

International Headache Society

Cephalalgia 32(16) 1227–1229 © International Headache Society 2012 Reprints and permissions: sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/0333102412462641 cep.sagepub.com

SAGE

Ophthalmoplegic migraine: Could electroencephalogram still be a useful tool to better understand the pathogenetic mechanism?

Dear Sir

We read with great interest the Editorial by Chen and Wang 'Ophthalmoplegic migraine: Migraine variant or cranial neuralgia?' (1), published in a recent issue of *Cephalalgia*, regarding ophthalmologic migraine (OM) pathogenesis. This question emerges periodically, in particular after reports in which different pathologic conditions resemble a primary OM attack such as the one discussed in the Editorial (2); so that the peripheral or central pathogenesis and consequently the classification of OM still remains an unresolved issue.

This is also evident when looking at the literature. Starting from 1980, we find the following titles: 'Ophthalmoplegic migraine: Ischemic or compressive neuropathy?' (3); in 2000 'Ophthalmoplegic migraine: A recurrent demyelinating neuropathy?' (4); in 2002 'Oculomotor ophthalmoplegic migraine: Is it really migraine?' (5); in 2004 'Ophthalmoplegic migraine migrainous or inflammatory?' (6); in 2006 'Ophthalmoplegic migraine: An unresolved problem' (7); in 2007 'Is migraine with cranial nerve palsy an ophthalmoplegic migraine?' (8) and 'Ophthalmoplegic migraine: Inflammatory neuropathy with secondary migraine?' (9); in 2008 'Ophthalmoplegic migraine: Still a diagnostic dilemma?' (10); in 2009 'Ophthalmoplegia with migraine in adults: Is it ophthalmoplegic migraine?' (11); and lastly in 2012 'Ophthalmoplegic migraine: Migraine variant or cranial neuralgia?' (1).

As reported in the Editorial (1), there are aspects that point to a peripheral pathogenesis, but not all the patients present a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) suggestive of cranial nerve involvement; therefore there are several proposals – different from the current ICHD-II criteria (12) – for a new OM classification that will be discussed in the next International Classification of Headache Disorders. In 2008, Ravinshankar (10) proposed the possibility of identifying a primary OM both with and without MRI ocular motor nerves contrast enhancement; by contrast Friedman, in a 2010 editorial in *Cephalalgia* (13), proposed to consider as primary only the OM attacks in which the affected cranial nerve does not demonstrate contrast enhancement.

Recently, a 23-year-old woman presented to our emergency room, with a history of OM attacks. She had the first attack at the age of 8 years, then she presented during her life one or two migraine attacks each year accompanied by reversible diplopia lasting from 2 to 20 days. She also suffers from migraine without aura with a frequency of about one or two attacks per month; thorough investigations, comprehensive of cerebral digital angiography, found no abnormalities. She came to our attention for an excruciating migraine attack lasting 48 hours fulfilling the ICHD-II 2004 criteria (12), accompanied by diplopia that occurred about 24 hours after the beginning of the attack. Neurologic examination showed a left trochlear cranial nerve palsy.

Cerebral MRI with gadolinium enhancement, performed 3 days after the migraine onset, was normal, whereas an electroencephalogram (EEG) evidenced bursts of diffuse slowing rhythm (Figure 1(a) and (b)). Another EEG performed after a week, when the painful phase had resolved but diplopia was persisting, was still abnormal (Figure 1(c)), whereas a month follow-up EEG, after the complete resolution of diplopia, was normal (Figure 1(d)).

In the literature, there are reports on EEG abnormalities in particular in migraine with aura or in 'complicated migraine attacks' in particular basilar migraine (14,15), supporting cortical spreading depression and the involvement of central nervous system. Further, recent evidence confirms EEG slowing during a migraine attack, related to severity of symptoms, indicating thalamo-cortical hypoexcitability associated with migraine attack (16). With regard to OM, early reports have been studied with EEG but not with neuroimaging (17), whereas subsequently with the widespread use of the neuroimaging in the diagnostic process, reports have been described of neuroimaging without EEG (11). Consequently, patients studied with EEG are very few and a comparison of the two diagnostic procedures in the same patient is rare.

(a)		1111	(b) _T	TM-RF		20.0 µV/mm
TM-RF			20.0 uV/mm	MK-RF		20.0 µV/mm
MK-RF			20.0 uV/mm		and and an an and a stand and an an an and a stand and a standa	7.0 μV/mm
F8-F4	for the second s	august and a start	7.0 uV/mm		and the second of the second of the second	7.0 μV/mm
F4-Fz	manner have been the with the man and the man and the second	inflation services	7.0 μV/mm	Fz-F3 V	and the second	7.0 μV/mm
Fz-F3	and a second	whereas	7.0 µv/mm		www.www.www.www.www.www.www.www.www.ww	· ·
F3-F7	and Marine Ma	wallowers	7.0 μv/mm		washington and the second second and the second	
T4-C4	mar anone and have the second and the	withithere	7.0 µv/mm		A	
C4-Cz	We permit and an and an and an and an and an and an and and	wheelowstructures	7.0 μν/πιπ			7.0 μV/mm
Cz-C3	pour an and a second and the second	whenever	7.0 µ 7/1111	Cz-C3	an free constance construction and a second state of the construction and the second second second second second	7.0 μV/mm
C3-T3	Manun Manun Manun Manun Manun Manuna Ma	-anthon and	7.0 µ 1711111		alangementation of the second s	
T6-P4	where we want the work of the	with the state of	7.0 µ 1711111		Many and a second of the second of the second strategy and a second second second second second second second s	a :
P4-Pz	the manus and the second and the second and the second s	arminghama	7.0 μV/mm P	P4-Pz	edeservenenterenen met her and	7.0 μV/mm
Pz-P3	and the second	approximate			er fellen her ander an er feller an er fellen feller feller an er feller an er feller feller feller an er felle	- 7.0 μV/mm
P3-T5	manner and the mean and the man and the second	reptokunyant	7.0 μV/mm P	P3-T5 🗠	alpacehousehousen. and have a company for hearing a second provide the second providence of the	7.0 µV/mm
Fp2-F4	man and the manument	where the second	7.0 μV/mm F	Fp2-F4 🛏	and a second	7.0 µV/mm
Fp1-F3	and man mouries and	many when	7.0 μV/mm F	Fp1-F3 🐜	and the support of the state of the second	7.0 μV/mm
P4-02 🕺	Cotampour apply a part with the contact of the cont	Municipal	7.0 μV/mm P	P4-02	When the second will be the second and the second	7.0 μV/mm
P3-01 🛶		address-strong	7.0 μV/mm P	P3-01		7.0 μV/mm
ECG-RF	ale hade be shale had a	huluda	140.0 µV/mm E	ECG-RF		140.0 μV/mm
F4-Fz F2-F3 F3-F7 T4-C4 C4-C2 C2-C3 C3-T3 T6-P4			20.0 µV/mm V 20.0 µV/mm F 7.0 µV/mm F 7.0 µV/mm C 7.0 µV/mm C 7.0 µV/mm C 7.0 µV/mm C 7.0 µV/mm T 7.0 µV/mm T 7.0 µV/mm F 7.0 µV/mm F 7.0 µV/mm F 7.0 µV/mm F 7.0 µV/mm C 7.0 µV/mm C 7.0 µV/mm C	TM-RF Fp2-RF F4-RF C4-RF P4-RF 02-RF F8-RF T4-RF T4-RF T6-RF F3-RF C3-RF F3-RF C3-RF O1-RF O1-RF F7-RF		20.0 µV/mm 20.0 µV/mm 7.0 µV/mm
	many and the second a	(han-halwarry)	M 7.0 μV/mm		and a set of	
P4-02						
P4-02 P3-01		and Mark	7.0 μV/mm	T5-RF 🚧 ECG-RF		100.0 μV/mm

Figure 1. Electroencephalogram performed during ophthalmoplegic migraine attack (a, b), showing bursts of diffuse theta activity; after a week during diplopic phase without pain (c), with the persistence of theta activity bursts but with a better organization of the rhythm. Follow-up a month later, without clinical and subjective symptomatology, showing a normalized EEG (d).

The EEG findings in our patient with OM seem to indicate a pattern resembling migraine with aura or complicated migraine attacks.

We believe that EEG could still be useful in OM patients allowing identification of critical abnormalities regardless of MRI abnormalities, that could be helpful both to clarify a central OM pathogenetic hypothesis, and to identify a possible subgroup of primary OM, as proposed by Ravinshankar (10).

Finally, we agree with Chen and Wang that 'more OM case collections and reports are needed to resolve the classification issue of migraine variant or cranial neuralgia' (1).

References

- Ping-Kun Chen and Shuu-Jiun Wang. Ophthalmoplegic migraine: Migraine variant or cranial neuralgia? *Cephalalgia* 2012; 32: 515–517.
- Akimoto J, Fukami S, Hashimoto R and Haraoka J. Neuromuscular hamartoma is a possible primary pathology of oculomotor ophthalmoplegic migraine. *Cephalalgia* 2012; 32: 171–174.

- 3. Vijan N. Ophthalmoplegic migraine: Ischemic or compressive neuropathy? *Headache* 1980; 20: 300–304.
- Lance JW and Zagami AS. Ophthalmoplegic migraine: A recurrent demyelinating neuropathy? *Cephalalgia* 2001; 21: 84–89.
- Carlow TJ. Oculomotor ophthalmoplegic migraine: Is it really migraine? J Neuroophthalmol 2002; 22: 215–221.
- van der Dussen DH, Bloem BR and Ferrari MD. Ophthalmoplegic migraine migrainous or inflammatory? *Cephalalgia* 2004; 24: 312–315.
- Crevits L, Verschelde H and Casselman J. Ophthalmoplegic migraine: An unresolved problem. *Cephalalgia* 2006; 26: 1255–1259.
- Giraud P, Valade D, Lanteri-Minet M, et al. Is migraine with cranial nerve palsy an ophthalmoplegic migraine? *J Headache Pain* 2007; 8: 119–122.
- McMillan HJ, Keene DL, Jacob P and Humphreys P. Ophthalmoplegic migraine: Inflammatory neuropathy with secondary migraine? *Can J Neurol Sci* 2007; 34: 349–355.
- Ravinshankar K. Ophthalmoplegic migraine: Still a diagnostic dilemma? *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2008; 12: 285–291.

- Lal V, Sahota P, Sing P, et al. Ophthalmoplegia with migraine in adults: Is it ophthalmoplegic migraine? *Headache* 2009; 49: 838–850.
- Headache Classification Subcommittee of the International Headache Society. The International Classification of Headache Disorders: 2nd edition. *Cephalalgia* 2004; 24(Suppl 1): 1–160.
- 13. Friedman DI. The ophthalmoplegic migraine: a proposed classification. *Cephalalgia* 2010; 30: 646–647.
- Ozkan M, Teber ST and Deda G. Electroencephalogram variations in pediatric migraines and tension type headache. *Pediatr Neurol* 2012; 46: 154–157.
- Tinuper P, Cortelli P, Sacquegna T and Lugaresi E. Classic migraine attack complicated by confusional state: EEG and TC study. *Cephalalgia* 1985; 5: 63–68.
- 16. Bjork M, Stovner LJ, Hagen K and Sand T. What initiates a migraine attack? Conclusions from four

longitudinal studies of quantitative EEG and steadystate visual-evoked potentials in migraineurs. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2011; 124(S191): 56–63.

17. Friedman AP, Harter DH and Merritt HH. Ophthalmoplegic migraine. *Arch Neurol* 1962; 7: 82–89.

Ferdinando Maggioni, Chiara Briani, Monica Margoni and Giorgio Zanchin Headache Centre, Department of Neurosciences, University of Padua, Italy

Corresponding author: Ferdinando Maggioni, Headache Centre, Department of Neurosciences, University of Padua, Via Giustiniani 5, 35128 Padua, Italy. Email: ferdinando.maggioni@unipd.it