2008es was similar to SN 2005ap, peaking at $M_V = -22.3$ mag and having a fast rise and decline (Miller et al. 2009; Gezari et al. 2009a). A self-obscured luminous supernova, SN 2007va, was detected by Kozłowski et al. (2010) only in the infrared with an absolute mid-IR peak magnitude of $M_{[4.5]} \approx -24.2$. Quimby et al. (2009) suggested that four recently discovered luminous SNe were powered by a pulsational pair instability (Woosley et al. 2007). However, Pastorello et al. (2010) showed that these events are connected to conventional SNe Ic and that a pulsational pair instability origin is unlikely. SN 2007bi, on the other hand, was both luminous and likely generated a significant amount of ^{56}Ni , which is hard to generate in normal core-collapse SNe, but is consistent with a conventional pair-instability SN (Gal-Yam et al. 2009).

A pulsational pair instability is expected to be important in only the most massive stars—those exceeding $95 M_\odot$. The production of electron–positron pairs results in a contraction and then explosive nuclear burning which ejects some significant number of solar masses’ worth of material from the envelope. Subsequent repetitions of this sequence of events result in ejected shells catching up with previous ejected material, now at much larger radius, producing radiated energy due to the shell collisions. This process is estimated to be capable of producing 10^{50} erg of light and, as importantly, can repeat on short timescales, providing longer-timescale luminosity.

The energetics of these events push the envelope of our understanding of stellar evolution. The peak luminosity, if powered by radioactive decay, would require $\sim 10 M_\odot$ of ^{56}Ni . This very large amount points to an extremely massive progenitor and the possibility that these events were the result of a pair-instability SN (Barkat et al. 1967; Bond et al. 1984). Alternatively, a significant amount of the luminosity may be produced by circumstellar interaction, but the mass of the CSM necessary for the luminosity still indicates that the progenitors must have been massive stars with significant mass-loss histories.

Furthermore, there is a limit on the energy for which conventional core-collapse explosions are viable. Under a conventional core-collapse explosion, the maximum energy emitted by an SN is equivalent to the rest mass of a neutron star, a few 10^{54} erg, with 99% being emitted as neutrinos (e.g., Woosley & Janka 2005). The remaining energy, a few 10^{52} erg, is either coupled to the baryonic material as kinetic energy, or emitted as electromagnetic radiation. If an SN has demonstrably greater than a few 10^{52} erg, the conventional core-collapse scenario must be re-examined. This argument has been used for the extremely energetic broad-lined SNe Ic associated with gamma-ray bursts (e.g., Woosley 1993; Iwamoto et al. 1998); although these con-

straints are placed on this class of objects using the kinetic energy, not the radiated energy.

In the local universe, there have been several well-observed SNe IIn: SNe 1988Z (Turatto et al. 1993), 1994W (Sollerman et al. 1998), 1995N (Fransson et al. 2002), 1998S (e.g., Leonard et al. 2000), 1999el (Di Carlo et al. 2002), 2005ip (Smith et al. 2009b), and 2007rt (Trundle et al. 2009). In addition to their similar spectral evolution, SNe IIn all have long plateaus in their light curves after an initial decline. This plateau is from shock energy being continuously converted into visual light through circumstellar interaction. Once the shock extends to a radius where the density of the CSM drops, the source of the luminosity is diminished and the SN fades significantly.

Observations suggest that dust can form in the ejecta of SNe (Moseley et al. 1989; Kozasa et al. 1989; Sugerman et al. 2006; Smith et al. 2008b; Rho et al. 2008; Fox et al. 2009; Kotak et al. 2009). This process appears to be enhanced by increasing the density of the CSM; i.e., SNe IIn appear to be better at forming dust than SNe IIP. An infrared light echo can appear at late times when light from the SN explosion reaches a dust shell, and the UV/optical light is reprocessed into the infrared (e.g., Gerardy et al. 2002b).

Here, we present SN 2003ma, discovered behind the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) by the SuperMACHO microlensing survey. SN 2003ma has the light curve shape and spectral signatures of an SN IIn, but with a peak luminosity comparable to the most luminous SNe ever discovered. In Section 2, we describe the densely sampled SuperMACHO and Optical Gravitational Lensing Experiment (OGLE) III difference imaging photometry (covering a baseline of 12 years, and the SN light curve over 4.7 years), and spectroscopy taken at the peak of the SN, and 1, 2, 5, and 6 years later, and in Section 3 we compare the observations to well-studied SNe IIn. In Section 4, we calculate the total bolometric luminosity and energy output of the SN and show that it exceeds the radiative output of any other SN observed to date. We compare possible models for the source of the energy and show evidence for an IR echo from variable IR flux measured in archival *Spitzer* IRAC observations. In Section 5, we summarize our results.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND REDUCTIONS

2.1. Optical Photometry

Starting in 2001, the SuperMACHO Project microlensing survey used the CTIO 4 m Blanco telescope with its 8K \times 8K MOSAIC imager (plus its atmospheric dispersion corrector) to monitor the central portion of the LMC every other night for five seasons (September through December). The images were taken through our custom “VR” filter ($\lambda_c = 625$ nm, $\delta\lambda = 220$ nm; NOAO Code c6027) with exposure times between 60 and 200 s, depending on the stellar density of each field. In addition to the VR filter, images were occasionally obtained through the *B* and *I* filters. Throughout this paper, we denote brightnesses measured in the natural CTIO magnitude system as B_{SM} , VR_{SM} , and I_{SM} as defined in Miknaitis et al. (2007). To search for variability, point-spread function (PSF) matched template images were subtracted from search images (Rest et al. 2005; Garg et al. 2007; Miknaitis et al. 2007). The resulting difference images are remarkably clean of the (constant) stellar background and are ideal for searching for variable objects. Our pipeline detects and catalogs all variable sources.

On 2003 December 13, the SuperMACHO survey detected a non-microlensing transient event at position R.A. =




Figure 1. SuperMACHO images of the region around SN 2003ma. Left: template image from 2001 November 20 (pre-event). Middle: search image from 2003 December 17, showing a detection of SN 2003ma at the center of the image. Right: difference image (center minus left with an inverted color scale) clearly showing the detection of SN 2003ma. The white line in the lower right corner indicates $2''$. North is up and east is left.




Figure 2. Centroids of the sources at/near the position of SN 2003ma from multiple images. The red squares indicate the centroids of detections in the pre-event ($\text{MJD} \leq 52965$) SuperMACHO images, while the blue circles indicate the high S/N centroids of SN 2003ma in the SuperMACHO difference images during $52985 \leq \text{MJD} \leq 53020$. SN 2003ma is offset from the template source by 0.064 ± 0.012 arcsec, indicating that it is at a projected distance of $d \approx 460 \pm 85$ pc from the host.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

05:31:01.878, decl. = $-70:04:15.89$ with a VR magnitude of 20.53. The panels in Figure 1 show from left to right cutouts from a pre-event image (2001 November 20), an image 4 days after discovery (~ 6 days before the peak; 2003 December 17), and their difference image, respectively. The event position in the difference images is 0.064 ± 0.012 arcsec to the south of a source (the presumed host galaxy) in the pre-event template image (see Figure 2). At a redshift of $z = 0.289$ of SN 2003ma and its host (see Section 2.3), this corresponds to a projected distance of $d \approx 460 \pm 85$ pc. The host galaxy has apparent magnitudes of $B_{\text{SM}} = 20.93 \pm 0.06$ mag, $VR_{\text{SM}} = 20.15 \pm 0.02$ mag, and $I_{\text{SM}} = 19.76 \pm 0.03$ mag.

SN 2003ma was also detected by the OGLE-III survey (2001–2009), which is a photometric survey using a dedicated 1.3 m Warsaw telescope located at the Las Campanas Observatory, Chile, operated by the Carnegie Institution of Washington. The camera uses eight SITe 2048×4096 CCD detectors with $15 \mu\text{m}$ pixels resulting in 0.26 arcsec pixel^{-1} scale and 35×35 arcmin total field of view. For the details of the instru-




Figure 3. Difference image light curves of SN 2003ma. Top: black triangles, blue circles, and orange diamonds show the SuperMACHO difference flux light curves in B_{SM} , VR_{SM} , and I_{SM} , respectively, from 2001 November 11 to 2008 August 25. Middle: small black circles indicate the I_{OGLE} difference flux light curves. Bottom: because of the low S/N of a single detection, we average the data (orange squares). The horizontal dashed lines indicate zero flux. The vertical dotted line indicates the transition between OGLE-II and OGLE-III measurements.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

mentation setup we refer the reader to Udalski (2003). Approximately 500 photometric points per star toward the LMC were accumulated over eight seasons, between 2001 July and 2009 May. Most of the observations were carried through the Cousins I -band filter with exposure times 180 s. A full description of the reduction techniques, difference image analysis, photometric calibration, and astrometric transformations can be found in Wozniak (2000) and Udalski et al. (2008).

Pre-event I -band data were also gathered during the OGLE-II survey (1996–2000), which used the same dedicated telescope as OGLE-III, but was equipped with a single 2048×2048 CCD (Udalski et al. 1997). Since the quantum efficiency of the two CCDs are slightly different, we apply a small 2% correction to the OGLE-II data so that their average flux matches the pre-event flux in the OGLE-III. Since the OGLE-II data are several years before the event, we used it to test for pre-event variability, for example caused by an active galactic nucleus (AGN).

The upper and lower panels of Figure 3 show the difference image light curves from the SuperMACHO and OGLE surveys. Images with poor seeing and/or significant cloud cover are

more likely to have large (and non-Poissonian) systematic uncertainties. Therefore, only images with seeing better than $1''.5$ and with atmospheric extinction less than 1 mag are used for the analysis. We make an exception for images from 2008 July and August, which typically have poor seeing, but are crucial for understanding the late-time behavior of SN 2003ma. For these months, images with seeing better than $1''.9$ were retained. Since there are several images in all filters from 2008, we were able to average the flux from these images.

Because difference imaging reveals more instrumental and reduction artifacts than there are real variable objects in an image, standard profile-fitting software package, like DoPHOT (Schechter et al. 1993), have problems determining the optimal PSF in the difference image. To remedy this, we implement a customized version of DoPHOT that uses the applicable PSF from the original image (Rest et al. 2005; Garg et al. 2007). After an object is detected in any difference image we “force” photometry in all difference images at the event position regardless of the flux level. We thus measure the flux in pre-event images and negative fluxes are possible. These measurements are critical for constraining the light curves. For example the pre-event light curve (MJD < 52970) is consistent with no variability, indicating that the host galaxy has not demonstrated a history of variability that would indicate the presence of an AGN.

In addition to the SuperMACHO *VR* images, several *B* and *I* images were obtained on 2001 November 20, 2004 September 20, 2004 October 21, 2008 July 27, and 2008 August 26. The images from 2001 November 20, obtained before the event, are used as the template images for these filters.

The middle panel of Figure 3 shows the OGLE *I*-band difference image photometry (small black circles). Mainly because of the difference in telescope aperture (1.3 m for OGLE and 4 m for SuperMACHO), the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of the OGLE photometry is significantly lower than that of the SuperMACHO data. To obtain measurements with sufficient S/N, we have binned the OGLE data (lower panel of Figure 3). Since the OGLE observations are taken in blocks, we divide each block in two equal parts, each containing the same amount of measurements. The only exception is during peak, where the S/N of the measurements is significantly higher, and in addition the light curve evolves faster. Thus around peak ($52975 \leq \text{MJD} \leq 53015$), we average the data in 5 day bins, and in 25 day bins in the range $53015 < \text{MJD} \leq 53150$. In the following analysis, we only use the averaged OGLE data.

We convert the CTIO 4 m difference flux detections into apparent magnitudes (see Figure 4 and Table 1) in the natural CTIO 4 m magnitude system, and we correct for extinction as described in Section 4.1. The OGLE measurements have been converted into standard *I*-band magnitudes using the standard OGLE photometric calibration (Wozniak 2000; Udalski et al. 2008).

2.2. Infrared Photometry

We use archived images and catalogs from the *Spitzer Space Telescope SAGE* survey of the LMC (Meixner et al. 2006) to measure the mid-infrared photometry of SN 2003ma. The *SAGE* survey covered a $7^\circ \times 7^\circ$ region centered on the LMC during two epochs, 2005 July 15–26 (epoch 1) and 2005 October 26–November 2 (epoch 2) for its IRAC observations, and 2005 July 27–August 3 (epoch 1) and 2005 November 2–9 (epoch 2) for its MIPS observations. We used the epoch 1 and 2 images provided by the *SAGE* team to produce small (300 pixel wide) cutouts around the position of SN 2003ma, for all four IRAC




Figure 4. Optical light curves of SN 2003ma. The black triangles, blue circles, orange diamonds, and orange squares show the apparent magnitudes in the natural system of B_{SM} , VR_{SM} , I_{SM} , and $IOGLE$, respectively. Because of the low S/N of a single detection, we average $IOGLE$ (orange squares), with the range of epochs used to calculate this average indicated by the error bars along the time axis. The inset shows the light curve during peak from $52928 \leq \text{MJD} \leq 53130$, and the 3σ brightness upper limits.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

bands and the $24 \mu\text{m}$ MIPS band. The IRAC and MIPS cutouts have spatial scales of 1.2 and 2.5 arcsec pixel $^{-1}$, respectively, and are all on a common coordinate reference frame.

Although an object was clearly visible at the position of SN 2003ma on several of the *Spitzer* images, we found that the *SAGE* catalog did not contain photometry for it in all bands, likely due to the presence of several bright stars near the SN 2003ma position. Moreover, because the crowding in the *Spitzer* images forced us to conduct artificial star tests in order to understand the true photometric errors, we performed our own PSF-fitting photometry on the image cutouts using DAOPHOT/ALLSTAR (Stetson 1987). We used a threshold of 1σ to find stars and a sequence of apertures for initial photometry. To derive a PSF for each image, we first selected 30–130 PSF stars for the IRAC images, and 18 for the MIPS $24 \mu\text{m}$ image. We then used an iterative procedure of fitting a PSF function to the PSF stars, subtracting neighboring sources, and then refitting the PSF function to the PSF stars, while increasing the order of the spatial variability of the function up to a maximum of two. After several iterations, we used the derived PSF to derive photometry for all detected sources. After subtracting all sources except for the PSF stars, we calculated aperture photometry of the PSF stars out to a radius of 11 pixels ($13''.2$) for the IRAC images, and 29 pixels ($72''.5$) for the MIPS $24 \mu\text{m}$ images. We used this aperture photometry to apply a correction to the PSF-fitted photometry. Finally, we matched the aperture-corrected photometry to that of sources in the *SAGE* catalog and applied the zero-point differences to provide the photometric calibration.

For three of the images (epochs 1 and 2 for the $3.6 \mu\text{m}$ band and epoch 1 for the $4.5 \mu\text{m}$ band), we found that the crowding in the images and the intrinsic faintness of the object left SN 2003ma still unmeasured, with no matching sources within 3 pixels of its position. For these three images, we thus use the $8.0 \mu\text{m}$ epoch 1 image positions as the master source list, and forced ALLSTAR to fit photometry at the positions, allowing only the sky and object magnitude to be free parameters.

To ensure that our derived photometric uncertainties were reasonable in the *Spitzer* images which all suffer from crowding,

Table 1
Apparent Magnitudes of SN 2003ma

MJD	B_{SM}	VR_{SM}	I_{SM}	I_{OGLE}
52959.29126	< 22.30
52964.27804	...	< 24.19
52966.28245	...	< 24.01
52970.30195	...	< 24.16
52972.29799	...	24.22 (0.43)
52974.25935	...	23.46 (0.19)
52976.26257	...	22.50 (0.09)
52979.64149	< 21.05
52982.71623	< 19.80
52985.24459	...	20.95 (0.03)
52986.27416	...	20.81 (0.03)
52988.68744	20.21 (0.18)
52988.28817	...	20.24 (0.02)
52990.25875	...	19.83 (0.02)
52992.29069	...	19.82 (0.03)
52992.73489	19.65 (0.10)
52994.31115	...	19.81 (0.02)
52996.28857	...	19.87 (0.02)
52997.44242	19.77 (0.09)
52998.25951	...	19.95 (0.02)
53000.31187	...	20.03 (0.02)
53001.72660	19.83 (0.08)
53004.32118	...	20.12 (0.02)
53011.28573	19.92 (0.10)
53019.31815	...	20.23 (0.02)
53029.29812	19.90 (0.04)
53052.97253	19.91 (0.05)
53077.45696	20.02 (0.05)
53106.03597	20.53 (0.07)
53257.38920	...	22.02 (0.05)
53268.26639	23.15 (0.17)
53268.27047	21.15 (0.06)	...
53285.33774	21.05 (0.07)
53289.21636	...	22.22 (0.06)
53295.33515	...	22.08 (0.06)
53297.22518	...	22.18 (0.06)
53299.36194	...	22.15 (0.06)
53299.36544	23.34 (0.40)
53299.36742	21.13 (0.10)	...
53315.28817	...	22.08 (0.06)
53325.24440	...	22.12 (0.06)
53329.29664	...	22.17 (0.06)
53331.34066	...	22.11 (0.06)
53348.18819	...	22.20 (0.06)
53350.32545	...	22.17 (0.07)
53352.23171	...	22.21 (0.06)
53354.23758	...	22.18 (0.06)
53356.25495	...	22.16 (0.07)
53404.63460	21.30 (0.12)
53414.22055	...	22.11 (0.06)
53639.30253	...	22.77 (0.10)
53641.33128	...	22.60 (0.12)
53649.38498	...	22.68 (0.11)
53652.32054	...	22.81 (0.11)
53654.22569	...	22.68 (0.10)
53663.32678	21.24 (0.08)
53684.22316	...	22.67 (0.09)
53704.30592	...	22.65 (0.09)
53706.22709	...	22.56 (0.10)
53712.35217	...	22.69 (0.12)
53714.35882	...	22.69 (0.29)
53733.16559	...	22.62 (0.08)
53738.16874	...	22.71 (0.09)
53777.61556	21.39 (0.10)
54006.82171	21.87 (0.20)
54127.67356	21.46 (0.14)

Table 1
(Continued)

MJD	B_{SM}	VR_{SM}	I_{SM}	I_{OGLE}
54402.73904	22.26 (0.22)
54502.13612	22.47 (0.23)
54674.41064	...	23.82 (0.41)
54674.42468	22.61 (0.45)	...
54704.35730	...	24.19 (0.20)
54704.37563	22.59 (0.15)	...

Table 2
IRAC and MIPS Photometry and Artificial Star Test Results

Band (μm)	r^a	Flux ^b (mJy)	Flux Relerr ^c (mJy)	ΔFlux^d (mJy)	Flux Err ^e (mJy)	Compl. ^f (%)
3.6	1	0.063 ^g	0.006	0.006	0.018	46.4
4.5	1	0.048 ^g	0.003	0.003	0.014	53.3
5.8	1	0.059	0.004	0.017	0.017	38.9
8.0	1	0.108	0.004	0.014	0.018	77.3
24.0	1	1.164	0.080	0.099	0.227	94.4
3.6	2	0.055 ^g	0.003	0.005	0.019	40.9
4.5	2	0.065	0.003	0.006	0.014	65.6
5.8	2	0.028	0.004	0.015	0.013	13.6
8.0	2	0.184	0.006	0.014	0.022	89.3
24.0	2	1.141	0.108	0.098	0.228	94.0

Notes.

^a Epoch ID. Epoch 1 spans from 2005 July 15 to August 3, and epoch 2 from 2005 October 26 to November 9.

^b Flux at the position of SN 2003ma. This flux contains both the flux of SN 2003ma as well as any potential flux from the host galaxy.

^c Flux error as determined by DAOPHOT. This is the appropriate relative error between the fluxes measured in the same band at different epochs.

^d Average detected flux minus input flux as derived from artificial star tests, interpolated at the measured flux level of SN 2003ma in each image.

^e Average dispersion in the detected flux minus the input flux from the artificial star tests, interpolated at the measured flux level of SN 2003ma in each image.

^f Average completeness in %.

^g Forced photometry.

we performed artificial star tests. Artificial stars were added, a few at a time, to copies of the original images, measured using the photometric procedure described above, and then analyzed to evaluate the photometric errors, offsets, and completeness as a function of input magnitude. In the course of these tests, we added a total of 10,000 artificial stars, with magnitudes distributed evenly over the range $14 \leq M_{3.6\mu\text{m}} \leq 18$ mag, and with colors with respect to the other bands matched to those observed for SN 2003ma. The stars were added 50 at a time ($\sim 5\%$ of the real detected sources in each image) at random positions. Following the photometric measurement step, we recovered the detected artificial stars by first removing from the output lists all stars matching real sources detected in the original images, and then comparing the remaining sources with the list of input artificial stars. We then computed the average offset and dispersion in the input minus recovered magnitudes and the fraction of artificial stars recovered as a function of input magnitude.

Table 2 lists the *Spitzer* photometry of SN 2003ma, and the quantities derived from the artificial star tests. The measured flux in mJy is shown in the third column. The flux uncertainty in the fourth column was determined by DAOPHOT and is typically between 5% and 15%. This is the appropriate relative uncertainty between the fluxes measured in the same band but different epochs, since any bias due to crowding should have nearly the same effect in both epochs assuming that the




Figure 5. Optical spectra of SN 2003ma. The spectra are denoted by the year in which they were obtained. The spectra in years 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2008 correspond to rest-frame phases of 1, 279, 905, and 1423 days relative to B maximum, respectively. There is significant galaxy contamination in all spectra, likely dominating the spectrum at later phases. Since the 2004 spectrum was not obtained at the parallactic angle, its spectral shape is likely incorrect.

neighboring objects are not variable. For different wavelengths, the crowding is different and thus has a different impact on the photometry. Therefore, our estimate for the total photometric uncertainty (sixth column in Table 2) of SN 2003ma at each epoch is based on the mean photometric uncertainty arrived at in the appropriate magnitude range of the artificial stars lists. For all comparisons of fluxes from different bands, we use these total errors, which are $\sim 30\%$, $\sim 30\%$, $\sim 30\%$ – 50% , $\sim 10\%$ – 15% , and $\sim 20\%$ for the 3.6, 4.5, 5.8, 8.0, and 24 μm images, respectively.

The average absolute offsets in the input minus recovered magnitudes of the artificial stars are typically smaller than 0.1 mag, such that we do not expect the *Spitzer* detections of SN2003ma to be spurious. The only exception is the 5.8 μm photometry, for which the absolute offsets are 0.5 mag. The average completeness for the 5.8 μm images at the magnitude of SN 2003ma was also $< 50\%$, which suggests that the 5.8 μm photometry is unreliable compared to that in the other bands. As a consistency check, we compared our unforced photometry to the recently complete, final catalog and archive lists from the *SAGE* project (Meixner et al. 2006) and found them to be in agreement.

2.3. Spectroscopy

We followed SN 2003ma spectroscopically for nearly 6 years using the 8 m Gemini-South telescope (with GMOS; Hook et al. 2004) and the 6.5 m Magellan Clay telescope (with LDSS2; Allington-Smith et al. 1994, LDSS3,³⁰ and MagE; Marshall et al. 2008). The spectra³¹ are presented in Figure 5 and a journal of observations is presented in Table 3.

Standard CCD processing and spectrum extraction were accomplished with IRAF. The data were extracted using the optimal algorithm of Horne (1986). Low-order polynomial fits to calibration-lamp spectra were used to establish the wavelength scale, and small adjustments derived from night-sky lines in the object frames were applied. For the MagE




Figure 6. Optical spectrum of SN 2003ma from 2008 ($t = 1423$ days). Narrow emission lines are marked. The continuum is likely dominated by the host galaxy, while the broad H α and potential He π $\lambda 4686$ lines are from SN 2003ma.

Table 3
Log of Spectral Observations

Phase ^a	UT Date	Telescope/ Instrument	Exposure (s)
1	2003 Dec 22.3	Gemini South/GMOS	3×610
279	2004 Dec 14.1	Magellan/LDSS2	1500
905	2005 Oct 29.3	Magellan/LDSS3	900
1423	2008 Dec 28.3	Magellan/MagE	2×1800
1649	2009 Oct 17.3	Magellan/MagE	1800, 1200

Note. ^a Rest-frame days since B maximum, 2003 December 20.8 (JD 2,452,994.3).

spectrum, the sky was subtracted from the images using the method described by Kelson (2003). We employed our own IDL routines to flux calibrate the data and remove telluric lines using the well-exposed continua of the spectrophotometric standards (Wade & Horne 1988; Foley et al. 2003, 2009).

The 2004 spectrum was obtained far from the parallactic angle at high airmass. As a result, its continuum and any derived line ratios are likely incorrect (Filippenko 1982). Since the offset between the SN and host is very small, we were not able to perform local galaxy subtraction during extraction. For the 2003 and 2008 spectra, we have calibrated our absolute spectrophotometry to match concurrent photometry of the SN and host combined. This is not possible for the 2004 and 2005 spectra because of incorrect relative spectrophotometry and a small wavelength range that does not fully cover any of our broadband filters, respectively.

Several narrow galactic emission lines are present in all spectra and are identified in Figure 6 at a redshift of $z = 0.289$. Throughout this paper, we assume a cosmology with $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$, which yields a luminosity distance of $d_L = 1486 \text{ Mpc}$ for the SN redshift.

3. COMPARISONS TO OTHER CORE-COLLAPSE SUPERNOVAE

3.1. Spectroscopic Comparisons

The five spectra of SN 2003ma are presented in Section 2.3. The 2008 and 2009 spectra are dominated by host-galaxy light (see Figure 6); however, there is a strong, broad component to

³⁰ <http://www.lco.cl/telescopes-information/magellan/instruments-1/ldss-3-1/>.

³¹ The Gemini South GMOS spectra were obtained as part of the GS-2003B-Q-12 science program and the header target name in the images and spectra was cand10194.sm76_4.




Figure 7. Optical spectra of SN 2003ma compared with those of SN 2005ip. Phases are given in rest-frame days since maximum light. Top: galaxy-template subtracted maximum-light spectrum of SN 2003ma (black) and maximum-light spectrum of SN 2005ip (blue). An additional $E(B - V) = 0.9$ mag of internal extinction was applied to SN 2005ip to match the continuum shape of SN 2003ma. Bottom: the 1423 day (4 year; black) and 905 day (2.5 year; blue) spectra of SN 2003ma and SN 2005ip, respectively. A template galaxy spectrum has been added to the SN 2005ip spectrum to match the continuum shape of the SN 2003ma spectrum.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

the $H\alpha$ line. We are confident that this broad component is from the underlying SN and not from another source such as an AGN (for instance, the broad component is not visible in our 2003 spectrum).

The 2003 spectrum is much bluer than the late-time spectra, indicating that it contains more SN light than the late-time spectra, which is not surprising considering that the SN was ~ 3 mag brighter in 2003 compared to 2008. In order to isolate the SN continuum in the 2003 spectrum, we have subtracted a galaxy template spectrum from the 2003 spectrum. We chose the galaxy template to match the general continuum shape of the late-time spectra. The pre-event photometry can be used to properly scale the galaxy template. Similarly, the photometry at the time of each SN spectrum can be used to scale each spectrum. Unfortunately, this procedure produces a galaxy spectrum that is $\sim 10\%$ too bright compared to the late-time spectrum (assuming that the late-time spectrum is dominated by the host galaxy). However, considering the fact that the galaxy template spectrum does not include emission lines and there may be crowding in the template image, we do not consider this to be a significant discrepancy. The galaxy template spectrum was rescaled to match the continuum of the 2008 spectrum and subtracted from both the 2003 and 2008 spectra.

We present the dereddened (our spectra of SN 2003ma have only been corrected for foreground extinction based on Galactic dust maps Schlegel et al. 1998; see Section 4.1) galaxy-subtracted 2003 and 2008 spectra in Figure 7. We compare these spectra to those of SN 2005ip (Smith et al. 2009b), after dereddening the spectra of SN 2005ip by $E(B - V) = 0.047$ mag to account for Milky Way extinction. In order to match the continuum slope of SN 2003ma, we add an additional $E(B - V) = 0.9$ mag of host extinction. Smith et al. (2009b) found that SN 2005ip may have had host extinction of $E(B - V) = 0.3$ mag based on measurements of Na D absorption, however since the absorption features were only clearly detected in the spectrum taken 1 day after discovery,

they were unsure of the true magnitude of extinction. It seems unlikely that SN 2005ip suffered from $E(B - V) = 0.9$ mag of reddening without strong Na D absorption. Instead, the difference in continuum shapes may indicate that SN 2003ma was hotter at this epoch than SN 2005ip (see also Section 4.2).

At maximum, both SN 2003ma and SN 2005ip have relatively featureless continua. With the applied extinction corrections, there are no significant deviations between the two spectra. The spectrum of SN 2003ma is consistent with that of a blackbody. There is a low amplitude, broad feature in the SN 2003ma spectrum at ~ 6150 Å (in the rest frame) that may correspond to $H\alpha$ absorption. If this identification is correct, the feature would have an absorption minimum blueshifted by $\sim 20,000$ km s $^{-1}$. In Figure 7, we also compare the 2008 spectrum of SN 2003ma to the $t = 905$ day spectrum of SN 2005ip (Smith et al. 2009b). The continua of the spectra match, but this may be the result of an incorrect treatment of the galaxy contamination. The only feature of significance is the $H\alpha$ line, which is much broader for SN 2003ma compared to SN 2005ip. The broad $H\alpha$ line is also seen in the 2009 spectrum, but at lower equivalent width.

We detect narrow He I $\lambda 5876$ emission in the spectra from 2004, 2008, and 2009, but do not detect it in the 2003 spectrum (the wavelength range of the 2005 spectrum does not cover this feature). The 2003 spectrum has a relatively low S/N, and the He I may be too weak to be detected. Alternatively, in Section 4.2, we argue that the blackbody temperature in 2003 was $\gtrsim 15,000$ K, which should produce sufficient high-energy photons to singly ionize He. In Figure 6, we tentatively identify relatively broad He II $\lambda 4686$ in the 2008 spectrum. If correct, this would require a significant amount of ionizing far-UV or X-ray photons from the circumstellar interaction to ionize He in the post-shock material. However, as shown in Figure 7, SN 2005ip has several narrow lines in this region of the spectrum. It is possible that the 2008 spectrum of SN 2003ma does not have a high enough S/N to see these individual lines.

In SNe IIn, the $H\alpha$ line can have up to four distinct components: broad, intermediate, narrow, and galactic. Smith et al. (2009b) were able to identify the first three regions for SN 2005ip, while the fourth component was not seen. Physically, the broad, intermediate, and narrow components are formed in the fast-moving SN ejecta, the post-shock circumstellar material, and the pre-shock circumstellar material, respectively. The widths of the broad and narrow components indicate the velocity of the SN ejecta and the circumstellar wind/ejection, respectively, while the intermediate component is an indication of the amount of energy transferred from the shock to the circumstellar material. The galactic component, which is the line-of-sight emission from the host galaxy and physically unrelated to the SN, may have a similar velocity to that of the narrow component.

In Figure 8, we show the narrow [O I] $\lambda 6300$, $H\alpha$, [N II] $\lambda\lambda 6548, 6583$, and [S II] $\lambda\lambda 6716, 6731$ emission lines fitted with a Voigt profile with its width fixed to that of the [N II] $\lambda 6583$ line in each spectrum. The Voigt profile produces better fits to the wings of the narrow lines than a single Gaussian. The narrow lines are unresolved in the 2003 and 2004 spectra, but the high resolution of the 2008 and 2009 spectra resolves the narrow lines to have an FWHM = 110 km s $^{-1}$. In 2004, 2008, and 2009 we fit the broad base beneath the $H\alpha$ + [N II] narrow-line complex with a Gaussian. The best fit was for a Gaussian with FWHM = 5800 ± 800 km s $^{-1}$ and a velocity offset of $+350$ km s $^{-1}$ relative to the narrow $H\alpha$ line. This velocity offset could be due to asymmetries in the line profile. The broad component is not detected in the 2003 spectrum, and we place




Figure 8. Narrow [O I] $\lambda 6300$, $H\alpha$, [N II] $\lambda\lambda 6548, 6583$, and [S II] $\lambda\lambda 6716, 6731$ emission lines fitted with Voigt profiles with a width equal to the [N II] $\lambda 6583$ line in each spectrum, respectively, are shown with a blue line. In 2003 the continuum is fitted with a quadratic function, and in 2004, 2008, and 2009 the continuum is fitted with a constant value. An additional broad Gaussian component that is needed to fit the $H\alpha$ line in 2004, 2008, and 2009 is shown in red. In 2004 the [N II] $\lambda 6548$ line is not detected above the noise, and is weaker than expected for a line ratio of 3:1 for [N II] $\lambda 6583/\lambda 6548$.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

an upper limit on the presence of this Gaussian component to be <0.2 times the flux of the narrow $H\alpha$ line. The ratio of the broad component to the narrow component of $H\alpha$ is 0.7 ± 0.1 , 0.4 ± 0.2 , and 0.5 ± 0.2 in 2004, 2008, and 2009, respectively. The change in this ratio is not statistically significant, and systematic effects such as different position angles of the slit and varying seeing can also produce differences.

We measure the standard diagnostic [O III]/ $H\beta$ and [N II]/ $H\alpha$, and [S II]/ $H\alpha$ narrow-line ratios (Baldwin et al. 1981; Veilleux & Osterbrock 1987), which are insensitive to extinction and atmospheric refraction, for each spectrum from the Voigt profile fits. The narrow-line ratios, listed in Table 4, are all consistent with a star-forming galaxy. This, in combination with the lack of variability in the densely sampled SuperMACHO and OGLE difference imaging data over a baseline of 7 years before the SN, place strong constraints on the presence of an AGN in the host galaxy.

If the broad $H\alpha$ component is powered by CSM interaction, then we expect there to be some contribution to the narrow $H\alpha$ and $H\beta$ emission lines. However, with no observed velocity offset between the expected narrow component from the SN and the host galaxy emission lines, it is difficult to tell if the SN contributes to the narrow emission lines. Furthermore, the narrow-line ratios are measured to be constant within the errors from 2003 to 2008, and thus the lines are most likely dominated by the H II regions in the host galaxy. The luminosity of the $H\alpha$ line in 2003, when the broad component of $H\alpha$ associated with the SN is not yet observed, is $L(H\alpha) = 6.1 \times 10^{42}$ erg s^{-1} , which corresponds to a substantial star formation rate of $4.8 M_{\odot} \text{ year}^{-1}$ characteristic of a starburst galaxy (Kennicutt 1998).

Table 4
Diagnostic Narrow-line Ratios

Year	[O III] $\lambda 5007/H\beta$	[N II] $\lambda 6583/H\alpha$	[S II] $\lambda\lambda 6716, 6731/H\alpha$
2003	1.98 ± 0.07	0.18 ± 0.01	0.21 ± 0.02
2004	2.19 ± 0.03	0.23 ± 0.04	0.21 ± 0.03
2005	2.10 ± 0.07
2008	2.19 ± 0.05	0.22 ± 0.02	0.18 ± 0.01
2009	1.71 ± 0.12	0.19 ± 0.02	0.17 ± 0.01

At day 905, SN 2005ip had been on its plateau for over 2 years, and the broad component of its $H\alpha$ line had faded by a factor of ~ 6 while its narrow+intermediate $H\alpha$ line, which is assumed to be powered by interaction with the CSM, had increased by a factor of three, resulting in a nearly constant total $H\alpha$ luminosity (Smith et al. 2009b). At this epoch, the FWHM of the intermediate component and broad component of $H\alpha$ were 740 ± 250 km s^{-1} and 8800 ± 660 km s^{-1} , respectively (Smith et al. 2009b). In comparison, at day 279 and 1423, SN 2003ma was on its second plateau and also most likely dominated by circumstellar interaction; however the width of the broad component of its $H\alpha$ line is at least five times larger than the intermediate component seen in SN 2005ip. Similarly, it is significantly broader than the intermediate component of $H\alpha$ observed in SNe IIn 1988Z, 2006tf, and 2007rt which had a characteristic width of 2000 km s^{-1} (Aretxaga et al. 1999; Smith et al. 2008a; Trundle et al. 2009) and SN 2006gy which had an intermediate component with 4000 km s^{-1} (Smith et al. 2007b).

The ~ 6000 km s^{-1} component seen in the 2004 and 2008 spectra of SN 2003ma could be associated with SN ejecta that has decelerated over time. The width of the broad component of $H\alpha$ was observed to decrease from $\sim 15,000$ km s^{-1} on day 34 to 5000 km s^{-1} after day 500 in SN 1988Z (Aretxaga et al. 1999) and decrease from $\sim 10,000$ km s^{-1} on day 102 to <6000 km s^{-1} after day 273 in SN 2007rt (Trundle et al. 2009). It may be that the day 1 spectrum of SN 2003ma was too early, and the day 279 spectrum was too late to catch the broad component when it had a velocity width of tens of thousands of km s^{-1} . However, given the long-lived plateau in the light curve, circumstellar interaction must be the dominant source of energy after day 90. Thus, the broad component in SN 2003ma is most likely an exceptionally fast “intermediate component” that is the result of the interaction with the CSM of a highly energetic explosion, even more energetic than SN 2006gy (see Section 4.5), which imparts a much faster blast-wave speed to the post-shock shell. However, electron scattering can also be a significant source of broadening of the $H\alpha$ line in interacting SNe, and thus the speed of the material may be slower than inferred from the width of the line (Dessart et al. 2009).

3.2. Photometric Comparisons

The I -band light curve of SN 2003ma has two nearly flat plateaus, and strong emission in the V and I bands for ~ 1000 days, reminiscent of the long-lived emission seen in interacting SNe IIn. Although the first plateau in the rest-frame R -band is similar to the initial plateau seen in SNe IIP, its comparable plateau in the rest-frame B band is unlike IIP SNe which begin their decline immediately after the peak in the B band. Figure 9 shows a comparison of SN 2003ma to canonical SN IIP 1999em (Leonard et al. 2002; Elmhamdi et al. 2003), along with extreme interacting SNe IIn 1988Z (Aretxaga et al. 1999) and 2005ip (Smith et al. 2009b), and extremely luminous SN IIn 2006gy




Figure 9. Light curve of SN 2003ma (DM = 40.86 mag, $z = 0.289$) in absolute, rest-frame B - and R -band magnitudes in comparison to SN IIn 1988Z (DM = 34.77 mag; Aretxaga et al. 1999), SN IIn 2005ip (DM = 32.36 mag; Smith et al. 2009b), the extremely luminous SN IIn 2006gy (DM = 34.32 mag; Smith et al. 2007b; Agnoletto et al. 2009), SN IIL 2008es (DM = 40.08 mag, $z = 0.21$; Miller et al. 2009; Gezari et al. 2009a), and SN IIL 2005ap (DM = 40.81 mag, $z = 0.283$; Quimby et al. 2007), SN Ia 1998aq (DM = 31.696; Riess et al. 2005), and SN IIP 1999em (DM = 29.569 mag; Leonard et al. 2002) in rest-frame days since maximum. The times of maxima for SNe 1998aq, 1999em, 2006gy, 2008es, and 2005ap are well constrained, but for SNe 1988Z and 2005ip there are no upper limits before the date of discovery, and so we assume that the date of discovery is the peak date. The light curves are in rest-frame B , R , or R -like unfiltered (UF) magnitudes.³² We do not apply any K -correction to the SNe with distances $\lesssim 100$ Mpc (SNe 1998aq, 1988Z, 1999em, 2005ip, and 2006gy). We convert the light curve of SN 2008es from observed i band to rest-frame R band by applying a K -correction of -0.043 mag. We convert the light curve of SN 2005ap from unfiltered magnitudes to rest-frame R band by applying a K -correction of 0.054 mag, assuming that the observed magnitudes are in R band. We apply extinction corrections of 0.036 , 0.24 , 0.126 , and 1.78 mag to the light curves of SNe 1998aq, 1999em, 2006gy, and 2005ip, respectively. The published light curves of SNe 1988Z, 2008es, and 2005ap are already extinction corrected. The observed VR and I -band measurements of SN 2003ma are extinction corrected by 0.66 and 1.01 mag, respectively, and then converted into rest-frame magnitudes in B and R bands with K -corrections of -0.63 and -0.51 mag, respectively. All K -corrections are calculated assuming a solar spectrum.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

(Ofek et al. 2007; Smith et al. 2007b), SN IIL 2005ap (Quimby et al. 2007), SN IIL 2008es (Miller et al. 2009; Gezari et al. 2009a), and normal SN Ia 1988aq (Riess et al. 2005). All light curves are corrected for Galactic extinction, and in the case of SN 1999em and SN 2006gy for internal extinction (Leonard et al. 2002; Smith et al. 2007b). K -corrections are applied to SNe at distances farther than 100 Mpc.

In SNe IIP, a plateau is observed in the V and R bands as a result of H recombination in the SN ejecta. The plateau is not seen at shorter wavelengths (U and B bands) due to sensitivity to the declining temperature and line blanketing from metals, nor at longer wavelengths (I band) since the bandpass is on the Rayleigh–Jeans tail of the emission the whole time (Leonard et al. 2002).

The SuperMACHO data constrain the pre-maximum light curve of SN 2003ma exceedingly well (see Figure 10). The first significant detection is 4.5 mag below peak 20 days before maximum, and we estimate the day of explosion to be between the last upper limit and the first detection at $t_0 = \text{MJD } 52,971 \pm 1$. The slow decline of the I band (rest-frame R band) in the first plateau ($53010 \leq \text{MJD} < 53110$ or $t - t_0 = 40\text{--}140$ days) of 0.0017 ± 0.0009 mag day $^{-1}$ and in the second plateau ($\text{MJD} \geq 53110$ or $t - t_0 = 140\text{--}1735$ days) of 0.0011 ± 0.0001 mag day $^{-1}$ is much flatter than the 481 day decline seen in SN IIn 2007rt with 0.006 mag day $^{-1}$ (Trundle




Figure 10. Light curve of SN 2003ma in absolute magnitudes in comparison to SN 1988Z in days since explosion, on a log scale. The time axis is in the rest frame. Offsets have been added to the SN 1988Z absolute magnitudes in order to compare the shape of the light curves. Since the time of explosion is not well constrained for SN 1988Z, we shift the light curves by 12 days to match the bend in the I -band light curve of SN 2003ma seen after 100 days.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

et al. 2009), and is more like the extreme plateaus observed in the light curves of SNe IIn 1988Z and 2005ip (see Figure 10). The slow decline in these SNe IIn light curves is explained by an

³² The upper limits on the brightness of SN 2006gy are R band measurements from Agnoletto et al. (2009)




Figure 11. Rest-frame $B - R$ colors of SN 2003ma in comparison to SN 1988Z in days since the estimated time of explosion t_0 . The time of explosion of SN 1988Z is assumed to be 12 days before discovery. The time axis is in the rest frame. Both SNe show an increase in $B - R$ color until ~ 1000 days, after which the $B - R$ color plateaus.

excess of emission above the photospheric emission produced by the interaction of the SN ejecta with circumstellar material. The spectra of these SNe demonstrated broad Balmer emission lines, with a narrow component and no P-Cygni absorption, suggesting that the emission is confined to an outer shell (Turatto et al. 1993).

The color evolution of SN 1988Z and SN 2003ma, as shown in Figure 11, is remarkably similar. The extremely flat plateaus of this type of SN are attributed to an increase in narrow- and intermediate-width $H\alpha$ flux as well as a forest of other narrow lines powered by the circumstellar interaction (Smith et al. 2008a). The decreasing $H\alpha$ line velocity width over time in SN 1988Z was successfully modeled by Aretxaga et al. (1999) as the consequence of an SN remnant shock expanding into a dense medium. The fact that SN 2003ma is bluer than SN 1988Z in particular during the early phases can be attributed to the higher blackbody temperature.

4. PHYSICAL PARAMETERS OF SN 2003ma

4.1. Extinction

We use the typical galactic extinction law of Cardelli et al. (1989) parameterized by a value of $R_V = A_V/E(B - V) = 3.1$. We obtain³³ a combined Galactic and LMC reddening of $E(B - V) = 0.348$ mag at the position of SN 2003ma from Schlegel et al. (1998). As a consistency check, we examine the spectra of SN 2003ma for tracers of interstellar dust. The Na D doublet is not detected at or near zero velocity in any of our spectra. The 2008 spectrum of SN 2003ma, which has a resolution of ~ 20 km s⁻¹, is ideal for examining the Na D doublet. We present the wavelength regions from that spectrum which correspond to the rest-frame Na D doublet for the Milky Way, LMC, and host galaxy of SN 2003ma in Figure 12. From this spectrum, we can rule out Na D absorption with an equivalent width of $\gtrsim 0.4$ Å from the Milky Way and the LMC. Using the relationship of Barbon et al. (1990), we expect $E(B - V) \lesssim 0.1$ mag from the Milky Way and the LMC, respectively. However, Blondin et al. (2009) found




Figure 12. Optical spectrum of the 1423 day spectrum of SN 2003ma near the rest wavelength of the Na D doublet for the Milky Way (top), LMC (middle), and host galaxy (bottom), respectively. The vertical dashed lines indicated the wavelengths of the Na D lines. We detect no Na D absorption in the Milky Way or LMC to a equivalent width limit of ~ 0.4 Å. There is the possibility of Na D absorption in the host galaxy with a redshifted velocity of 200 km s⁻¹ relative to the host galaxy with an equivalent width of 0.5 Å. This possibility is represented by the red dashed lines.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

$E(B - V) > 0.3$ mag for objects with Na D equivalent widths below about 0.3 Å. We therefore believe that our measurements of Na D are consistent with the Schlegel et al. (1998) extinction estimates.

In Figure 12, we see that there is a possible detection of Na D absorption from the host galaxy at a redshifted velocity of $v = 200$ km s⁻¹ relative to the host galaxy with a width of $\lesssim 20$ km s⁻¹, the resolution of our spectrum. However, we do not see a narrow emission line component from the SN that is offset from the emission lines of the host galaxy. There are three possible explanations for the lines. First, the lines could be a spurious detection caused by random noise. This is plausible given the level of noise in the spectrum and the slightly odd line profiles. Second, there could be an absorbing cloud traveling toward SN 2003ma. SN 2003ma is at the same redshift as its host galaxy; therefore, SN 2003ma (either in a disk or the halo) would have its velocity vector pointing mostly perpendicular to our line of sight. If the cloud is in the disk, then SN 2003ma must be in the halo, and likewise, if SN 2003ma is in the disk, then the cloud must be in the halo. Third, there is local material falling inward toward SN 2003ma. Either circumstellar material or gas from an H II region may be under gravitational collapse, however the velocity appears to be very high for such a scenario. Given the possibilities, we believe the most plausible is that there is no significant Na D absorption near the redshift of the host galaxy, which indicates no additional extinction from the host galaxy. However, the fact that there is a strong IR echo (see Section 4.8) in combination with the type of the host galaxy being a star-forming galaxy which are generally dust rich makes it very likely that there is significant extinction in the host. The lack of Na D absorption might then be due to bleaching of the Na D doublet out to a few hundred parsecs by photoionizing Na I to Na II. Considering all these circumstances, we assume as a lower limit no additional extinction from the host galaxy throughout the paper. Consequently, the total assumed $E(B - V) = 0.348$ mag is also a lower limit. Any additional extinction beyond the Milky Way and LMC foreground component increases the required SN

³³ <http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/applications/DUST/>

Table 5
K-corrections and Bolometric Corrections for the Solar Model

Observed Passband Y	λ_Y (Å)	A_Y (mag)	Rest-frame Passband X	K_{XY} (mag)	$L_{\odot,X}$ (10^{32} erg s $^{-1}$)	$M_{\odot,X}$ (mag)	b_X	E_X (10^{50} erg)	$E_{\text{bol},X}$ (10^{50} erg)
B_{SM}	4379	1.44	U	0.84	1.89	5.60	20.40		
VR_{SM}	5842	1.01	B	-0.63	4.41	5.47	8.72	4.76 (0.12)	41.47 (1.06)
I_{SM}	7898	0.66	R	-0.49	6.48	4.46	5.93	4.48 (0.10)	26.57 (0.60)
I_{OGLE}	7980	0.65	R	-0.51					
$VR + I$			$B+R$				3.53	9.24 (0.14)	32.62 (0.49)

Notes. For each of the passbands Y (first column), the effective wavelength λ_Y , extinction A_Y , the passband X that matches best in the rest frame, the K -correction K_{XY} , the luminosity $L_{\odot,X}$ of the Sun in X , the absolute magnitude $M_{\odot,X}$ of the Sun in X , and the bolometric correction b_X are shown in the second to eighth columns, respectively. The K -correction and bolometric correction are calculated using the solar SED. We combine I_{SM} and I_{OGLE} in all rest-frame measurements since they match well to the same rest-frame passband. The ninth column shows the integrated energy E_X in passband X and the tenth column the integrated bolometric energy $E_{\text{bol},X}$ derived from the passband X photometry. The uncertainties quoted in E_X and $E_{\text{bol},X}$ are the random uncertainties and do not contain any of the systematic errors due to, e.g., the interpolation of the light curves, K -correction, etc.

luminosity and hence makes the SN even more extreme in its characteristics.

For each passband, we compute the combined Galactic and LMC extinction at its effective wavelength λ_{eff} with $A(\lambda_{\text{eff}}) = \langle A(\lambda_{\text{eff}})/A_V \rangle E(B - V)R_V$ using Equation (1) from Cardelli et al. (1989). Table 5 shows the effective wavelengths and extinction for the various passbands in the second and third columns, respectively.

4.2. Temperature at Peak

As described in Section 3.1, we obtained a spectrum of the event and host galaxy on 2003 December 22, ~ 3 days after peak. To examine the temperature of this spectrum, we focus on the dereddened, galaxy-subtracted spectrum (see Section 3.1 and Figure 7). We ignore the region of the spectrum corresponding to 6350–6800 Å in our analysis below to avoid any H α contamination. The spectrum shows a blue continuum without any significant emission or absorption lines. This is similar to the spectra of SNe II caught within days of explosion which also show a relative featureless blue continuum which can be fitted with blackbody temperatures of $\sim 10,000$ – $20,000$ K (e.g., Dessart & Hillier 2006; Dessart et al. 2008; Gezari et al. 2009a). The earliest spectrum of SN 2005ip also demonstrates a blue, featureless continuum on day 1 after discovery that is fitted with a blackbody with $T_{\text{BB}} = 7300$ K; however, this temperature is most likely a lower limit due to the uncertainty in the amount of internal extinction (Smith et al. 2009b).

Figure 13 shows the 2003 galaxy-subtracted spectrum of SN 2003ma (black line) in comparison to blackbodies of 5000, 10,000, 15,000, and 20,000 K. In order to further constrain the blackbody fit, we use the observed broadband fluxes in VR_{SM} and I_{OGLE} (blue square and red diamond). The horizontal error bars indicate the width of the filters. Blackbodies with $T \lesssim 10,000$ K do not fit well (see solid orange line and dashed sky blue). For higher temperatures, a differentiation is not possible since the observed wavelength range only covers the Rayleigh–Jeans tail of the blackbody. Therefore, we can only set a lower limit of the temperature at peak of $T_{\text{peak}} \gtrsim 15,000$ K.

4.3. Absolute Magnitudes

We calculate the absolute magnitude M_X in the rest-frame filter X from an observed magnitude m_Y in filter Y as

$$M_X = m_Y - A_Y - \text{DM} - K_{XY}, \quad (1)$$




Figure 13. Spectrum of SN 2003ma (black line) from 2003 December 22 (~ 3 days after peak) with galaxy contamination subtracted as discussed in Section 3.1 and dereddened. The galaxy H α line does not fully subtract. Therefore, the spectrum in the rest-frame wavelength range 6350–6800 Å is not reliable and we do not use it in the analysis. Overplotted are the dereddened observed fluxes derived from the VR_{SM} (blue squares) and I_{OGLE} (red diamonds) photometry. The horizontal error bars indicate the width of the filters. The solid orange line, dashed sky blue line, dot-dashed purple line, and dotted cyan line indicate blackbody fits of 5000, 10,000, 15,000, and 20,000 K to the spectrum and photometry, respectively.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

where A_Y is the extinction in filter Y as described in Section 4.1, DM is the distance modulus, and K_{XY} is the K -correction. For a redshift of $z = 0.289$, we compute $\text{DM} = 40.86$ mag. At this redshift, the filters B , VR , and I in the observers frame are best matched by the rest-frame filters U , B , and R , respectively. The K -correction K_{XY} as well as the bolometric correction described in the next section depend on the choice of the spectral energy distribution (SED) used to represent the SN SED. Due to the limited wavelength range of the observed spectra in addition to the imperfect background subtraction in particular for the later time spectra, we cannot use the SN 2003ma spectra to directly calculate the bolometric correction. Thus, we choose the following two SED models.

1. *Solar model.* The solar spectrum is often used to estimate the bolometric correction. Although it does not necessarily represent the true underlying SED, it is commonly used in

Table 6
K-corrections and Bolometric Corrections for the BB15K/88Z Model

Observed Passband Y	Rest-frame Passband X	Peak	K_{XY} Plateau 1	Plateau 2	Peak	b_X Plateau 1	Plateau 2	E_X (10^{50} erg)	$E_{\text{bol},X}$ (10^{50} erg)
VR_{SM}	B	-0.44	-0.54	-0.61	7.62	26.66	27.57	5.04 (0.12)	124.96 (3.40)
I_{SM}	R	-0.57	-0.59	-0.74	12.22	3.88	6.15	3.69 (0.08)	22.28 (0.49)
I_{OGLE}	R	-0.57	-0.62	-0.80					
$VR + I$	$B+R$				4.69	3.38	5.03	8.67 (0.13)	40.15 (0.63)

Notes. For each of the passbands Y (first column), the passband X that matches best in the rest frame (second column), the K -correction K_{XY} , and the bolometric correction b_X are shown. For both the K -correction and bolometric correction, we use three different SEDs for the three different light curve phases peak, plateau 1 and plateau 2. For the peak, we use a 15,000 K blackbody SED. Since the light curve and color evolution of the first and second plateau are similar to that of SN 1988Z, we use a spectrum of SN 1988Z from 1989 April 6 as SED for plateau 1, and an average of SN 1988Z spectra from 1990 April 18, 1991 February 20, and 1992 February 4 for plateau 2. The K -correction for the peak, plateau 1 and plateau 2, is shown in third–fifth columns, respectively. The bolometric correction for the peak, plateau 1 and plateau 2, is shown in the sixth, seventh, and eighth columns, respectively. We combine I_{SM} and I_{OGLE} in all rest-frame measurements since they match well to the same rest-frame passband. The ninth column shows the integrated energy E_X in passband X and the tenth column the integrated bolometric energy $E_{\text{bol},X}$ derived from the passband X photometry. The uncertainties quoted in E_X and $E_{\text{bol},X}$ are the random uncertainties and do not contain any of the systematic errors due to, e.g., the interpolation of the light curves, K -correction, etc.

the literature for other SNe, and thus it allows for a direct comparison to them.

- BB15K/88Z model.* As we show in Section 4.2, the peak temperature is $T_{\text{peak}} \gtrsim 15,000$ K. Thus, we choose a 15,000 K blackbody as our SED during the peak (MJD < 53010). Motivated by the fact that the light curves and color evolution of SN 2003ma are very similar to SN 1988Z after peak, we use the 1989 April 6 spectrum of SN 1988Z as the SED for the first plateau ($53010 \leq \text{MJD} < 53110$) and an average of three SN 1988Z spectra (corresponding to dates 1990 April 18, 1991 February 20, and 1992 February 4) for the second plateau (MJD ≥ 53110). The particular SN 1988Z spectra were chosen for their large wavelength coverage (4500–9000 Å) and high S/N.

While the solar SED is simple and should provide reasonable measurements, the BB15K/88Z model is the more physical model since it attempts to accurately describe the SN SED. The fifth column in Table 5 and the third through fifth columns in Table 6 show the K -corrections for the two models. Note that the differences between the K -corrections derived from the different models are a few tenths of a magnitude. Figures 9 and 10 show the absolute magnitude light curve of SN 2003ma (blue squares and red circles) derived assuming the solar SED for the K -corrections.

4.4. Luminosity and Energy

We derive the luminosity L_X of SN 2003ma in a passband X and its bolometric luminosity L by comparing it to the Sun with

$$L_X = L_{\odot,X} 10^{-0.4(M_X - M_{\odot,X})} \quad (2)$$

$$L_{\text{bol},X} = b_X L_X, \quad (3)$$

where b_X is the bolometric correction for band X . The solar luminosities $L_{\odot,X}$ are calculated with synthetic photometry off the solar SED (Lejeune et al. 1997) through Bessell passbands, and the solar magnitudes $M_{\odot,X}$ are taken from Colina et al. (1996). They are shown in the sixth and seventh columns in Table 5, respectively. We combine I_{SM} and I_{OGLE} in all rest-frame measurements since they match well to the same rest-frame passband. We calculate the bolometric correction b_X for a filter X as the ratio of the bolometric luminosity of the reference SED with its luminosity in X , where the reference SED is defined

by the model used (either solar model or BB15K/88Z model) and for the BB15K/88Z model also by its light curve phase (see the eighth column in Table 5 and the sixth to eighth columns in Table 6). Note that the bolometric luminosity $L_{\text{bol},X}$ depends strongly on the bolometric correction and thus on the filter X . Since we have good light curves in rest-frame B and R , we can get estimates of the bolometric luminosity from both light curves and then compare them. In addition, we add the luminosities in the rest-frame B and R together (L_{B+R}) and estimate the bolometric luminosity $L_{\text{bol},B+R}$ using a bolometric correction $b_{B+R}^{-1} = b_B^{-1} + b_R^{-1}$. Combining both filters decreases the systematic error since the overall corrections are smaller, as we discuss in Section 4.5.

For both the solar model and the BB15K/88Z model we estimate the amount of energy emitted in the rest-frame B , R , and the combined $B + R$ passbands by integrating over time (see the tenth and ninth columns in Tables 5 and 6, respectively). The biggest source of systematic error is caused by the gap in the data in the rest-frame B (observed frame VR) between $53020 < \text{MJD} < 53250$, which contains the transition between plateau 1 and plateau 2 (see Figure 4). A simple linear interpolation over the gap may introduce a systematic overprediction of the flux. We estimate that this overestimate is smaller than 10% and does not change our results. Note that the bolometric correction using the BB15K/88Z model is only pseudo-bolometric, since the SN 1988Z spectra used only have a finite wavelength range from about 4000 to 10000 Å, not considering any contribution redward of the z band or blueward of the B band.

4.5. Energy Emitted

The upper left panel of Figure 14 shows the luminosities in the rest-frame B , R , and combined $B + R$ passbands using the solar SED for the determination of the K -correction. In the upper right panel, the same luminosities are shown, but using a 15,000 K blackbody SED for the K -correction during peak, and SEDs from SN 1988Z for the K -corrections during the plateau phase. The K -corrections for the two models are listed in Tables 5 and 6. Note that the differences in K -corrections and thus luminosity are not bigger than 20%.

We estimate the total emitted energy in the different passbands by integrating over time (see solid lines in lower panels of Figure 14, and the tenth and ninth columns in Tables 5 and 6, respectively). For the solar model, the emitted energy in the rest-




Figure 14. Top left: the luminosities in the rest-frame B , R , and combined $B + R$ passbands using the solar SED for the determination of the K -correction (solar model). Top right: same luminosities, but using a 15,000 K blackbody SED for the K -correction during peak, and SEDs from SN 1988Z for the K -corrections during the plateau phase (BB15K/88Z model). Bottom left and right: the integrated emitted energy in the B , R , and combined $B + R$ passbands using the solar and BB15K/88Z model, respectively, in solid lines. The dashed lines show the integrated bolometric energy for the two models. See Section 4.5 for a discussion.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

frame R band is 4.5×10^{50} erg, with a similar amount emitted in the rest-frame B band of 4.8×10^{50} erg. This is more than 10 times the energy emitted by SN 1988Z in the B band over roughly the same period of time (~ 4.3 year) of $\sim 3 \times 10^{49}$ erg (Aretxaga et al. 1999). By adding the luminosities in the rest-frame B and R together, and integrating over the light curve, we calculate the energy emitted in the combined rest-frame B and R to be $E_{B+R} = 9.2 \times 10^{50}$ erg (black line in lower left panel of Figure 14). When we use the BB15K/88Z model for the K -correction, we get energies in B , R , and $B + R$ of 5.0×10^{50} , 3.7×10^{50} , and 8.7×10^{50} erg, respectively. These energies differ by only $\lesssim 15\%$ from the energies based on the solar model, and we thus estimate that our systematic errors are $\lesssim 15\%$.

The bolometric luminosities and hence bolometric energies have a strong dependency on the SED used to calculate the bolometric corrections. The dashed lines in the lower panels of Figure 14 show the bolometric energies derived from the different passbands using the solar and BB15K/88Z model on the left and right, respectively. The bolometric energies based on the solar model agree reasonably well and range between 2.7×10^{51} and 4.1×10^{51} erg. However, the bolometric energies derived using the BB15K/88Z model significantly differ, e.g., a factor of five between rest-frame R (2.2×10^{51} erg) and B (12.5×10^{51} erg), even though this model is physically more motivated.

This large difference between the rest-frame B and R derived bolometric energy is mainly driven by the bolometric correction based on the SN 1988Z spectra for the two plateau phases. The late-time spectra of SN 1988Z-like SN are dominated by

the strong $H\alpha$ emission line on the one hand, and the blue pseudo-continuum on the other hand. At late phases, this blue continuum is detected in most interacting SNe, e.g., SN 2006jc (Foley et al. 2007) and has been shown by Smith et al. (2009b) to be fluorescence of a forest of emission lines that can only be resolved if the lines are narrow enough, e.g., SN 2005ip. The main difference between the spectral shape of these late-time spectra of SNe II_n is the relative strength of the $H\alpha$ emission line (rest-frame R) compared to the blue pseudo-continuum (rest-frame B and bluer). The rest-frame $B - R$ color of SN 1988Z is significantly redder than the one of SN 2003ma, indicating that for SN 2003ma the relative strength of the $H\alpha$ line compared to the UV pseudo-continuum is weaker than for SN 1988Z. Thus, using the spectra of SN 1988Z leads to a bolometric overcorrection in the rest-frame B band and an undercorrection in the rest-frame R band, which explains the large discrepancy between the two estimated bolometric luminosities. By combining rest-frame B and R , this effect gets largely compensated, and the bolometric energy of 4.0×10^{51} erg is comparable to the bolometric energy using the solar model of 3.2×10^{51} erg. We thus estimate the bolometric radiated energy of SN 2003ma to be $(3.6 \pm 1) \times 10^{51}$ erg, with the error dominated by the systematic error in the bolometric correction. This is significantly more than the total radiated energy of $(2.3 - 2.5) \times 10^{51}$ erg by SN 2006gy in ~ 0.6 years (Smith et al. 2009a), and 1.1×10^{51} erg for SN 2008es in ~ 0.4 years (Miller et al. 2009), and 10 times the energy radiated by SN 1988Z over 8.5 years of 3.2×10^{50} erg (Aretxaga et al. 1999). Analogous

to SN 1988Z, the true bolometric luminosity of SN 2003ma is probably a factor of 10 higher if you include emission from the radio to X-rays that is produced from the interaction of the SN shock with the CSM, resulting in a total radiated energy budget of at least 10^{52} erg.

Even though SN 2003ma is not quite as bright at peak as the most luminous SNe such as SNe 2005ap, 2006gy, and 2008es, its total emitted energy is larger since half of the radiated energy is emitted after 350 days due to its very long decline (see the dotted lines in Figure 14 for $E_{\text{bol}, B+R}$), at times when the other extremely luminous SNe do not produce any significant radiation. Such a large amount of radiated energy can be achieved if two things coincide: (1) the initial explosion has a large total (combined radiative and kinetic) energy and (2) most of the kinetic energy is converted into radiation by interaction with a CSM.

4.6. Peak Luminosity

The peak luminosity of an SN II from thermal energy deposited into the ejecta following shock break out can be estimated to be

$$L_0 = \frac{\beta c E_{\text{SN}} R_0}{2\kappa M} \quad (4)$$

$$= 2.5 \times 10^{43} \left(\frac{E}{10^{51} \text{ erg}} \right) \left(\frac{R}{10^{14} \text{ cm}} \right) \left(\frac{M_{\odot}}{M} \right) \text{ erg s}^{-1}, \quad (5)$$

where E_{SN} is the energy of the SN explosion, M is the mass of the ejecta, R_0 is the initial radius of the star, β is a numerical constant related to the radiative diffusion time, and κ is the opacity (Arnett 1996). Thus, the most luminous core-collapse SNe will result from progenitors with large initial radii and low densities. Given the measured total energy emitted in the optical of $E_{\text{SN}} = 4 \times 10^{51}$ erg and $L_0 = 5 \times 10^{43}$ erg s $^{-1}$, this implies $R_0 = 2 \times 10^{14} [M/(10M_{\odot})]$ cm, a value approaching the radii of the largest known red supergiants (Levesque et al. 2005). If the progenitor were an Eta Carinae-type massive star with $M \approx 100 M_{\odot}$, this would require a radius 10 times larger, which is difficult to reconcile with stellar radii predicted by stellar evolutionary models.

If the peak of the light curve is powered solely by radioactive decay, we expect

$$M_{\text{Ni}}/M_{\odot} = \frac{L}{1.42 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} e^{(t/111 \text{ days})}. \quad (6)$$

For SN 2003ma, which had $L_{\text{peak}} = 5 \times 10^{43}$ erg s $^{-1}$ at $t = 8.5$ days after explosion in the SN rest frame, the initial ^{56}Ni mass is $4 M_{\odot}$, which is one to two orders of magnitude larger than typically produced in SNe IIP (Hamuy 2003). Although this amount of ^{56}Ni could be produced in a pair-instability explosion (Scannapieco et al. 2005), the slow rise to maximum and constant slope of decay after maximum in such models are incompatible with the light curve of SN 2003ma.

4.7. Kinetic Energy

Measuring the kinetic energy from an SN typically requires an ejecta mass and a velocity for the ejecta. For SN 2003ma, we were unable to measure the velocity of the ejecta from any of our spectra. However, we can estimate the energy converted from shock energy to kinetic energy by examining the velocity of the post-shock material. If we assume that the pre-shock

circumstellar material has a coherent velocity, v_w , and a mass, m , it has a kinetic energy,

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{2} m v_w^2. \quad (7)$$

If we assume that the post-shock material has a one-dimensional rms velocity, v_{ps} , then the post-shock kinetic energy of the circumstellar material is

$$E_{\text{ps}} = \frac{3}{2} m v_{\text{ps}}^2, \quad (8)$$

and the shock deposited an energy,

$$\Delta E = \frac{1}{2} m (3v_{\text{ps}}^2 - v_w^2). \quad (9)$$

If we assume that the pre-shock circumstellar material has a constant density, ρ , and that the shock traveled at a velocity of v_s with no appreciable deceleration, then

$$m = \frac{4}{3} \pi \rho (v_s t)^3 \quad (10)$$

is the mass of circumstellar material swept up by the shock in a time t after explosion.

Combining Equations (9) and (10) and assuming that $v_{\text{ps}} \gg v_w$, we find

$$\Delta E = 2\pi \rho v_{\text{ps}}^2 v_s^3 t^3. \quad (11)$$

If we have a constant conversion of kinetic energy to luminosity, then $L = \eta \Delta E/t$, where η is the efficiency factor. The density can then be written as

$$\rho = 5.6 \times 10^{-20} \frac{1}{\eta} \frac{L}{10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \left(\frac{v_{\text{ps}}}{6000 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^{-2} \times \left(\frac{v_s}{20,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^{-3} \left(\frac{t}{\text{year}} \right)^{-2} \text{ g cm}^{-3}. \quad (12)$$

From Equations (10) and (12), we have the total swept-up mass,

$$m = 0.03 \frac{1}{\eta} \frac{L}{10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \left(\frac{v_{\text{ps}}}{6000 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^{-2} \left(\frac{t}{\text{year}} \right) M_{\odot}, \quad (13)$$

which is independent of any assumed ejecta velocity. At the time of the last spectrum, when $L = 5 \times 10^{42}$ erg s $^{-1}$, $v_{\text{ps}} = 6000$ km s $^{-1}$, and $t = 3.9$ years, SN 2003ma had swept up $0.6 M_{\odot}$ of circumstellar material and had at least 6.4×10^{50} erg of kinetic energy if $\eta = 1$. These values are lower limits since if $\eta < 1$, the swept-up mass and kinetic energy increases.

4.8. Dust

The *Spitzer* photometry in IRAC bands 1–4 and MIPS band 1 (see Table 2) is shown in Figure 15 with respect to the rest wavelengths (2.8, 3.5, 4.5, 6.2, and 18.5 μm , respectively) corresponding to the observed wavelengths of 3.6, 4.5, 5.8, 8.0, and 24 μm , respectively. There is a significant difference between the first epoch (2005 July 15–26; ~ 580 days after maximum) and the second epoch (2005 October 26–November 2; ~ 675 days after maximum) at rest frames 3.5, 4.5, and 6.2 μm : the fluxes at 3.5 and 6.2 μm increase by 4σ and 10σ , respectively, whereas the 4.5 μm flux decreases by 4σ . Note that we use the relative flux error for the comparison of fluxes at




Figure 15. *Spitzer* IRAC (3.6, 4.5, 6.0, and 8.0 μm) and MIPS (24 μm) photometry vs. rest wavelength, for 584 and 683 days after maximum. The solid curves show models which include hot dust, warm dust (see the text), and a stellar component with a Rayleigh–Jeans spectrum. Filled diamonds are the model convolved with the IRAC and MIPS response functions. The photometry is consistent with the observed emission consisting of steady emission from warm dust in the galaxy, plus a time-variable IR echo produced by hot dust. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

different epochs, but the same filter (fourth column in Table 2), as we have discussed in Section 2.2. For all comparisons of fluxes from different bands, we use the total errors (sixth column in Table 2), which includes the wavelength-dependent error introduced by the crowdedness of the field.

Such a significant change in flux over such a short time span makes it highly likely that at least some of the measured infrared flux is caused by the SN. Note, however, that the direction of these differences across the bands is not in the same direction in neighboring bands, and therefore SN 2003ma would not have been considered a variable candidate according to the criteria used by Vijn et al. (2009) for the *SAGE*–LMC variables list. The observed 24 μm flux is essentially unchanged and is either an IR echo as observed for other SNe (e.g., SN 2002hh, Barlow et al. 2005; Meikle et al. 2006; SN 2004et, Kotak et al. 2009) or from other sources in the host galaxy.

The changes in flux cannot be explained by a simple change in temperature and/or flux of a blackbody, but rather requires emission bands of molecules or dust. The first detection of carbon monoxide (CO) in an SN in the fundamental (4.6 μm) and first overtone (2.3 μm) bands was in the spectra of SN 1987A (Catchpole et al. 1987; McGregor et al. 1987; Oliva et al. 1987; Spyromilio et al. 1988). In addition to CO, silicon monoxide (SiO) emission bands were detected in SN 1987A at 7.9 and 8.5 μm (Roche et al. 1991; Meikle et al. 1993; Liu & Dalgarno 1994). Subsequently, MIR CO lines were detected in SNe 1995ad (Spyromilio & Leibundgut 1996), 1998S (Gerardy et al. 2000; Fassia et al. 2001), 1998dl (Spyromilio et al. 2001), 1999em (Spyromilio et al. 2001), 2000ew (Gerardy et al. 2002a), and 2004et (Kotak et al. 2009), which also showed SiO lines. The

wavelengths of the CO and SiO emission bands are indicated in Figure 15. The match between the CO and SiO lines with the observed flux changes is poor, except for possible contribution of the CO fundamental band at 4.6 μm . However, we note that for both SNe 1987A and 2004et the CO and SiO bands appeared ~ 100 days after maximum and disappeared by ~ 500 days, significantly earlier than the increase seen in the second epoch for SN 2003ma.

Another possible explanation is that the strong and variable emission detected in the 8 μm IRAC band is from the 6.2 μm emission feature of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) nanoparticles. Such emission is routinely detected in the Milky Way and other galaxies (e.g., Genzel & Cesarsky 2000; Vogler et al. 2005; Irwin & Madden 2006; Draine & Li 2007; Smith et al. 2007a).

Here, we present a dust model that could explain the observed IR emission. First, we assume that the bulk of the emission observed at 3.6 μm (rest frame 2.3 μm) is stellar continuum and we extrapolate this to longer wavelengths assuming a Rayleigh–Jeans spectrum (short dashed line in Figure 15). To this we add emission from a mixture of PAHs, graphite, and silicate dust, from the dust models of Draine & Li (2007). For SN 2003ma, we assume the radiation field heating the dust to have the spectrum of a 15,000 K blackbody, and have solved for the temperature distribution functions for different grain sizes and compositions, and different radiation intensities. The emission model has two components: steady emission from the “warm” dust in the galaxy, plus a time-varying IR echo from “hot” dust heated by radiation from the SN.

To reproduce the 24 μm photometry, the warm dust must be hot enough for the silicate 18 μm feature to emit strongly. This is accomplished by a radiation field with $U \approx 10^{5.5}$ (U is the dust heating rate relative to heating by the starlight background in the solar neighborhood). This dust component is assumed to have the same ionization fraction as a function of grain size as assumed by DL07 for the Galaxy, and which has been found to work well for the IR-submillimeter emission from, e.g., the galaxies in the SINGS sample (Draine et al. 2007). The PAH abundance in the warm dust component must be low, $q_{\text{PAH}} \approx 0.5\%$, in order not to overproduce the observed flux in IRAC bands 2 and 4. This component has a dust mass of $\sim 250 M_{\odot}$; for a normal dust-to-gas ratio, this corresponds to a hydrogen mass of $\sim 2.5 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$. This is a plausible amount of gas for hot photodissociation regions in a starburst galaxy. The spectrum of this emission is shown in Figure 15. The total IR luminosity of this dust component is $\sim 1.1 \times 10^{10} L_{\odot}$. There is presumably additional emission from a much larger mass of cool dust in the galaxy, but we lack longer wavelength observations to constrain this component.

The dust producing the IR echo is assumed to be very strongly heated, with $U \approx 10^{7.5}$. The IR echo dust mass is $\sim 0.3 M_{\odot}$ on day 584 and $\sim 1 M_{\odot}$ on day 683. The total IR luminosity of this component on day 683 is $\sim 4 \times 10^9 L_{\odot}$, requiring that the IR echo dust be absorbing a substantial fraction of the SN light. This dust is assumed to have a PAH abundance $q_{\text{PAH}} = 4.7\%$, similar to the PAH abundance in many solar-metallicity star-forming galaxies. The PAHs are assumed to be ionized by the radiation from the SN; the PAH neutral fraction in this component is assumed to be negligible (the absence of neutral PAHs lowers the ratio of 3.3 μm emission relative to 7.7 and 8.6 μm emission, which is needed in order not to overproduce the emission into IRAC band 2). The intense radiation heats the large grains to $T \approx 300$ K; the contribution of the broad 9.7 μm silicate feature

is apparent in this component of the model spectrum. Even in this intense radiation field, the emission in the 3.3 and 6.2 μm PAH features continues to be due primarily to single-photon heating.

This two-component model, with the mass of the hot dust increasing by a factor ~ 3 between days 584 and 683, reproduces most of the IR photometry. There is admittedly a discrepancy with the observed flux density in IRAC band 3 ($\lambda_{\text{rest}} = 4.5 \mu\text{m}$): the observed flux decreases by a factor ~ 3 between days 584 and 683, and our model does not reproduce this. Perhaps CO 1–0 4.6 μm emission (not included in our model) contributes to the high flux measured on day 584.

The dust heating parameter $U = 10^{7.5}$ corresponds to an energy density $u(h\nu < 13.6\text{eV}) = 4 \times 10^{-5} \text{ erg cm}^{-3}$. The distance of the dust from the SN is not known, but it is reasonable to assume a distance ~ 600 light-days (0.50 pc). For this distance, the SN luminosity required to produce $U = 10^{7.5}$ is $\sim 1.4 \times 10^{10} L_{\odot}$ —consistent with the photometry in Figure 14.

A sphere of radius 0.5 pc with density $n_{\text{H}} = 8 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ contains $M_d \approx 1 M_{\odot}$ of dust (assuming $M_d/M_{\text{H}} \approx 0.01$). Densities $n_{\text{H}} \gtrsim 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ would be expected for cool gas in the high-pressure environment of a starburst galaxy. The IR echo requires $\sim 1 M_{\odot}$ of dust at a distance ~ 0.5 pc from the SN to produce the IR echo. This dust could be located in an interstellar cloud, or possibly in a swept-up shell of interstellar matter surrounding a stellar-wind bubble.

To summarize, (1) PAH emission features can explain the increase in the observed 4.5 and 8 μm flux densities; (2) the required dust mass of $M_d \approx 1 M_{\odot}$ should be ~ 0.5 pc from the SN, which is reasonable; (3) the intensity of the SN radiation at this distance is consistent with what is required to heat the dust to reproduce the observed emission. We conclude that the observed IR photometry of LMC 902 is consistent with an IR echo produced by normal interstellar dust at a distance ~ 0.5 pc from the SN. We note, however, that the data do not sufficiently constrain the model to make it unique, and other explanations are possible.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This paper presents photometry and spectroscopy of SN 2003ma, an exceptional SN IIn at a redshift of $z = 0.289$ behind the Large Magellanic Cloud.

SN 2003ma has an *I*-band light curve with two long plateaus, and strong emission in the *V* and *I* bands for ~ 1000 days, reminiscent of the long-lived emission seen in interacting SNe IIn like the prototype of the class, SN 1988Z. However, in contrast to all other SNe IIn with long-lived plateaus, SN 2003ma also has an exceptionally bright peak luminosity of $M_R = -21.5$ mag, placing it in the class of extremely luminous core-collapse SNe, such as SNe 2005ap, 2006gy, 2008es, and 2008fz. The combination of high peak luminosity and long-lasting plateau makes SN 2003ma more luminous than any other SN at 80 days past maximum brightness. Consequently, half of the radiated energy is emitted after 350 days past maximum light, while other extremely luminous SNe produce no significant radiation at such late times.

The derived bolometric luminosity, and hence bolometric energy, has a strong dependency on the SED used to calculate the bolometric corrections. In order to estimate the systematic bias of the assumed SED, we use different SEDs to calculate the bolometric corrections. Integrating the bolometric light curve, we find that a total bolometric output of $\sim 4 \times 10^{51}$ erg was emitted over 4.7 years—more than twice the total radiated

energy of SNe 2006gy or 2008es, and 10 times the energy radiated by SN 1988Z, making SN 2003ma the most energetic SN ever observed with respect to its radiative output. This value alone is within an order of magnitude of the maximum energy that a core-collapse explosion can produce outside of the neutrino channel.

The nearly flat late-time light curve over the long time span of several years in combination with the persistent single-peaked intermediate-width H α emission line is best explained by the conversion of the kinetic energy of the explosion into radiation by strong interaction of the SN with a dense circumstellar material. SN 2003ma is distinct from proto-typical SNe IIn in that the intermediate-width line is unusually broad, and it does not have a broad component. If SN 2003ma has narrow emission lines cannot be answered conclusively since it is difficult to separate the narrow emission lines from the SN, if they exist, from the narrow emission lines of the starbursting host.

The fast velocity measured for the intermediate-width H α component ($\sim 6000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) also points toward an extremely energetic explosion ($> 10^{52}$ erg) which imparts a faster blast-wave speed to the post-shock material and a higher luminosity from the interaction than is observed in typical SNe IIn such as SN 1988Z. The large amount of radiated energy can only be achieved if the initial explosion has a large total radiative and kinetic energy and most of its kinetic energy is converted into radiation by interaction with a CSM. A conventional core-collapse SN explosion can produce at most a few times 10^{52} erg of energy that can couple to baryonic matter. The measured kinetic energy of SN 2003ma is comparable to this limit (and similar to GRB-associated SNe), and therefore SN 2003ma may have been produced by an alternative explosion mechanism such as the pair-instability SN.

The *Spitzer* IR photometry shows significant variations in the IR from 584 days to 683 days after maximum in rest frame 3.5, 4.5, and 6.2 μm . Such a significant change in flux over such a short time span makes it highly likely that at least some of the measured infrared flux is caused by the SN. The changes in flux cannot be explained by a simple change in temperature and/or flux of a blackbody, but rather requires emission bands of molecules or dust. We find that the observed increase in the IR flux density at 4.5 and 8.0 μm is consistent with an IR echo of the SN produced by normal interstellar dust at a distance ~ 0.5 pc from the SN in combination with PAH emission features.

By any measure, SN 2003ma is a remarkable object. Its discovery and extensive light curve coverage were made possible by long-duration microlensing surveys. Its prompt identification and initial spectroscopy reveal the power of well-implemented reduction pipelines and planned, timely coordination of large telescope facilities. The inclusion of satellite data provided important constraints of the environment of this object. Ground-based facilities devoted entirely to deep, time-domain discovery such as the Large Synoptic Survey Telescope and PanSTARRS are almost certain to reveal many more such objects and lead us toward even greater understanding of the progenitors required to produce extremely luminous core-collapse supernovae-like SN 2003ma and illuminate the full range of observational characteristics for what, for now, remains a small and elusive set of truly cataclysmic events.

We thank the referee for many insightful comments that helped to improve this paper. The SuperMACHO survey was undertaken under the auspices of the NOAO Survey Program. We are very grateful for the support provided to the Survey

program from the NOAO and the National Science Foundation. We are particularly indebted to the scientists and staff at the Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory for their assistance in helping us carry out the survey. SuperMACHO is supported by the STScI Grant GO-10583. A.R. thanks the NOAO Goldberg Fellowship Program for its support. The work of A.G., K.H.C., M.E.H., and S.N. was performed under the auspices of the US Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344. C. Stubbs thanks the McDonnell Foundation for its support through a Centennial Fellowship. C. Stubbs, A.G., and A.R. are also grateful for support from Harvard University. A.C. and G.P. acknowledge the support of grant P06-045-F ICM-MIDEPLAN. D.M., A.C., and G.P. are supported by grants FONDAP CFA 15010003 and Basal CATA 0609. L.M. is supported by grant (CPDR061795/06) from Padova University. D.L.W. acknowledges financial support in the form of a Discovery Grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). L.W. acknowledges support by the EC FR7 grant PERG04-GA-2008-234784. Based on observations obtained as part of the GS-2003B-Q-12 science program at the Gemini Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under a cooperative agreement with the US National Science Foundation on behalf of the Gemini partnership: the NSF (United States), the Science and Technology Facilities Council (United Kingdom), the National Research Council (Canada), CONICYT (Chile), the Australian Research Council (Australia), Ministério da Ciência e Tecnologia (Brazil), and Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación Productiva (Argentina). The OGLE project is partially supported by the Polish MNiSW grant N20303032/4275.

APPENDIX

CLASSIFICATION OF SN 2003ma

In this section, we summarize why we classify SN 2003ma as an SN and not one of these other options.

A.1. Event in Milky Way or LMC

From Figure 2, we see that SN 2003ma is 0.064 ± 0.012 arcsec from a resolved source in our template images. In 2008, SN 2003ma was much fainter than this source; therefore, our 2008 spectrum is dominated by this source, a starburst galaxy at $z = 0.289$. If the event is in the LMC or Milky Way, then it must be either a transient or variable source with a quiescent brightness $\gtrsim 5$ mag fainter than at peak. This excludes most flaring variable stars such as M dwarfs and Ae/Be stars since the amplitude of their flares is generally small compared to the total flux. Additionally, we would not expect a prolonged, >5 year decline from peak.

Another candidate is a nova, which could flare by >5 mag. However, our spectra from 2003 do not show any emission lines at or near zero redshift, which we would expect since the event is 0.5 mag brighter than the source at that time and therefore should dominate the spectrum. Furthermore, the light curve is unlike any nova yet observed.

Considering all this, it is unlikely that SN 2003ma occurred in either the LMC or Milky Way.

A.2. Supermassive Black Hole Event

AGNs are often variable at various timescales. Here, we discuss if it is likely that SN 2003ma is an AGN.

1. If SN 2003ma originates from a black hole in the supposed host galaxy then the supermassive black hole is offset by 500 pc from the center of the galaxy.
2. We calculate the weighted average of 42 SuperMACHO VR difference image fluxes before the event ($\text{MJD} \leq 52965$) spanning 2 years and find that it is within 1σ of zero, with a reduced $\chi^2 = 1.2$. None of the 42 detections deviate from zero by more than 3σ , and typical 3σ lower limits on variability are 24.2 mag. If we split up these 42 detections into three blocks $52224 \leq \text{MJD} \leq 52291$, $52547 \leq \text{MJD} \leq 52643$, and $52932 \leq \text{MJD} \leq 52965$, we find again that the average fluxes are consistent with zero with reduced χ^2 of 1.01, 0.96, and 1.73, respectively. Therefore, the pre-event variability in VR is fainter than 24.2 mag during this 2 year time period.

We perform a similar analysis with the *I*-band light curve and find that the pre-event OGLE-II ($\text{MJD} < 52091$) and OGLE-III ($52091 \leq \text{MJD} < 52965$) data are consistent with being constant with a reduced χ^2 of 1.65 and 1.51, respectively. We 3σ -clipped 4% of the data. When we bin the data (see Section 2.1 and bottom panel in Figure 3), we find that all average fluxes are within 3σ of zero, with reduced χ^2 ranging from 0.67 to 1.91.

3. Another test for the presence of AGN activity in the host galaxy are the ratios of the narrow emission lines, which are measured for all spectra and presented in Table 4. The $[\text{O III}]/\text{H}\beta$ and $[\text{N II}]/\text{H}\alpha$, and $[\text{S II}]/\text{H}\alpha$ ratios in the standard diagnostic line ratios (Baldwin et al. 1981; Veilleux & Osterbrock 1987) classify the host galaxy as a star-forming galaxy. Although the emission lines may have a contribution from the transient object, in the post-peak spectra from 2004 to 2008 the $[\text{O III}]/\text{H}\beta$, $[\text{N II}]/\text{H}\alpha$, and $[\text{S II}]/\text{H}\alpha$ ratios are constant within the errors, which implies that at late times the narrow-line emission is dominated by the host galaxy.
4. We consider the rare case in which an otherwise dormant supermassive black hole tidally disrupts a star and emits a flare of radiation from the accretion of the stellar debris. This could explain that the event itself is about 0.5 mag brighter than the host and shows no variability pre-event. These events are typically bright in the X-rays (Komossa 2002; Esquej et al. 2007) and UV (Gezari et al. 2006, 2008, 2009b), however several candidates have emerged that have also been detected at optical wavelengths (Gezari et al. 2008, 2009b). The strong evolution of the foreground extinction-corrected colors of SN 2003ma from $V - I \approx 0$ at peak to $V - I > 1$ for times later than 1 year after the peak (see Section 4.3), however, is inconsistent with the steady blue colors expected for the blackbody SEDs and effective temperatures associated with tidal disruption events ($T_{\text{BB}} > 1 \times 10^4$ K; Gezari et al. 2009b).

The narrow-line ratios, listed in Table 4, are all consistent with a star-forming galaxy. This, in combination with the lack of variability in the densely sampled SuperMACHO and OGLE difference imaging data over a baseline of seven years before the SN, place strong constraints on the presence of an AGN in the host galaxy.

A.3. Supernova

Since SN 2003ma is unlikely to be caused by a supermassive black hole or Galactic or LMC variable, the remaining likely transient phenomenon is that of an SN. Its blue continuum in

2003 (see Figures 5 and 13) and $\sim 6000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ FWHM emission lines consistent with $H\alpha$ in 2004 and 2008 are reminiscent of an SN of type II_n (Schlegel 1990). This identification is also consistent with the host galaxy being a star-forming galaxy. In Sections 3.1 and 3.2, we compare the spectroscopy and photometry of SN 2003ma to other SNe from the literature, and we find that the most consistent explanation for this event is that it is an SN II_n.

REFERENCES

- Agnoletto, I., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **691**, 1348
Allington-Smith, J., et al. 1994, *PASP*, **106**, 983
Aretxaga, I., Benetti, S., Terlevich, R. J., Fabian, A. C., Cappellaro, E., Turatto, M., & della Valle, M. 1999, *MNRAS*, **309**, 343
Arnett, D. 1996, *Supernovae and Nucleosynthesis. An Investigation of the History of Matter, from the Big Bang to the Present* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton Univ. Press)
Baldwin, A., Phillips, M. M., & Terlevich, R. 1981, *PASP*, **93**, 817
Barbon, R., Benetti, S., Rosino, L., Cappellaro, E., & Turatto, M. 1990, *A&A*, **237**, 79
Barkat, Z., Rakavy, G., & Sack, N. 1967, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **18**, 379
Barlow, M. J., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, **627**, L113
Blondin, S., Prieto, J. L., Patat, F., Challis, P., Hicken, M., Kirshner, R. P., Matheson, T., & Modjaz, M. 2009, *ApJ*, **693**, 207
Bond, J. R., Arnett, W. D., & Carr, B. J. 1984, *ApJ*, **280**, 825
Cardelli, J. A., Clayton, G. C., & Mathis, J. S. 1989, *ApJ*, **345**, 245
Catchpole, R., et al. 1987, *IAU Circ.*, **4457**, 1
Chevalier, R. A., & Fransson, C. 1994, *ApJ*, **420**, 268
Colina, L., Bohlin, R. C., & Castelli, F. 1996, *AJ*, **112**, 307
Dessart, L., & Hillier, D. J. 2006, *A&A*, **447**, 691
Dessart, L., Hillier, D. J., Gezari, S., Basa, S., & Matheson, T. 2009, *MNRAS*, **394**, 21
Dessart, L., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, **675**, 644
Di Carlo, E., et al. 2002, *ApJ*, **573**, 144
Draine, B. T., & Li, A. 2007, *ApJ*, **657**, 810
Draine, B. T., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **663**, 866
Drake, A. J., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **718**, L127
Elmhamdi, A., et al. 2003, *MNRAS*, **338**, 939
Esquej, P., Saxton, R. D., Freyberg, M. J., Read, A. M., Altieri, B., Sanchez-Portal, M., & Hasinger, G. 2007, *A&A*, **462**, L49
Fassia, A., et al. 2001, *MNRAS*, **325**, 907
Filippenko, A. V. 1982, *PASP*, **94**, 715
Foley, R. J., Smith, N., Ganeshalingam, M., Li, W., Chornock, R., & Filippenko, A. V. 2007, *ApJ*, **657**, L105
Foley, R. J., et al. 2003, *PASP*, **115**, 1220
Foley, R. J., et al. 2009, *AJ*, **138**, 376
Fox, O., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **691**, 650
Fransson, C., et al. 2002, *ApJ*, **572**, 350
Gal-Yam, A., et al. 2009, *Nature*, **462**, 624
Garg, A., et al. 2007, *AJ*, **133**, 403
Genzel, R., & Cesarsky, C. J. 2000, *ARA&A*, **38**, 761
Gerardy, C. L., Fesen, R. A., Höflich, P., & Wheeler, J. C. 2000, *AJ*, **119**, 2968
Gerardy, C. L., Fesen, R. A., Nomoto, K., Maeda, K., Höflich, P., & Wheeler, J. C. 2002a, *PASJ*, **54**, 905
Gerardy, C. L., et al. 2002b, *ApJ*, **575**, 1007
Gezari, S., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, **653**, L25
Gezari, S., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, **676**, 944
Gezari, S., et al. 2009a, *ApJ*, **690**, 1313
Gezari, S., et al. 2009b, *ApJ*, **698**, 1367
Hamuy, M. 2003, *ApJ*, **582**, 905
Hook, I. M., Jørgensen, I., Allington-Smith, J. R., Davies, R. L., Metcalfe, N., Murowinski, R. G., & Crampton, D. 2004, *PASP*, **116**, 425
Horne, K. 1986, *PASP*, **98**, 609
Irwin, J. A., & Madden, S. C. 2006, *A&A*, **445**, 123
Iwamoto, K., et al. 1998, *Nature*, **395**, 672
Kelson, D. D. 2003, *PASP*, **115**, 688
Kennicutt, R. C., Jr. 1998, *ARA&A*, **36**, 189
Komossa, S. 2002, in *Lighthouses of the Universe: The Most Luminous Celestial Objects and Their Use for Cosmology*, ed. M. Gilfanov, R. Sunyaev, & E. Churazov (Berlin: Springer), 436
Kotak, R., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **704**, 306
Kozasa, T., Hasegawa, H., & Nomoto, K. 1989, *ApJ*, **344**, 325
Kozłowski, S., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **722**, 1624
Lejeune, T., Cuisinier, F., & Buser, R. 1997, *A&AS*, **125**, 229
Leonard, D. C., Filippenko, A. V., Barth, A. J., & Matheson, T. 2000, *ApJ*, **536**, 239
Leonard, D. C., et al. 2002, *PASP*, **114**, 35
Levesque, E. M., Massey, P., Olsen, K. A. G., Plez, B., Josselin, E., Maeder, A., & Meynet, G. 2005, *ApJ*, **628**, 973
Li, W., et al. 2010, arXiv:1006.4612
Liu, W., & Dalgarno, A. 1994, *ApJ*, **428**, 769
Marshall, J. L., et al. 2008, *Proc. SPIE*, **7014**, 701454
McGregor, P. J., Hyland, A. R., & Beresford, A. C. 1987, *IAU Circ.*, **4468**, 2
Meikle, W. P. S., Spyromilio, J., Allen, D. A., Varani, G.-F., & Cumming, R. J. 1993, *MNRAS*, **261**, 535
Meikle, W. P. S., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, **649**, 332
Meixner, M., et al. 2006, *AJ*, **132**, 2268
Miknaitis, G., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **666**, 674
Miller, A. A., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **690**, 1303
Moseley, S. H., Dwek, E., Glaccum, W., Graham, J. R., & Loewenstein, R. F. 1989, *Nature*, **340**, 697
Ofek, E. O., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **659**, L13
Oliva, E., Moorwood, A. F. M., & Danziger, I. J. 1987, *IAU Circ.*, **4484**, 1
Pastorello, A., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **724**, L16
Quimby, R. M., Aldering, G., Wheeler, J. C., Höflich, P., Akerlof, C. W., & Rykoff, E. S. 2007, *ApJ*, **668**, L99
Quimby, R. M., et al. 2009, *Nature*, submitted (arXiv:0910.0059)
Rest, A., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, **634**, 1103
Rho, J., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, **673**, 271
Riess, A. G., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, **627**, 579
Roche, P. F., Aitken, D. K., & Smith, C. H. 1991, *MNRAS*, **252**, 39P
Scannapieco, E., Madau, P., Woosley, S., Heger, A., & Ferrara, A. 2005, *ApJ*, **633**, 1031
Schechter, P. L., Mateo, M., & Saha, A. 1993, *PASP*, **105**, 1342
Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., & Davis, M. 1998, *ApJ*, **500**, 525
Schlegel, E. M. 1990, *MNRAS*, **244**, 269
Smith, J. D. T., et al. 2007a, *ApJ*, **656**, 770
Smith, N., Chornock, R., Li, W., Ganeshalingam, M., Silverman, J. M., Foley, R. J., Filippenko, A. V., & Barth, A. J. 2008a, *ApJ*, **686**, 467
Smith, N., Chornock, R., Silverman, J. M., Filippenko, A. V., & Foley, R. J. 2009a, *ApJ*, **709**, 856
Smith, N., Foley, R. J., & Filippenko, A. V. 2008b, *ApJ*, **680**, 568
Smith, N., et al. 2007b, *ApJ*, **666**, 1116
Smith, N., et al. 2009b, *ApJ*, **695**, 1334
Sollerman, J., Cumming, R. J., & Lundqvist, P. 1998, *ApJ*, **493**, 933
Spyromilio, J., & Leibundgut, B. 1996, *MNRAS*, **283**, L89
Spyromilio, J., Leibundgut, B., & Gilmozzi, R. 2001, *A&A*, **376**, 188
Spyromilio, J., Meikle, W. P. S., Learner, R. C. M., & Allen, D. A. 1988, *Nature*, **334**, 327
Stetson, P. B. 1987, *PASP*, **99**, 191
Sugerman, B. E. K., et al. 2006, *Science*, **313**, 196
Trundle, C., et al. 2009, *A&A*, **504**, 945
Turatto, M., Cappellaro, E., Danziger, I. J., Benetti, S., Gouffes, C., & della Valle, M. 1993, *MNRAS*, **262**, 128
Udalski, A. 2003, *Acta Astron.*, **53**, 291
Udalski, A., Kubiak, M., & Szymanski, M. 1997, *Acta Astron.*, **47**, 319
Udalski, A., et al. 2008, *Acta Astron.*, **58**, 89
Veilleux, S., & Osterbrock, D. E. 1987, *ApJS*, **63**, 295
Vijh, U. P., et al. 2009, *AJ*, **137**, 3139
Vogler, A., Madden, S. C., Beck, R., Lundgren, A. A., Sauvage, M., Vigroux, L., & Ehle, M. 2005, *A&A*, **441**, 491
Wade, R. A., & Horne, K. 1988, *ApJ*, **324**, 411
Woosley, S., & Janka, T. 2005, *Nature Phys.*, **1**, 147
Woosley, S. E. 1993, *ApJ*, **405**, 273
Woosley, S. E., Blinnikov, S., & Heger, A. 2007, *Nature*, **450**, 390
Wozniak, P. R. 2000, *Acta Astron.*, **50**, 421