

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

THE FINITE SIMPLE GROUPS HAVE COMPLEMENTED
SUBGROUP LATTICES

MAURO COSTANTINI AND GIOVANNI ZACHER

Volume 213 No. 2

February 2004

THE FINITE SIMPLE GROUPS HAVE COMPLEMENTED SUBGROUP LATTICES

MAURO COSTANTINI AND GIOVANNI ZACHER

We prove that the lattice of subgroups of every finite simple group is a complemented lattice.

1. Introduction.

A group G is called a K -group (a *complemented* group) if its subgroup lattice is a complemented lattice, i.e., for a given $H \leq G$ there exists a $X \leq G$ such that $\langle H, X \rangle = G$ and $H \wedge X = 1$. The main purpose of this Note is to answer a long-standing open question in finite group theory, by proving that:

Every finite simple group is a K -group.

In this context, it was known that the alternating groups, the projective special linear groups and the Suzuki groups are K -groups ([P]).

Our proof relies on the FSGC-theorem and on structural properties of the maximal subgroups in finite simple groups. The rest of this paper is divided into four sections. In Section 2 we collect some criteria for a subgroup of a group G to have a complement and recall some useful known results. In Section 3 we deal with the classical groups, in 4 with the exceptional groups of Lie type and in Section 5 with the sporadic groups.

With reference to notation and terminology, we shall follow closely those in use in [P] and [S]. All groups are meant to be finite.

2. Preliminaries.

We begin with the following:

Proposition 2.1. *Given the group G , let T, X be subgroups of G such that $T \leq X < G$. If the interval $[X/T]$ is a complemented lattice and if X is contained in only one maximal subgroup M of G , then every $H \leq G$ with $H \not\leq M$ and $H \wedge T = 1$ has a complement in G .*

Proof. Let C be a complement of $\langle H, T \rangle \wedge X$ in $[X/T]$. Then $\langle H, C \rangle = \langle H, T, C \rangle \geq \langle \langle H, T \rangle \wedge X, C \rangle = X$. Since $H \not\leq M$, we conclude that $\langle H, C \rangle = G$. Moreover $H \wedge C = H \wedge X \wedge C \leq \langle H, T \rangle \wedge X \wedge C = T$, hence $H \wedge C \leq H \wedge T = 1$. \square

The condition on M in Proposition 2.1 means that $[G/X]$ is a *mono-coatomic* interval with *coatom* M .

Corollary 2.2. *Let X be a K -subgroup and $[G/X]$ a monocoatomic interval with coatom M . Then every $H \leq G$ not contained in M_G has a complement in G . In particular G/M_G is a K -group.*

Proof. There exists a $g \in G$ such that $H^g \not\leq M$. By Proposition 2.1 with $T = 1$, H^g has a complement. Hence also H has a complement C in G . Moreover, if $M_G < H$, then CM_G/M_G is a complement of H/M_G in G/M_G . \square

Proposition 2.3. *Let G be a simple group and $[G/X]$ a monocoatomic interval with coatom M . If N is a central subgroup of M of prime order with $N \leq X$ and if X/N is a K -group, then G is a K -group.*

Proof. Let H be a proper subgroup of G . Since $M_G = 1$, without loss of generality we may assume $H \not\leq M$. If now $H \wedge N = 1$, by Proposition 2.1 H has a complement in G . Assume now $N \leq H$; there exists a $g \in G$ such that $N^g \wedge H = 1$. So if H has no complement in G , by Proposition 2.1 we must have $N^g \leq \mathcal{C}(H)$. It follows that if $\mathcal{F} = \{N^x \mid x \in G\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{N^x \mid N^x \not\leq H\}$, then $\mathcal{N}(H) \geq \langle H, \mathcal{F}_1 \rangle \geq \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle = G$, a contradiction. \square

We finally recall:

(2.1) *The direct product of a family of groups is a K -group if and only if each factor is a K -group,*

see Corollary 3.1.5 in [S].

(2.2) *If G contains an abelian subgroup A generated by minimal normal subgroups of G and a complement K to A that is a K -group, then G is a K -group,*

see Lemma 3.1.9 in [S].

(2.3) *The symmetric and alternating groups, the projective special linear groups $L_n(q)$ and the simple Suzuki groups ${}^2B_2(q)$ are K -groups,*

see [P].

For our purpose it will be convenient to know which non-simple groups of Lie type ([C], p. 175, p. 268) are complemented.

Proposition 2.4. *The following non-simple groups of Lie type are K -groups:*

$$L_2(2), L_2(3), Sp_4(2), G_2(2), {}^2G_2(3).$$

The following non-simple groups of Lie type are not K -groups:

$${}^2B_2(2), {}^2F_4(2), U_3(2).$$

Proof. In fact $L_2(2) \cong S_3$, $L_2(3) \cong A_4$, $Sp_4(2) \cong S_6$, and we are done by (2.3). In $G_2(2)$ there is a monocoatomic interval $[G_2(2)/H]$ with $H \cong L_3(2)$ and corefree coatom, by Theorem 2.5 in [Co]: Hence $G_2(2)$ is a K -group by

(2.3) and Corollary 2.2. The group ${}^2G_2(3)$ has a corefree maximal subgroup isomorphic to $Z_7 : Z_6$ ([**K3**): Hence it is a K -group by (2.2). On the other hand, we have ${}^2B_2(2) \cong Z_5 : Z_4$ ([**A**]), $U_3(2) \cong 3^2 : Q_8$ ([**KL**], p. 43) and finally $|{}^2F_4(2) : {}^2F_4(2)'| = 2$, but all involutions of ${}^2F_4(2)$ are contained in ${}^2F_4(2)'$ ([**AS**], p. 75). \square

To prove the main theorem, we take a counterexample L of minimal order and show that such a group L does not exist.

3. The simple classical groups.

We are going to assume in this section that $L = G_0(n, q)$, a (simple) classical group as in [**KL**].

a) $G_0(n, q)$ is not of type A_m , $n = m + 1$, $m \geq 1$.
See (2.3).

b) $G_0(n, q)$ is not of type C_m , $n = 2m$, $m \geq 2$.

Proof. Let r be a prime divisor of m , so that $m = rt$, $t \geq 1$. By Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 in [**L**], the interval $[PSp(2m, q)/PSp(2t, q^r)]$ is monocoatomic. Moreover $PSp(2t, q^r)$ is simple, since $q^r \geq 4$, of order less than the order of L , hence a K -group. But then by Corollary 2.2, L is a K -group, a contradiction. \square

c) $G_0(n, q)$ is not of type 2A_m , $n = m + 1$, $m \geq 2$.

Proof. We consider first the cases $(n, q) = (3, 3)$, $(3, 5)$. The groups $U_3(3)$ and $U_3(5)$ are K -groups: In fact one has $PSL_2(7) < \cdot U_3(3)$ and $A_7 < \cdot U_3(5)$ ([**K1**], §5). Assume now $(n, q) \neq (3, 3)$, $(3, 5)$. With reference to the notation in [**BGL**], p. 388, let G be the simple adjoint algebraic group over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ with associated Dynkin diagram of type A_m , $\lambda = \sigma_q$ and $\mu = {}^2\sigma_q$: We have $G_\lambda = PGL_n(q)$, $G_\mu = PGU_n(q)$, $O^{p'}(G_\lambda) = L_n(q)$, $O^{p'}(G_\mu) = U_n(q) = G_0(n, q)$,

$$T := O^{p'}(G_\mu \cap G_\lambda) = \begin{cases} PSp_n(q) & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \Omega_n(q) & \text{if } nq \text{ is odd} \\ Sp_{n-1}(q) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } q \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

From Theorem 2 in [**BGL**] it follows that $[U_n(q)/T]$ is monocoatomic. Moreover, T is a K -group, either because it is simple of order less than $|L|$, or because it is isomorphic to $Sp_4(2)$ (Proposition 2.4): Hence $G_0(n, q)$ is a K -group, a contradiction. \square

d) $G_0(n, q)$ is not of type B_m , $n = 2m + 1$, $m \geq 3$, q odd.

Proof. Assume $q = p^f$, with $f > 1$ and let r be a prime divisor of f . Then by Theorem 1 in [BGL], $[P\Omega_n(q)/P\Omega_n(q^{1/r})]$ is monoatomic, a contradiction. Therefore we must have $q = p$. Now, by §5 in [K1] and Proposition 4.2.15 in [KL], $G_0(n, q)$ contains a maximal subgroup M which is a split extension of an irreducible elementary abelian 2-group by A_n or S_n . Therefore M is a K -group by (2.2), and $G_0(n, q)$ is a K -group, a contradiction. \square

e) $G_0(n, q)$ is not of type D_m , $n = 2m$, $m \geq 4$.

Proof. Let $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be the natural (projective) module for $G_0(n, q)$, and let W be a nonsingular subspace of V of dimension 1. Since $\bar{\Omega} := G_0(n, q)$ is a counterexample of minimal order, the socle $\text{soc } H_{\bar{\Omega}}$ of the stabilizer $H_{\bar{\Omega}}$ of W in $\bar{\Omega}$, which is isomorphic to $\Omega_{n-1}(q)$ if q is odd, and to $Sp_{n-2}(q)$ if q is even, must be contained, by Corollary 2.2, in an element $K_{\bar{\Omega}}$ of $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega}) \cup \mathcal{S}$ different from $H_{\bar{\Omega}}$ (for the definition of the family $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega}) \cup \mathcal{S}$ we refer to §1.1 and §3.1 in [KL]).

By order considerations, one can prove that only condition (i) of Theorem 4.2 in [Li] applies: This means that $K_{\bar{\Omega}}$ must be an element of $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$. Since $H_{\bar{\Omega}} \in \mathcal{C}_1$, one is left to show that there does not exist an element $K_{\bar{\Omega}}$ in \mathcal{C}_i , for an $i \neq 1$, such that $\text{soc } H_{\bar{\Omega}} < K_{\bar{\Omega}} < \bar{\Omega}$.

For q odd, the arguments used in the proof of Proposition 7.1.3 in [KL] show that such a $K_{\bar{\Omega}}$ does not exist, taking into account that in our situation $n_2 = n - 1 \geq 7$. To deal with the case when q is even, again one can proceed using arguments suggested in the proof of Lemma 7.1.4 in [KL]. \square

f) $G_0(n, q)$ is not of type 2D_m , $n = 2m$, $m \geq 4$.

Proof. Following the notation in [BGL], let G be the simple adjoint algebraic group over \mathbb{F}_q with associated Dynkin diagram of type D_m , $\lambda = \sigma_q$ and $\mu = {}^2\sigma_q$. Then $O^{p'}(G_\lambda) = P\Omega_n^+(q)$, $O^{p'}(G_\mu) = P\Omega_n^-(q) = G_0(n, q)$,

$$T := O^{p'}(G_\mu \cap G_\lambda) = \begin{cases} \Omega_{n-1}(q) & \text{if } q \text{ is odd} \\ Sp_{n-2}(q) & \text{if } q \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 2 in [BGL], $[G_0(n, q)/T]$ is monoatomic. Since $n \geq 8$, T is simple, hence $G_0(n, q)$ is a K -group, a contradiction. \square

We have therefore completed the proof that L is not a classical group.

4. The simple exceptional groups of Lie type.

Now we are going to show that the minimal counterexample L cannot be an exceptional group of Lie type $G(q)$.

a) $G(q)$ is not of type $G_2, {}^2G_2$.

Proof. If r is a prime divisor of f , where $q = p^f$, write $q = q_0^r$. Then $G(q_0) < \cdot G(q)$ ([**C**], Theorem 2.3, 2.4, [**K3**], Theorem A, C). Hence by Proposition 2.4, we have $L = G_2(p)$, for an odd prime p . But then $G_2(2)$ is maximal in $G_2(p)$ by [**K3**], and we are done by Proposition 2.4. \square

b) $G(q)$ is not of type F_4 .

Proof. $F_4(q)$ contains a quasisimple maximal subgroup M of type $B_4(q)$, with $|Z(M)| = (2, q - 1)$ ([**LSS**], p. 322). But then, by Proposition 2.3, $F_4(q)$ is a K -group. \square

c) $G(q)$ is not of type E_6, E_7, E_8 .

Proof. We have $F_4(q) < \cdot E_6(q)$ ([**LS**], Table 1), which excludes E_6 .

If L is of type E_7 , there exist subgroups $H \leq M < \cdot G$ such that $|M : H| = |Z(H)| = (2, q - 1)$ and $H/Z(H) \cong L_2(q) \times P\Omega_{12}^+(q)$ ([**LS**], Table 1). Hence $H/Z(H)$ is a K -group by (2.1). We claim that $[G/H]$ is monoatomic. Clear if q is even. For q odd, suppose $H < M_1 < \cdot G$, with $M_1 \neq M$. Since $|M : H| = 2$, we have $|M_1| > |M| \geq q^{64}$. By the Theorem in [**LS**], M_1 either is a parabolic subgroup, or it appears in Table 1 in [**LS**]: However, both situations are excluded by rank or order considerations. So again by Proposition 2.3, G is a K -group, a contradiction.

Finally assume G is of type E_8 . There exist subgroups $H \leq M < \cdot G$ such that $|M : H| = |Z(H)| = (2, q - 1)$, with $H/Z(H) \cong P\Omega_{16}^+(q)$ ([**I**], p. 286, [**LS**], Table 1), hence a K -group. Using the Theorem in [**LS**] again one shows that $[G/H]$ is monoatomic, giving rise to a contradiction. \square

d) $G(q)$ is not of type 2B_2 .
See (2.3).

e) $G(q)$ is not of type 2F_4 .

Proof. The group ${}^2F_4(2)$ is not simple, and we have seen that it is not a K -group (Proposition 2.4). Its derived subgroup (the Tits group) is simple and it is a K -group, since it has a maximal subgroup isomorphic to $L_2(25)$ ([**A**]). So now assume $L = {}^2F_4(2^{2m+1})$, with $m \geq 1$. By the Main Theorem in [**M**], there exist $H < \cdot M < \cdot L$ such that $|M : H| = 2$ and $H \cong Sp_4(2^{2m+1})$. Since the nonabelian composition factors of maximal subgroups of L not conjugate to M are of type $A_1(q)$, ${}^2B_2(q)$, $U_3(q)$ and ${}^2F_4(q^{1/r})$, r an odd prime, one concludes that $[G/H]$ is monoatomic. \square

f) $G(q)$ is not of type 2E_6 .

Proof. In fact we have $F_4(q) < \cdot {}^2E_6(q)$ from Table 1 in [**LS**]. \square

g) $G(q)$ is not of type 3D_4 .

Proof. From the Theorem in [K2], we have $G_2(q) < {}^3D_4(q)$. Since $G_2(q)$ is a K -group, we get a contradiction. \square

This concludes the proof that L is not a group of Lie type.

5. Sporadic simple groups.

We are left to deal with the sporadic groups: To this end, for each group we exhibit a maximal subgroup which is a K -group. From the tables in [A] we have:

$$\begin{aligned} L_2(11) < \cdot M_{11}, \quad L_2(11) < \cdot M_{12}, \quad A_7 < \cdot M_{22}, \quad M_{22} < \cdot M_{23}, \quad M_{23} < \cdot M_{24}, \\ L_2(11) < \cdot J_1, \quad A_5 < \cdot J_2, \quad L_2(19) < \cdot J_3, \quad 43 : 14 < \cdot J_4, \quad M_{22} < \cdot HS, \\ A_7 < \cdot Suz, \quad M_{22} < \cdot McL, \quad A_8 < \cdot Ru, \quad S_4 \times L_3(2) < \cdot He, \quad 67 : 22 < \cdot Ly, \\ A_7 < \cdot O'N, \quad M_{23} < \cdot Co_2, \quad M_{23} < \cdot Co_3, \quad Co_3 < \cdot Co_1, \quad S_{10} < \cdot Fi_{22}, \\ S_{12} < \cdot Fi_{23}, \quad Fi_{23} < \cdot Fi'_{24}, \quad A_{12} < \cdot HN, \quad S_5 < \cdot Th, \quad 31 : 15 < \cdot BM, \\ 31 : 15 \times S_3 < \cdot M \quad . \end{aligned}$$

We have thus completed the proof of the main theorem:

Theorem. *Every finite simple group is a K -group.*

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to E. Vdovin for helpful discussions.

References

- [AS] M. Aschbacher and G. Seitz, *Involutions in Chevalley groups*, Nagoya Math. J., **63** (1976), 1-91, MR 0422401, Zbl 0359.20014.
- [BGL] N. Burgoyne, R. Griess and R. Lyons, *Maximal subgroups and automorphisms of Chevalley groups*, Pac. J. Math., **71** (1977), 365-403, MR 0444795, Zbl 0334.20022.
- [C] R.W. Carter, *Simple Groups of Lie Type*, Wiley, London, 1989, MR 1013112, Zbl 0723.20006.
- [A] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker and R.A. Wilson, *Atlas of Finite Groups*, Oxford University Press, 1985, MR 0827219, Zbl 0568.20001.
- [Co] B.N. Cooperstein, *Maximal subgroups of $G_2(2^n)$* , J. Algebra, **70** (1981), 23-36, MR 0618376, Zbl 0459.20007.
- [I] N. Iwahori, *Centralizers of involutions in finite Chevalley groups*, in 'Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Topics' (eds. A. Borel et al.), Lecture Notes in Math., **131**, Springer, Berlin, 1986, 267-295, MR 0258945, Zbl 0242.20043.
- [K1] P.B. Kleidman, *The Low-Dimensional Finite Classical Groups and their Subgroups*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Cambridge, 1987.

- [K2] ———, *The maximal subgroups of the Steinberg triality groups ${}^3D_4(q)$ and of their automorphism groups*, J. Algebra, **115** (1988), 182-199, MR 0937609, Zbl 0642.20013.
- [K3] ———, *The maximal subgroups of the Chevalley groups $G_2(q)$ with q odd, the Ree groups ${}^2G_2(q)$, and their automorphism groups*, J. Algebra, **117** (1988), 30-71, MR 0955589, Zbl 0651.20020.
- [KL] P.B. Kleidman and M.W. Liebeck, *The Subgroup Structure of the Finite Classical Groups*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, **129**, Cambridge University Press, 1990, MR 1057341, Zbl 0697.20004.
- [L] S. Li, *Overgroups in $GL(nr, F)$ of certain subgroups of $SL(n, K)$* , I, J. Algebra, **125** (1989), 215-235, MR 1012672, Zbl 0676.20030.
- [Li] M.W. Liebeck, *On the orders of maximal subgroups of the finite classical groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **50** (1985), 426-446, MR 0779398, Zbl 0591.20021.
- [LS] M.W. Liebeck and J. Saxl, *On the orders of maximal subgroups of the finite exceptional groups of Lie type*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **55** (1987), 299-330, MR 0896223, Zbl 0627.20026.
- [LSS] M.W. Liebeck, J. Saxl and G.M. Seitz, *Subgroups of maximal rank in finite exceptional groups of Lie type*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **65** (1992), 297-325, MR 1168190, Zbl 0776.20012.
- [M] G. Malle, *The maximal subgroups of ${}^2F_4(q^2)$* , J. Algebra, **139** (1991), 52-69, MR 1106340, Zbl 0725.20014.
- [P] E. Previato, *Some families of simple groups whose lattices are complemented*, Boll. U.M.I., **6** (1982), 1003-1014, MR 0683488.
- [S] R. Schmidt, *Subgroup Lattices of Groups*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994, MR 1292462, Zbl 0843.20003.

Received December 24, 2002 and revised February 24, 2003.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA PURA ED APPLICATA
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA
VIA BELZONI 7, 35131 PADOVA
ITALY
E-mail address: costantini@math.unipd.it

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA PURA ED APPLICATA
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA
VIA BELZONI 7, 35131 PADOVA
ITALY
E-mail address: zacher@math.unipd.it

