



Holomorphic extension from the sphere to the ball

Luca Baracco

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Padova, via Trieste 63, 35121 Padova, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 29 June 2011

Available online 4 October 2011

Submitted by A.V. Isaev

Keywords:

Separate extension

Morera type theorem

Testing families of analytic discs

ABSTRACT

Real analytic functions on the boundary of the sphere which have separate holomorphic extension along the complex lines through a boundary point have holomorphic extension to the ball. This was proved in Baracco (2009) [4] by an argument of CR geometry. We give here an elementary proof based on the expansion in holomorphic and antiholomorphic powers.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Main result – statement and proof

The characterization of boundary values of holomorphic functions by the extension along complex lines has a long history and many contributions in the context of harmonic analysis: as main references we quote Agranovsky and Semenov [2], Agranovsky and Valsky [3], Globevnik and Stout [6], Nagel and Rudin [8], and Stout [10]. More recently, the problem has been brought by Tumanov [11] in the framework of the CR geometry. From this point of view, we have obtained in [4] a principle of holomorphic extension from a convex boundary of \mathbb{C}^n for functions which have separate extension along generic $(2n - 2)$ -parameter families of discs. In particular, the discs which pass through a fixed boundary point. It is well known that if we move the “center” of the system of discs to the interior, the conclusion fails; in this case, two interior points are needed according to Agranovsky [1]. The results of [4] apply to general convex sets but use stationary discs instead of straight lines (for the theory of stationary discs see [5] and [7]). What we wish to show here is that for the straight lines through a boundary point of the sphere the proof is much more direct and simple. In this specific problem, the theory of lifts of discs developed in [4] is not needed. Using Taylor expansions we can see that the moment condition forces the coefficients of the antiholomorphic powers to vanish.

Denote by C^ω the class of real analytic functions. Let \mathbb{B}^n be the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n and let z_0 be a boundary point.

Theorem 1.1. *Let f be a function in $C^\omega(\partial\mathbb{B}^n)$ and suppose that f extends holomorphically from $\partial\mathbb{B}^n$ along each line passing through z_0 . Then f extends holomorphically to \mathbb{B}^n .*

Proof. (a) We first prove the result for \mathbb{B}^2 in \mathbb{C}^2 . It is not restrictive to assume that z_0 is the pole $(0, 1)$. The straight discs through $(0, 1)$ can be parametrized over $a \in \mathbb{C}$ as the sets D_a described by

$$D_a(\tau) = \left(\frac{\tau - 1}{1 + |a|^2} a, \frac{\tau - 1}{1 + |a|^2} + 1 \right) \quad \forall \tau \in \bar{\Delta}.$$

Note that when $|a| \gg 1$ the disc D_a gets close to the complex tangent line to the sphere at the point z_0 , and moreover D_a lies in a neighborhood of z_0 .

E-mail address: baracco@math.unipd.it.

Since $f \in C^\omega(\partial\mathbb{B}^2)$, and $\bar{\partial}_{z_2}$ is transverse to $\partial\mathbb{B}^2$ at z_0 , f can be extended in a neighborhood of z_0 holomorphically in z_2 ; this is an immediate consequence of Cauchy–Kovalewsky Theorem. We denote again by f this extension. We consider the power series expansion of f at z_0

$$f(z_1, \bar{z}_1, z_2) = \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{h+k+2m=l} b_{h,k,m} z_1^h \bar{z}_1^k (z_2 - 1)^m$$

note that we reordered the terms in a weighted degree (giving weight 2 to z_2). Taking $|a|$ sufficiently big we consider the N -momentum on the disc D_a :

$$\begin{aligned} G(a, N) &= \int_{\partial\Delta} \tau^N f(D_a(\tau)) d\tau \\ &= \int_{\partial\Delta} \tau^N \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{h+k+2m=l} b_{h,k,m} \left(\frac{\tau-1}{1+|a|^2} a\right)^h \left(\frac{\tau-1}{1+|a|^2} a\right)^k \left(\frac{\tau-1}{1+|a|^2}\right)^m d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

We want to prove that $b_{h,k,m} = 0$ whenever $k > 0$. To this end, let l_0 be the lowest weighted degree such that $b_{h,k,m} \neq 0$ for some $k > 0$ and let k_0 be the highest degree in \bar{z}_1 for which this happens. We get $G(a, N) = 0$ for any N and any a , in particular, for ta with $|a| = 1$ and $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Consider the limit

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} G(ta, N) t^{l_0} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{l=l_0}^{+\infty} \sum_{h+k+2m=l} 2\pi i (-1)^{k+h+m+N+1} \\ &\quad \times \binom{h+k+m}{k-N-1} a^h \bar{a}^k \left(\left(\frac{1}{t^2} + |a|^2\right)^m\right) t^{l_0-l} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{t^2} + |a|^2\right)^l} b_{h,k,m} \\ &= \sum_{h+k+2m=l_0} (2\pi i) (-1)^{h+k+m+N+1} \binom{h+k+m}{k-N-1} b_{h,k,m} \frac{a^h \bar{a}^k |a|^{2m}}{|a|^{2l_0}} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where we have used the fact that $\int_{\partial\Delta} \tau^N (\tau-1)^h (\bar{\tau}-1)^k (\tau-1)^m d\tau = (-1)^k \int_{\partial\Delta} \frac{(\tau-1)^{h+k+m}}{\tau^{(k-N)}} d\tau = (-1)^{h+m+k+N+1} \binom{h+k+m}{k-1-N}$.

We first notice that in the above summations, we can take $k > N$; in fact, since $\bar{\tau}\tau = 1$, then $(\tau-1)^k = \tau^{-k}(1-\tau)^k$ and the factor τ^{N-k} and Cauchy Theorem imply the vanishing of the terms with $N-k \geq 0$. Next, choosing $N = k_0 - 1$, we get the following relation on the coefficients b 's:

$$\sum_{h+k_0+2m=l_0} (-1)^{h+m} \binom{h+k_0+m}{0} b_{h,k_0,m} a^{h+m} \bar{a}^{k_0+m} = 0.$$

Writing $a = e^{i\theta}$, we get

$$\sum_{h+k_0+2m=l_0} (-1)^{h+m+k_0} b_{h,k_0,m} e^{i\theta(h-k_0)} = 0,$$

which implies $b_{h,k_0,m} = 0$ for $h+k_0+2m=l_0$. Therefore, when $k \geq 1$, we have $b_{h,k,m} = 0$ for any weighted degree l . This concludes the proof in dimension 2.

(b) We pass from \mathbb{B}^2 to \mathbb{B}^n . We still suppose that z_0 is the point $(0, \dots, 1)$. By (a) we know that f extends holomorphically along the slices of \mathbb{B}^n with the 2-dimensional planes through z_0 . All these extensions to different slices glue together to a single well-defined function. In fact, two slices have intersection which is a line through z_0 unless it is empty; we still call f this extension. It is clear that $f \in C^\omega(\mathbb{B}^n)$ because f is given by the integral Cauchy formula for a real analytic function on a real analytic family of circles. We note that f is holomorphic on any straight line through 0 (since either this pass through z_0 or it is contained in a single 2-dimensional slice through z_0). Application of Forelli's Theorem (see [9]) yields the conclusion. \square

References

[1] M. Agranovsky, Analog of a theorem of Forelli for boundary values of holomorphic functions on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , J. Anal. Math. 113 (2011) 293–304.
 [2] M.L. Agranovsky, A.M. Semenov, Boundary analogues of the Hartogs theorem, Sib. Math. J. 32 (1) (1991) 137–139.
 [3] M.L. Agranovsky, R.E. Valsky, Maximality of invariant algebras of functions, Mat. Zh. 12 (1971) 3–12.
 [4] L. Baracco, Holomorphic extension from a convex hypersurface, arXiv:0911.1521 [math], 2009.
 [5] C.H. Chang, M.C. Hu, H.P. Lee, Extremal analytic discs with prescribed boundary data, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 310 (1) (1988) 355–369.
 [6] J. Globevnik, E.L. Stout, Boundary Morera theorems for holomorphic functions of several complex variables, Duke Math. J. 64 (3) (1991) 571–615.

- [7] L. Lempert, La métrique de Kobayashi et la représentation des domaines sur la boule (The Kobayashi metric and the representation of domains on the ball), *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 109 (1981) 427–474 (in French).
- [8] A. Nagel, W. Rudin, Moebius-invariant function spaces on balls and spheres, *Duke Math. J.* 43 (4) (1976) 841–865.
- [9] W. Rudin, *Function Theory on the Unit Ball of \mathbb{C}^n* , Grundlehren Math. Wiss., Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, 1980.
- [10] E.L. Stout, The boundary values of holomorphic functions of several complex variables, *Duke Math. J.* 44 (1) (1977) 105–108.
- [11] A. Tumanov, A Morera type theorem in the strip, *Math. Res. Lett.* 11 (1) (2004) 23–29.