# Report

# Drosophila timeless2 Is Required for Chromosome Stability and Circadian Photoreception

Clara Benna,<sup>1</sup> Silvia Bonaccorsi,<sup>2</sup> Corinna Wülbeck.<sup>3</sup> Charlotte Helfrich-Förster,<sup>3</sup> Maurizio Gatti,<sup>2</sup> Charalambos P. Kyriacou,<sup>4</sup> Rodolfo Costa,<sup>1,\*</sup> and Federica Sandrelli<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Biologia, Università degli Studi di Padova, via Ugo Bassi 58/B, 35131 Padova, Italy

2Dipartimento di Genetica e Biologia Molecolare and Istituto di Biologia e Patologia Molecolare del Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Universita` degli Studi di Roma ''La Sapienza,'' 00185 Roma, Italy

3Institute of Zoology, University of Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg, Germany

4Department of Genetics, University of Leicester,

Leicester LE1 7RH, UK

#### Summary

In Drosophila, there are two timeless paralogs, timeless1 (tim1) and timeless2 (tim2, or timeout) [\[1, 2\]](#page-6-0). Phylogenetic analyses suggest that tim1 originated as a duplication of tim2 around the time of the Cambrian explosion [\[3\]](#page-6-0). The function of tim1 as a canonical circadian component is well established [\[4\],](#page-6-0) but the role of tim2 in the fly is poorly understood. Many organisms possess a single tim2-like gene that has been implicated in DNA synthesis and, in the case of mammals, somewhat controversially, in circadian rhythmicity [\[2, 5\]](#page-6-0). Here we analyze the structure and the functional role of fly tim2. tim2 is a large locus ( $\sim$ 75 kb) that harbors several transcribed intronic sequences. Using insertional mutations and tissue-specific RNA interferencemediated downregulation, we find that tim2 is an essential gene required for normal DNA metabolism and chromosome integrity. Moreover, tim2 is involved in light entrainment of the adult circadian clock, via its expression in the T1 basket cells of the optic lobes. tim2's residual role in light entrainment thus provides an evolutionary link that may explain why its derived paralog, tim1, came to play such a major role in both circadian photosensitivity and core clock function.

# Results and Discussion

#### tim2 Genomic Structure

The Drosophila melanogaster tim2 locus spans 75 kb and is organized in 18 exons and 17 introns [\[1, 2\]](#page-6-0). The second and the eleventh introns harbor two actively transcribed sequences ([Figure 1A](#page-1-0); see also the [Supplemental Results](#page-4-0) available online). Of these, only the embedded sequence indicated as 2mit in intron 11 might represent a genuine proteincoding ''nested'' gene, because its putative AUG codon for the longest predicted open reading frame is surrounded by a canonical  $-3$  to  $+4$  translational start sequence ([Figures](#page-1-0) [1](#page-1-0)A, 1B, and 1F; [Supplemental Results\)](#page-4-0) [\[6\]](#page-6-0).

### tim2 Expression during Development

To analyze the overall tim2 expression profile, we amplified a fragment common to all tim2 mRNA isoforms by quantitative PCR (qPCR). We found significant variation in tim2 expression levels during development [\(Figure 2A](#page-2-0); see also [Figure S1\)](#page-4-0).

In 12 hr:12 hr light:dark conditions (LD12:12), tim2 mRNA levels in adult fly heads were characterized by a significant oscillating profile, with a peak of expression just after lightsoff, between ZT12 and ZT15 (with ZT0 corresponding to lights-on and ZT12 to lights-off; [Figure 2B](#page-2-0)). These data are in agreement with a previous transcriptome report [\[7\].](#page-6-0) After 2 days of constant darkness (DD), tim2 mRNA expression in heads became constitutive. In bodies, the tim2 mRNA level was 7-fold higher than in heads ([Figure 2](#page-2-0)B) and was constitutively expressed in LD12:12 [\(Figure 2](#page-2-0)C).

In adult brains, tim2 mRNA hybridization signals were observed in the optic lobes, predominantly in the second optic neuropile (medulla), with a pattern resembling a modular array ([Figures 3A](#page-3-0) and 3B). Additional expression was visualized in ellipsoid body fibers of the central complex [\(Figure 3](#page-3-0)A), and a weak tim2 signal was identified in mushroom bodies (data not shown). No tim2 mRNA expression was found in circadian clock neurons, as shown by cohybridization experiments with both the tim2 probe and specific probes for circadian clock such as cry ([Figures 3D](#page-3-0)–3F) or tim1 mRNA (data not shown).

To map tim2 mRNA localization in the medulla, we performed cohybridization experiments with tim2 and lacZ mRNA probes on T1Gal4-UASlacZ adult brains. The T1Gal4 driver is mainly expressed in the T1 basket neurons, and at lower levels in mushroom bodies [\[8\].](#page-6-0) T1 basket cells have somas located in the medulla cortex and T-shaped projections, one directed toward the external region of the medulla and the other to the lamina (the first optic neuropile); the latter projection arborizes in basket-shape processes that surround each lamina cartridge [\[9, 10\].](#page-6-0) The tim2 mRNA signals colocalized with lacZ in the soma of T1 basket cells [\(Figures 3](#page-3-0)G–3I). Additional tim2 hybridization signals were observed in the medulla neuronal terminations [\(Figures 3](#page-3-0)G–3I), indicating that tim2 is subject to neuronal transport and translational controls. Local control of mRNA translation within dendrites has been demonstrated in both Drosophila and mammals [\[11\],](#page-6-0) and it is believed to be critical for long-lasting synaptic plasticity [\[12\].](#page-6-0)

#### tim2 Is an Essential Gene

In worms and mice, tim2 ortholog knockdown (KD) or knockout results in early embryonic lethality [\[2, 13\]](#page-6-0). We characterized five Drosophila PBtim2<sup>-</sup> mutant alleles generated by independent insertions of a piggyBac (PB) transposon in noncoding regions of tim2 (see [Supplemental Experimental](#page-4-0) [Procedures](#page-4-0) for details). Four out of five PBtim2<sup>-</sup> mutant alleles  $(PBtim2^{c06976}, PBtim2^{c01927}, PBtim2^{f00297}, and PBtim2^{f05903})$ were homozygous lethal. Lethality occurred mainly  $\sim$  12 hr after puparium formation (APF; [Figures S2 and S3\)](#page-4-0). Flies heterozygous for any of these  $PBIim2^-$  alleles were fully viable. Flies homozygous for the  $Pbtim2^{c03963}$  insertion were also viable and displayed normal tim2 mRNA levels, whereas qPCR performed with third-instar larvae homozygous for the \*Correspondence: [rodolfo.costa@unipd.it](mailto:rodolfo.costa@unipd.it) **inclusively** interesting the manufacture of the revealed tim2 mRNA decreases

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Figure 1. tim2 Locus Organization and Expression

(A) Schematic representation of tim2 genomic structure. Arrowheads indicate the position of piggyBac (PB) element insertions in PBtim2<sup>-</sup> alleles. Below the tim2 genomic region, positions and splicing sites of tim2 embedded sequences (CG34308, BK002510, 2mit, and AY118619) are indicated.

(B–E) Transcription of tim2 sequences during development. The following abbreviations are used: E, 24 hr embryos; L, third-instar larvae; P, pupae; A, adults. DNA markers were loaded in the left lane of each panel:  $\lambda$  (HindIII) and  $\Phi$ X174 (HaeI) (B);  $\Phi$ X174 (HaeI) (C); 1 kb DNA ladder (Invitrogen) (D and E). (B)  $2mit \sim 2$  kb 3' untranslated region.

(C)  $AY118619 \sim 130$  bp 3' rapid amplification of cDNA ends.

(D)  $\text{tim2} \sim 4.3$  kb mRNA isoform.

(E)  $\text{tim2} \sim 4.3$ , 2.5, and 1.9 kb mRNA isoforms.

(F) Details of intron 11 indicating the 2mit and annotated AY118619 embedded sequences (white boxes) and the 2mit mRNA isoforms (RA and RB, black boxes). Gray boxes and arrow indicate 5' and 3' untranslated regions (UTRs); the black arrow in 2mit-RA indicates the portion of the 3'UTR that includes AA567418 EST.

(G) Schematic representation of tim2 mRNA isoforms. Drosophila tim2 transcription produces a major ~4.3 kb mRNA throughout development and three additional smaller 5' alternative spliced transcripts expressed at very low levels ([Supplemental Results](#page-4-0)). From top to bottom: ~4.3 kb tim2a mRNA canonical form,  $\sim$  2.9 kb tim2b isoform,  $\sim$  2.5 kb tim2c isoform,  $\sim$  1.9 kb tim2d isoform.

(ranging from 85% to 98%) compared to controls [\(Table 1;](#page-4-0) see also [Figure S2](#page-4-0)). For the  $PBiim2^{c\dot{o}6976}$  mutant,  $tim2$  mRNA levels were also determined in heterozygous larvae, which showed  $\sim$ 36% decrease compared to their wild-type counterparts ([Table 1](#page-4-0)).

We investigated whether the PB insertions modify the expression of the internally transcribed sequences of the tim2 locus. Significant decreases in CG34308 mRNA levels were detected in larvae homozygous for PBtim2<sup>c06976</sup>, PBtim2<sup>c01927</sup>, and PBtim2<sup>f00297</sup>, whereas PBtim2<sup>f05903</sup> homozygotes displayed normal levels of this mRNA ([Table 1\)](#page-4-0). BK002510 transcription was significantly perturbed only in the  $PBtim2^{c06976}$ mutant strain, which showed  $\sim$  3-fold increase in BK002510 mRNA levels. 2mit mRNA levels remained comparable to controls in all PB mutants except PBtim $2^{c03963}$  ([Table 1\)](#page-4-0). However, the lethal phenotype is specifically associated with the tim2 transcription decreases, because PBtim2<sup>f05903</sup> mutants exhibit a strong reduction in tim2 mRNA but normal levels of GC34308 and BK002510 mRNAs.

Subsequently, we generated three independent transgenic lines (tim2 KD<sup>16</sup>, tim2 KD<sup>26b</sup>, and tim2 KD<sup>26i</sup>) carrying a UAS- bearing construct for tim2 KD designed against a region common to all tim2 mRNA isoforms. To induce an early and general tim2 silencing, we employed an Actin5C-Gal4 (ActGal4) driver. The use of this driver resulted in different degrees of tim2 mRNA depletion in third-instar larvae of the different lines, ranging from 59% in KD<sup>26b</sup> to 35% in KD<sup>26i</sup> [\(Fig](#page-4-0)[ure S3](#page-4-0)). The expression of the tim2 KD construct did not affect the mRNA levels of two predicted off-target genes [\(Figure S3](#page-4-0) legend). ActGal4-driven tim2 KD resulted in lethality in late pupal stages, with head and eyes more affected than either thorax or abdomen (72–96 hr APF; [Figures S2 and S3\)](#page-4-0).

# tim2 Is Required for Chromosome Integrity

Mammalian TIM (mTIM) associates with the replisome, preventing accumulation of single-stranded DNA at replication forks and facilitating DNA replication [\[14, 15\].](#page-6-0) In addition, mTIM interacts with the ATR-ATRIP complex and the CHK1 kinase [\[16\]](#page-6-0), both involved in the signaling pathway of the S phase and G2/M checkpoints [\[17\]](#page-6-0). Consistent with these findings, mTIM downregulation leads to an increase of both chromosome aberrations and sister chromatid exchanges in

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 2. tim2 Expression in Wild-Type Flies

(A) tim2 mRNA levels (mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean [SEM]) during development and in wild-type  $(w^{1118})$  adult heads. The following abbreviations are used: E, 24 hr embryos; L3, third-instar larvae; P0, early pupae; P96, pupae 96 hr after puparium formation; A, adult heads (plot of four replicates;  $F_{4,17}$  = 44.6, p < 0.0001; Newman-Keuls post hoc test: L3 versus P0, p < 0.001; P0 versus P96, p < 0.0002; P96 versus A, p < 0.05) (see also [Figure S1](#page-4-0)).

(B) tim2 mRNA levels (mean  $\pm$  SEM) in adult heads sampled every 3 hr in 12 hr:12 hr light:dark (LD12:12, white bars) and constant darkness (DD, black bars) conditions. B24 indicates tim2 mRNA levels in bodies at ZT24 (with ZT0 corresponding to lights-on and ZT12 to lights-off). For each condition, four replicates were performed. In 12:12 LD, significant variations in tim2 mRNA levels were revealed ( $F_{8,34}$  = 6.05, p < 0.0001). Cross-correlation of the ZT means to a sine wave with a 24 hr period was also significant  $(r = 0.78, p = 0.023; p$  values obtained by Monte Carlo simulations). In DD, no significant variations in tim2 mRNA levels were revealed ( $F_{8,25} = 0.77$ ,  $p = 0.63$ , not significant).

(C) Northern blot from adult bodies sampled every 3 hr in 12:12 LD. tim2 indicates the major  $\sim$  4.3 kb tim2 transcript. Arrow indicates a nonspecific signal not confirmed by quantitative PCR.

nonmutagenized cells [\[18\].](#page-6-0) To address the role of TIM2 in Drosophila chromosome stability, we cytologically characterized mitotic chromosomes in tim2 mutants under both physiological and genotoxic stress conditions. Brains from larvae either homozygous or hemizygous (over a chromosome carrying a deletion that removes the tim2 locus) for each of the four lethal PBtim2<sup>-</sup> mutant alleles consistently exhibited a substantial increase in the number of metaphases with chromosome aberrations compared to controls [\(Figures 4A](#page-5-0)–4C and 4L). The frequencies of aberrant metaphases observed in either homozygous or hemizygous larvae were comparable for all of the  $PBIim2^-$  mutant alleles characterized except PBtim2<sup>f05903</sup> ([Figure 4](#page-5-0)L). This strongly suggests that PBtim2<sup>c06976</sup>, PBtim2<sup>c01927</sup>, and PBtim2<sup>f00297</sup> are genetically null mutations whereas PBtim2<sup>f05903</sup> is a hypomorphic allele.

To assess the existence of a genetic interaction between tim2 and the Drosophila ATR ortholog mei-41, we examined  $mei-41^{29D}$ ; PBtim2<sup>c06976</sup> double mutants. Larval brains from these double mutants showed a dramatic increase in the frequency of aberrant metaphases with respect to either single mutant [\(Figures 4](#page-5-0)D–4G and 4M). The prevalent defect in  $m$ ei-41<sup>29D</sup>; PBtim2<sup>c06976</sup> mutant brains consisted of metaphases with extensive chromosome fragmentation, a phenotype rarely observed in either mei-41<sup>29D</sup> or any of the PBtim2<sup>-</sup> single mutants characterized ([Figures 4](#page-5-0)D–4G). Given that both  $mei-41^{29D}$  and PBtim2<sup>c06976</sup> behave as null mutations (this study; [\[19\]\)](#page-6-0), these results suggest that tim2 and mei-41 do not function in a single linear pathway leading to chromosome aberrations.

Deficiency of human TIM2 leads to DNA damage by affecting replisome function [\[14, 15, 18\]](#page-6-0) and compromises the ATRmediated checkpoint [\[16\].](#page-6-0) The strong synergistic effect of mei-41 and tim2 mutations in the production of chromosome damage argues against the possibility that mei-41 and tim2 solely function in the signaling cascade of the DNA damage checkpoint. Studies in Drosophila have shown that in addition to the DNA damage-dependent G2/M checkpoint, mei-41 (ATR) is also involved in DNA double-strand break (DSB) repair independently of this checkpoint [\[20, 21\]](#page-6-0). These findings suggest a model for the mei-41-tim2 interaction [\(Figure 4N](#page-5-0)). We propose that loss of TIM2 causes replisome malfunctioning ultimately leading to DSBs [\[22, 23\].](#page-6-0) In a wild-type background, most of these DSBs would be repaired through the dual activity of mei-41, leading to a relatively modest increase in the frequency of chromosome aberrations. However, when mei-41 function is compromised, most DSBs would not be properly repaired because of a combined defect in DNA repair and checkpoint-mediated cell-cycle delay, resulting in extensive chromosome fragmentation.

TIM2 orthologs have been reported to collaborate in maintaining the integrity of the replisome under stress conditions such as hydroxyurea (HU)-induced deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate (dNTP) pool depletion [\[14\]](#page-6-0). Thus, we monitored chromosome aberrations in HU-treated wild-type, PBtim2<sup>-</sup>, and mei-41<sup>29D</sup> larval brains. PBtim2<sup>-</sup> brains showed a significant increase in chromosome breakage compared to wildtype. Consistent with previous results [\[24\]](#page-6-0), HU treatment also caused a dramatic increase in chromosome damage in mei-41<sup>29D</sup> mutant brains [\(Figures 4H](#page-5-0)–4K). In these brains, the frequency of metaphases with shattered chromosomes was significantly higher than in HU-treated  $PBIim2^-$  brains. This supports the view that tim2 and mei-41 do not function in a single linear pathway leading to chromosome aberrations. In keeping with our model ([Figure 4N](#page-5-0)), we propose that TIM2 depletion greatly potentiates the primary DNA damage induced by HU, thereby causing extensive chromosome instability even in the presence of wild-type mei-41 activity.

We also investigated whether Drosophila tim2 plays a role in the assembly of non-SMC cohesin subunits, as reported for its C. elegans ortholog [\[13\]](#page-6-0). In wild-type brain cells, the non-SMC cohesin RAD21 localizes to the mitotic chromosomes and is enriched in the heterochromatic regions. No significant change in RAD21 localization was observed in PBtim2<sup>c06976</sup> mutants, suggesting that Drosophila TIM2 is not required for cohesin-dependent integrity of mitotic chromosomes ([Figure S4](#page-4-0)).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Figure 3. tim2 in Adult Flies: Expression in Brain and Role in Circadian Light Entrainment

(A–I) mRNA localization in whole-mount adult brains sampled at ZT1. The following abbreviations are used: ol, optic lobe; cc, central complex; me, medulla; lo, lobula; cg, central ganglion; LNvL, large lateral ventral neurons; LNvS, small lateral ventral neurons; LNd, lateral dorsal neurons; DN1, dorsal neuron group 1. Scale bar in (C) represents 50  $\mu$ m for (A)–(F); scale bar in (I) represents 30  $\mu$ m for (G)–(I) and 10  $\mu$ m in the 3× magnification insets in (G)-(I).

 $(A-C)$  Wild-type  $(w^{1118})$  brains hybridized with an antisense (A and B) or a sense tim2 mRNA probe (negative control, C). (A) and (B) show confocal sections obtained from the same brain within a 30  $\mu$ m interval along the anterior-posterior axis.

(D–F) Double-labeling in situ hybridization on wild-type brains of cry (green, D) and tim2 (red, E) mRNAs; signals are merged in (F). Images are  $\sim$  40  $\mu$ m Z projections of 18 confocal sections.

(G-I) Localization of  $\beta$ -gal (green, G) and  $tim2$  (red, H) mRNAs in T1Gal4-UASlacZ adult brains; signals are merged in (I). b-gal and tim2 mRNAs colocalize in some of the T1 cell bodies.

(J–M) tim2 downregulation affects circadian phase responses to light. Advances and delays in phase response (mean  $\pm$  SEM) are represented as positive and negative values, respectively.

(J) Phase-response curve (PRC) for  $PBtim2^{c06976}/+$  and TM6B/+ (control) flies. The PRC of PBtim<sup>c06976</sup>/+ flies was significantly different from that of TM6B/+ controls (genotype  $\times$  time [ZT] interaction F<sub>4,373</sub> = 5.56, p = 0.0002; post hoc test for ZT19 and ZT21, p < 0.01 with respect to TM6B/ + control).

(K) PRCs for elavGal4-tim2  $KD^{26b}$ , elavGal4-tim2  $KD^{16}$ , and elavGal4-tim2 KD<sup>26i</sup> lines and relative controls tim2 KD<sup>26b</sup>/+, tim2 KD<sup>16</sup>/+, tim2 KD<sup>26i</sup>/+, and elavGal4/+. PRCs of elavGal4tim2 KD lines with respect to their corresponding controls: analysis of variance genotype  $\times$  time (ZT) interactions  $F_{24,1260}$  = 5.24, p < 0.00001. Post hoc tests revealed that all elavGal4-tim2 KD lines were significantly more reactive to light pulses in the advance zone.

(L) PBtim2<sup>f00297</sup>/+ heterozygotes revealed a significant enhancement in phase advances at ZT21 compared to controls (*TM6B/*+;  $F_{1,65}$  = 8.17,  $p$  < 0.01).

(M) Phase responses for T1Gal4-tim2 KD<sup>16</sup> and T1Gal4-tim2 KD<sup>26b</sup> were significantly enhanced at ZT21 compared to corresponding controls ( $F_{4,143} = 5.11$ ,  $p = 0.0007$ ). At ZT15, T1Gal4-tim2  $KD^{16}$  and T1Gal4-tim2  $KD^{26b}$  were not significantly different from their T1Gal4/+ controls (see also [Table S2](#page-4-0)).

# tim2 Downregulation Does Not Affect Adult Circadian Locomotor Activity in Constant Darkness

Whether the timeless2 gene family plays a role in the circadian clock has been intensely debated [\[25–28\]](#page-6-0). In mammals, homozygous mTim mutants die as embryos, whereas viable mTim<sup>-</sup>/+ heterozygotes do not show circadian behavioral abnormalities [\[2\].](#page-6-0) However, mTim disruption affects phasing of suprachiasmatic nuclei neuronal activity rhythms and clock protein expression [\[5\]](#page-6-0).

We analyzed the effects of tim2 inhibition on the free-running periodicity of locomotor activity in DD. An examination of  $PB$ tim2<sup>c06976</sup>/+ and PBtim2<sup>f00297</sup>/+ adults did not show significant period length  $(\tau)$  changes compared to controls

([Table S1\)](#page-4-0). Locomotor rhythmicity was also evaluated in adult flies in which tim2 was silenced by RNA interference in specific brain regions. With a series of Gal4 drivers, tim2 was silenced in the central nervous system (CNS; elavGal4), in circadian clock neurons (tim1Gal4 and pdfGal4), in mushroom bodies and optic lobes (OK107Gal4) [\[29\],](#page-6-0) in L1 and L2 lamina monop-olar cells and in the central complex (L1L2Gal4) [\[8\]](#page-6-0), in medulla T1 basket cells of the optic lobes  $(T1Ga/4)$  [\[8\],](#page-6-0) and in the eye R1–R8 photoreceptors (GMRGal4). In all tim2 KD-driver combinations, periods were well within the normal wild-type ranges of 23.7 hr to 24.9 hr, and none of the combinations was significantly different from the corresponding controls ([Table S1](#page-4-0)).



<span id="page-4-0"></span>Table 1. Molecular Characterization of PBtim2<sup>-</sup> Mutant Alleles

mRNA levels of tim2 and expressed host intronic sequences (CG34308, BK002510, and 2mit) in PBtim2<sup>-</sup> mutant alleles. Statistical analyses were performed by comparing the mRNA levels of mutant larvae (homozygous or heterozygous) with those of  $w^{1118}$  control larvae. ND indicates not determined.

<sup>a</sup> tim2 mRNA level significantly different from controls ( $F_{5,15}$  = 34.57,  $p < 0.001$ ). Post hoc tests revealed similar tim2 mRNA levels in PBtim2<sup>c06</sup>  $P$ Btim2<sup>c01927</sup>, PBtim2<sup>f00297</sup>, and PBtim2<sup>f05903</sup> homozygous larvae (p > 0.05, not significant, for the four comparisons).

 $b$  CG34308 mRNA level significantly different from controls (F<sub>4,11</sub> = 32.61, p < 0.0001).

 $\textdegree$ BK002510 mRNA level significantly different from controls (F<sub>4,8</sub> = 7.22,

p < 0.05).<br><sup>d</sup> 2*mit* mRNA level significantly different from controls (F<sub>5,11</sub> = 3.85, p = 0.03).

# tim2 Is Involved in Circadian Light Entrainment

We recorded locomotor activity under constant bright light (LL) for PBtim2<sup>c06976</sup>/+ and PBtim2<sup>f00297</sup>/+ heterozygotes and flies bearing a tim2 KD construct driven in the CNS or in the eye photoreceptors (with elavGal4 or GMRGal4 drivers, respectively; Table S1). All of these mutant animals displayed wildtype behavior, with  $\sim$  90% of flies becoming arrhythmic after 1 or 2 days of LL and the remainder showing weak and sometimes long period rhythms (Table S1), consistent with previous studies [\[30\]](#page-6-0).

We next studied the ability of heterozygous PBtim<sup>c06976</sup>/+ and elavGal4 KD flies to respond to 20 min light pulses delivered at different times of the night (ZT15, 17, 19, 21, and 23). We initially determined the *ls-tim1/s-tim1* genotype of each strain, because this natural tim1 polymorphism significantly affects the circadian light response (Table S2) [\[31–34\]](#page-6-0). We generated a light-induced phase response curve (PRC) and observed that heterozygous *ls/s-tim1; PBtim<sup>c06976</sup>/+* flies were significantly more reactive than their corresponding ls/s-tim1; TM6B/+ controls in the advance zone at ZT19 and ZT21 ([Figure 3J](#page-3-0)).

PRC profiles similar to that of  $PBtim2^{c06976}$ /+ flies were obtained when tim2 KD was driven panneuronally by elavGal4, again with a significantly more reactive response to light pulses in the advance, but not in the delay zone ([Figure 3K](#page-3-0)). We also examined the phase shifts to light pulses delivered at ZT15 and ZT21 in PBtim2<sup>f00297</sup>/+ heterozygotes ([Figure 3](#page-3-0)L; see also Table S2). These flies, like their KD and PBtim<sup>c06976</sup>/+ counterparts, revealed a significant increase in their phase responses at ZT21 compared to their relative controls, whereas no significant differences were seen at ZT15.

To map eye or brain structures involved in the control of circadian light entrainment, we used KD lines in which tim2 was silenced with GMRGal4, L1L2Gal4, OK107Gal4, tim1Gal4, pdfGal4, and T1Gal4 drivers (Table S2). An enhancement of the phase shift in the advance zone was observed only when  $tim2$  KD was driven by the T1Gal4 driver, as in PBtim2<sup>-</sup>/+ and elavGal4-driven tim2 KD flies. However, when the light pulses were administered at ZT15, the same flies were not

significantly different from their T1Gal4/+ controls [\(Figure 3M](#page-3-0); see also Table S2). tim2 silencing in all photoreceptors (with the GMRGal4 driver) did not produce changes in the phase response to light, suggesting that R7 and R8 photoreceptors are not directly involved in the tim2-mediated phenotype (Table S2). Negative results were also obtained by driving tim2 KD in L1 and L2 monopolar cells (Table S2), which receive information from retinal photoreceptors [\[9, 10\]](#page-6-0). tim2 KD in the other brain compartments, such as mushroom bodies (OK107Gal4 driver) or circadian clock neurons (with the tim1Gal4 and pdfGal4 drivers), did not significantly affect phase shift at either ZT15 or ZT21 (Table S2).

T1 neurons contribute to Drosophila motion detection in particular environmental conditions [\[8\]](#page-6-0). Our results reveal an additional involvement of these neurons in light input perception and/or transmission to the circadian clock. Because T1 cells do not receive direct inputs from photoreceptors [\[9\]](#page-6-0) and T1 outputs have not been anatomically mapped [\[10\]](#page-6-0), the pathway from T1 cells to the clock remains obscure. The T1 driver is also expressed in the mushroom bodies [\[8\]](#page-6-0), but we excluded a role of this region by using the OK107 driver, which is expressed throughout the mushroom bodies [\[29\].](#page-6-0) Thus, our findings demonstrate that T1 neurons are important intermediates in the circadian light input pathway. It is possible that the observed effects on entrainment are a consequence of damage to the basket cells during development induced by tim2 KD. However, the presence of tim2 in adult nerve fibers argues for a specific physiological role of TIM2 in light entrainment.

Although mTim mRNA levels in mammals are not modified [\[27\]](#page-6-0) or are modified only weakly [\[28\]](#page-6-0) by light pulses in the brain master clock, a circadian expression has been described in the retina [\[26, 27\]](#page-6-0). It would be interesting to investigate whether these data imply a conserved role in light entrainment for the mammalian TIM2 ortholog. To date, we are unable to determine whether the absence of delay effects is due to a differential sensitivity to tim2 depletion in T1 neurons or to the existence of other neurons dedicated to the delay component of entrainment. Future work will focus on the circadian role of the T1 basket cells and how they disseminate light information to the circadian clock neurons.

## Conclusions

Our results indicate that Drosophila tim2 is a pleiotropic gene that plays an essential role in the maintenance of chromosome integrity and has an additional function in the light entrainment of the circadian clock (see Table S3 for a comparative overview of tim2 orthologs among worms, flies, and mammals). Because the tim2-derived paralog tim1 is the canonical light-sensitive component of the fly circadian clock, the light entrainment activity of tim2 would appear to represent a residual function that was considerably enhanced and extended by the evolution of the tim1 paralog.

#### Supplemental Information

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Results, four figures, four tables, and Supplemental Experimental Procedures and can be found with this article online at [doi:10.1016/j.cub.2009.12.048](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.cub.2009.12.048).

### Acknowledgments

We thank C. Sunkel for providing the anti-RAD21 antibody and P. Cisotto and M. Simonetti for technical support. The fly stocks T1Gal4 (NP1086) and L1L2Gal4 (NP6298) were obtained from the Drosophila Genetic

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 4. tim2 Is Required for Chromosome Integrity

(A–K) Metaphase chromosomes from Oregon-R wild-type and mutant larval brains either untreated (A–G) or treated with hydroxyurea (HU) (H–K). Scale bar in  $(A)$  represents  $5 \mu m$ .

(A) Wild-type male metaphase.

(B and C) tim2 metaphases showing isochromatid breaks (arrows).

(D) mei-41 metaphase with an isochromatid break (arrow).

(E–G) Metaphase figures from a *mei-41; PBtim2<sup>c06976</sup>* double mutant showing a dicentric chromosome (arrow) and the corresponding acentric fragment (asterisk) (E) or extensive chromosome fragmentation (F and G).

(H) HU-treated wild-type metaphase with an isochromatid break (arrow).

(I–K) Examples of aberrant metaphase figures observed in HU-treated brains from mei-41 (I) or tim2 (J and K) mutants. Mitotic figures in (I) and (K) display multiply fragmented chromosomes; the metaphase in (J) exhibits an incomplete translocation between the third and fourth chromosomes. The centric fragments of chromosomes 3 and 4 are indicated by arrows; the asterisk indicates the acentric fragment of chromosome 3.

(L) Frequencies of cells with chromosome aberrations in tim2 homozygous and hemizygous mutants. The frequencies observed in PBtim2<sup>c06976</sup>/  $PBtime^{2^{COS976}}$ , PBtim2<sup>c06976</sup>/Df, PBtim2<sup>c01927</sup>/PBtim2<sup>c01927</sup>, PBtim2<sup>c01927</sup>/Df, and PBtim2<sup>f00297</sup>/Df mutants were not significantly different ( $\chi^2$  test). Df is a deficiency (namely Df(3R)Exe16177) that removes the tim2 locus. OR represents wild-type Oregon-R stock used as control.

(M) Functional relationships between tim2 and mei-41 in the maintenance of chromosome integrity. The frequencies of cells with extensive chromosome fragmentation, chromosome breaks, or exchanges observed in HU-treated PBtim2<sup>c06976</sup> homozygotes (PBtim2<sup>c06976</sup> + HU) were significantly lower than those observed in HU-treated mei-41 mutants (mei-41 + HU) (p < 0.001, p < 0.01, and p < 0.001, respectively;  $\chi^2$  test). OR represents Oregon-R control. In both (L) and (M), the frequencies of cells with chromosome breaks (isochromatid and chromatid deletions) or exchanges (dicentric chromosomes and translocations) were calculated excluding the cells with extensive chromosome fragmentation. The numbers on top of each group of columns correspond to the number of cells scored.

(N) A model for the interaction between tim2 and mei-41. Loss of TIM2 causes replisome malfunctioning, ultimately leading to double-strand breaks (DSBs). Most of these DSBs are repaired through the dual activity of mei-41, which delays cell-cycle progression and mediates DNA repair. When mei-41 is mutated, failure to repair DSBs leads to extensive chromosome fragmentation.

Resource Center at Kyoto Institute of Technology. C.B. was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from Università degli Studi di Padova (CPDR042471). C.W. acknowledges an assistant position of the University of Regensburg. This work was funded by grants from Università degli Studi di Padova (CPDA074398) to F.S.; the EC Biotechnology program (ERB-B104-CT960096) to C.P.K. and R.C.; the EUCLOCK 6th European Framework Programme (018741) to C.H.-F., C.P.K., and R.C.; the Italian Space Agency (DCMC grant) to R.C.; and Telethon Italy (GGP07200) to M.G.

This paper is dedicated to the memory of our colleague Alberto Piccin (1965–2002).

Received: November 6, 2009 Revised: December 11, 2009 Accepted: December 16, 2009 Published online: February 11, 2010

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>References

- 1. Benna, C., Scannapieco, P., Piccin, A., Sandrelli, F., Zordan, M., Rosato, E., Kyriacou, C.P., Valle, G., and Costa, R. (2000). A second timeless gene in Drosophila shares greater sequence similarity with mammalian tim. Curr. Biol. 10, R512–R513.
- 2. Gotter, A.L., Manganaro, T., Weaver, D.R., Kolakowski, L.F., Jr., Possidente, B., Sriram, S., MacLaughlin, D.T., and Reppert, S.M. (2000). A time-less function for mouse timeless. Nat. Neurosci. 3, 755–756.
- 3. Rubin, E.B., Shemesh, Y., Cohen, M., Elgavish, S., Robertson, H.M., and Bloch, G. (2006). Molecular and phylogenetic analyses reveal mammalian-like clockwork in the honey bee (Apis mellifera) and shed new light on the molecular evolution of the circadian clock. Genome Res. 16, 1352–1365.
- 4. Zheng, X., and Sehgal, A. (2008). Probing the relative importance of molecular oscillations in the circadian clock. Genetics 178, 1147–1155.
- 5. Barnes, J.W., Tischkau, S.A., Barnes, J.A., Mitchell, J.W., Burgoon, P.W., Hickok, J.R., and Gillette, M.U. (2003). Requirement of mammalian Timeless for circadian rhythmicity. Science 302, 439–442.
- 6. Cavener, D.R., and Ray, S.C. (1991). Eukaryotic start and stop translation sites. Nucleic Acids Res. 19, 3185–3192.
- 7. Claridge-Chang, A., Wijnen, H., Naef, F., Boothroyd, C., Rajewsky, N., and Young, M.W. (2001). Circadian regulation of gene expression systems in the Drosophila head. Neuron 32, 657–671.
- 8. Rister, J., Pauls, D., Schnell, B., Ting, C.Y., Lee, C.H., Sinakevitch, I., Morante, J., Strausfeld, N.J., Ito, K., and Heisenberg, M. (2007). Dissection of the peripheral motion channel in the visual system of Drosophila melanogaster. Neuron 56, 155–170.
- 9. Meinertzhagen, I.A., and O'Neil, S.D. (1991). Synaptic organization of columnar elements in the lamina of the wild type in Drosophila melanogaster. J. Comp. Neurol. 305, 232–263.
- 10. Takemura, S.Y., Lu, Z., and Meinertzhagen, I.A. (2008). Synaptic circuits of the Drosophila optic lobe: the input terminals to the medulla. J. Comp. Neurol. 509, 493–513.
- 11. Kiebler, M.A., and Bassell, G.J. (2006). Neuronal RNA granules: Movers and makers. Neuron 51, 685–690.
- 12. Richter, J.D., and Klann, E. (2009). Making synaptic plasticity and memory last: Mechanisms of translational regulation. Genes Dev. 23, 1–11.
- 13. Chan, R.C., Chan, A., Jeon, M., Wu, T.F., Pasqualone, D., Rougvie, A.E., and Meyer, B.J. (2003). Chromosome cohesion is regulated by a clock gene paralogue TIM-1. Nature 423, 1002–1009.
- 14. Gotter, A.L., Suppa, C., and Emanuel, B.S. (2007). Mammalian TIME-LESS and Tipin are evolutionarily conserved replication fork-associated factors. J. Mol. Biol. 366, 36–52.
- 15. Kondratov, R.V., and Antoch, M.P. (2007). Circadian proteins in the regulation of cell cycle and genotoxic stress responses. Trends Cell Biol. 17, 311–317.
- 16. Unsal-Kaçmaz, K., Mullen, T.E., Kaufmann, W.K., and Sancar, A. (2005). Coupling of human circadian and cell cycles by the timeless protein. Mol. Cell. Biol. 25, 3109–3116.
- 17. Cimprich, K.A., and Cortez, D. (2008). ATR: An essential regulator of genome integrity. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 9, 616–627.
- 18. Urtishak, K.A., Smith, K.D., Chanoux, R.A., Greenberg, R.A., Johnson, F.B., and Brown, E.J. (2009). Timeless maintains genomic stability and suppresses sister chromatid exchange during unperturbed DNA replication. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 8777–8785.
- 19. Laurençon, A., Purdy, A., Sekelsky, J., Hawley, R.S., and Su, T.T. (2003). Phenotypic analysis of separation-of-function alleles of MEI-41, Drosophila ATM/ATR. Genetics 164, 589–601.
- 20. Oikemus, S.R., Queiroz-Machado, J., Lai, K., McGinnis, N., Sunkel, C., and Brodsky, M.H. (2006). Epigenetic telomere protection by Drosophila DNA damage response pathways. PLoS Genet. 2, e71.
- 21. LaRocque, J.R., Jaklevic, B., Su, T.T., and Sekelsky, J. (2007). Drosophila ATR in double-strand break repair. Genetics 175, 1023– 1033.
- 22. Heller, R.C., and Marians, K.J. (2006). Replisome assembly and the direct restart of stalled replication forks. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 7, 932–943.
- 23. Tourrière, H., and Pasero, P. (2007). Maintenance of fork integrity at damaged DNA and natural pause sites. DNA Repair (Amst.) 6, 900–913.
- 24. Banga, S.S., Shenkar, R., and Boyd, J.B. (1986). Hypersensitivity of Drosophila mei-41 mutants to hydroxyurea is associated with reduced mitotic chromosome stability. Mutat. Res. 163, 157–165.
- 25. Zylka, M.J., Shearman, L.P., Levine, J.D., Jin, X.W., Weaver, D.R., and Reppert, S.M. (1998). Molecular analysis of mammalian timeless. Neuron 21, 1115–1122.
- 26. Sangoram, A.M., Saez, L., Antoch, M.P., Gekakis, N., Staknis, D., Whiteley, A., Fruechte, E.M., Vitaterna, M.H., Shimomura, K., King, D.P., et al. (1998). Mammalian circadian autoregulatory loop: A timeless ortholog and mPer1 interact and negatively regulate CLOCK-BMAL1-induced transcription. Neuron 21, 1101–1113.
- 27. Takumi, T., Nagamine, Y., Miyake, S., Matsubara, C., Taguchi, K., Takekida, S., Sakakida, Y., Nishikawa, K., Kishimoto, T., Niwa, S., et al. (1999). A mammalian ortholog of Drosophila timeless, highly expressed in SCN and retina, forms a complex with mPER1. Genes Cells 4, 67–75.
- 28. Tischkau, S.A., Barnes, J.A., Lin, F.J., Myers, E.M., Barnes, J.W., Meyer-Bernstein, E.L., Hurst, W.J., Burgoon, P.W., Chen, D., Sehgal, A., and Gillette, M.U. (1999). Oscillation and light induction of timeless mRNA in the mammalian circadian clock. J. Neurosci. 19, RC15.
- 29. Aso, Y., Grübel, K., Busch, S., Friedrich, A.B., Siwanowicz, I., and Tanimoto, H. (2009). The mushroom body of adult Drosophila characterized by GAL4 drivers. J. Neurogenet. 23, 156–172.
- 30. Dissel, S., Codd, V., Fedic, R., Garner, K.J., Costa, R., Kyriacou, C.P., and Rosato, E. (2004). A constitutively active cryptochrome in Drosophila melanogaster. Nat. Neurosci. 7, 834–840.
- 31. Peschel, N., Veleri, S., and Stanewsky, R. (2006). Veela defines a molecular link between Cryptochrome and Timeless in the light-input pathway to Drosophila's circadian clock. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 103, 17313– 17318.
- 32. Tauber, E., Zordan, M., Sandrelli, F., Pegoraro, M., Osterwalder, N., Breda, C., Daga, A., Selmin, A., Monger, K., Benna, C., et al. (2007). Natural selection favors a newly derived timeless allele in Drosophila melanogaster. Science 316, 1895–1898.
- 33. Sandrelli, F., Tauber, E., Pegoraro, M., Mazzotta, G., Cisotto, P., Landskron, J., Stanewsky, R., Piccin, A., Rosato, E., Zordan, M., et al. (2007). A molecular basis for natural selection at the timeless locus in Drosophila melanogaster. Science 316, 1898–1900.
- 34. Peschel, N., Chen, K.F., Szabo, G., and Stanewsky, R. (2009). Lightdependent interactions between the Drosophila circadian clock factors cryptochrome, jetlag, and timeless. Curr. Biol. 19, 241–247.