

Kuratowski's Index of a Decomposable Set

by

Arrigo CELLINA and Carlo MARICONDA

Presented by C. OLECH on January 11, 1988

Summary. We prove that Kuratowski's index α of a decomposable set is the integral of the diameter of the associated multifunction.

1. Introduction. In this paper we consider a decomposable subset S of $\mathcal{L}^1(I)$, or the set of integrable selections of a multifunction. We prove that Kuratowski's index α of the set S is the integral of the diameter of the associated multifunction. In particular, the index α of the decomposable hull of two functions f and g is $\|f-g\|_1$. This result expresses in a precise way the difference between decomposable hulls and convex hulls. From a further corollary it follows that any decomposable set S has subsets whose α equals $\lambda\alpha(S)$ for any prescribed λ in $[0, 1]$. This property is common to convex sets.

2. Notations and preliminary results. In this paper we consider a measure space (I, \mathcal{M}, μ) , where \mathcal{M} is a σ -algebra of subsets of I and μ — a positive measure; E is a separable Banach space with norm $|\dots|$. By $\mathcal{L}^1(I)$ we mean the space of Bochner integrable functions with values in E and by $\|h\|_1$ — the integral $\int_I |h| d\mu$.

DEFINITION 1. A nonempty subset $S \subset \mathcal{L}^1(I)$ is called *decomposable* if f and g in S and A in \mathcal{M} imply $f1_A + g1_{I \setminus A} \in S$.

DEFINITION 2. Let f and g in $\mathcal{L}^1(I)$. The decomposable hull of f and g , denoted by $S(f, g)$, is the set of functions $f1_A + g1_{I \setminus A}$ as A ranges in \mathcal{M} .

PROPOSITION 1. *The closure of a decomposable set is decomposable.*
For further properties of decomposable sets we refer to [5].

DEFINITION 3. Let $F: I \rightarrow 2^E$ be a multivalued function. F is called *measurable* if for each closed set C in E , $F^{-1}(C) \in \mathcal{M}$. The set of integrable selections is denoted by S_F .

The relationship between decomposable sets and selections of a multivalued function is clarified by the following statements (see for example [4]):

PROPOSITION 2. *Let S be a nonempty closed subset of $\mathcal{L}^1(I)$. Then there exists a measurable multifunction $F: I \rightarrow 2^E$, $F(w)$ nonempty and closed for every w , such that $S = S_F$, if and only if S is decomposable. Moreover, when S is bounded, there exists $h \in \mathcal{L}^1(I)$ such that, for every w in I , $|F(w)| \leq h(w)$, i.e. is F integrably bounded.*

PROPOSITION 3. *Let S be a closed, bounded, decomposable set in $\mathcal{L}^1(I)$, and $F: I \rightarrow 2^E$ be a measurable multifunction such that $S = S_F$. Then:*

- (a) *the function $w \rightarrow \text{diam}(F(w))$ is measurable;*
- (b) $\int_I \text{diam}(F(w)) d\mu = \sup\{\|f-g\|_1: f, g \in S\} = \text{diam}(S)$.

Proof. Let $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be such that, for every w , $F(w) = \text{cl}\{g_n(w)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ([4], lemma 1.1).

(a) For w in I and x in E set $\varphi(w, x) = \sup\{|x-a|: a \in F(w)\}$, i.e. $\varphi(w, x) = \sup\{|x-g_n(w)|: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We observe that φ is a measurable function in $I \times E$. In fact, for x fixed in E , the function $w \rightarrow |x-g_n(w)|$ is measurable, and so is $w \rightarrow \sup\{|x-g_n(w)|: n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \varphi(w, x)$; for w fixed in I , the function $x \rightarrow \varphi(w, x)$ is Lipschitz. The claim follows by (Theorem 6.1 of [3]). Furthermore, $\text{diam}(F(w)) = \sup\{\varphi(w, x): x \in F(w)\}$, and by ([4] Lemma 2.1), the function $w \rightarrow \text{diam}(F(w))$ is measurable.

(b) Set I_φ to be: $I_\varphi(f) = \int_I \varphi(w, f(w)) d\mu = \int_I \sup\{|g_n(w)-f(w)|: n \in \mathbb{N}\} d\mu$ and let h in $\mathcal{L}^1(I)$ be such that, for every w in I , $|F(w)| \leq h(w)$. Then I_φ is defined for every f in S . From ([4] Theorem 2.2) it follows that $\sup\{I_\varphi(f): f \in S\} = \int_I \sup\{\varphi(w, x): x \in F(w)\} d\mu$, i.e. $\sup\{\int_I \varphi(w, f(w)) d\mu: f \in S\} = \int_I \text{diam}(F(w)) d\mu$.

It is obvious that $\sup\{\|f-g\|_1: f, g \in S\} \leq \int_I \text{diam}(F(w)) d\mu$. Hence, we have to show that $\sup\{\|f-g\|_1: f, g \in S\} \geq \sup\{\int_I \varphi(w, f(w)) d\mu: f \in S\}$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, and let f^* in $\mathcal{L}^1(I)$ be such that $\sup\{\int_I \varphi(w, f(w)) d\mu: f \in S\} \leq \int_I \varphi(w, f^*(w)) d\mu + \varepsilon/3$. Let ϱ in $\mathcal{L}^1(I)$ be such that for every w in I , $\varrho(w) \neq 0$ and $\int_I \varrho(w) d\mu \leq \varepsilon/3$.

Set A_i in \mathcal{M} to be $A_i = \{w \in I: |g_i(w) - f^*(w)| \geq \sup\{|x - f^*(w)|: x \in F(w)\} - \varrho(w)\}$, i.e.

$$A_i = \{w \in I: \varphi(w, f^*(w)) - |g_i(w) - f^*(w)| \leq \varrho(w)\}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$E_1 = A_1; E_{N+1} = A_{N+1} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N A_i \right).$$

The family $(E_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a countable partition of I . Let N be such that $\int_I h 1_{\cup\{E_i: i \geq N+1\}} d\mu \leq \varepsilon/6$. Set $E_0 = \cup\{E_i: i \geq N+1\}$, and let g^* to be $g^* = f^* 1_{E_0}$

+ $\sum_1^N g_i 1_{E_i}$. Then

$$\int_I |g^* - f^*| d\mu = \int_{E_0} |g^* - f^*| d\mu + \int_{\bigcup_1^N E_i} |g^* - f^*| d\mu = \int_{\bigcup_1^N E_i} |g^* - f^*| d\mu.$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\bigcup_1^N E_i} |g^* - f^*| d\mu &\geq \int_{\bigcup_1^N E_i} (\varphi(w, f^*(w)) - \varrho(w)) d\mu \\ &\geq \int_I \varphi(w, f^*(w)) d\mu - \int_I \varrho(w) d\mu - 2 \int_{E_0} h d\mu \\ &\geq \int_I \varphi(w, f^*(w)) d\mu - \varepsilon/3 - 2\varepsilon/5 \\ &\geq \sup \left\{ \int_I \varphi(w, f(w)) d\mu : f \in S \right\} - \varepsilon/3 - \varepsilon/3 - \varepsilon/3 \\ &= \int_I \text{diam}(F(w)) d\mu - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{diam}(S) \geq \|f^* - g^*\|_1$, we have $\text{diam}(S) \geq \int_I \text{diam}(F(w)) d\mu - \varepsilon$. This proves (b). \square

We shall need the following corollary to the well-known Liapunov's theorem on the range of a nonatomic vector measure (see for example [2]).

PROPOSITION 4. In (I, \mathcal{M}, μ) let be nonatomic and let f_1, \dots, f_N be in $\mathcal{L}^1(I)$. Then there exists a family $(A(\alpha))_{\alpha \in [0,1]}$, $A(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}$, with the properties:

- (a) $A(0) = \emptyset$, $A(1) = I$ and $A(\alpha) \subset A(\beta)$ when $\alpha \leq \beta$;
- (b) for every α : $\int_{A(\alpha)} |f_i| d\mu = \alpha \int_I |f_i| d\mu$, for every i in $\{1, \dots, N\}$.

We also recall the definition to Kuratowski's index α :

DEFINITION 4. Let X be a metric space, $S \subset X$ be bounded. We set

$$\alpha(S) = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : S = \bigcup_1^N S_i \text{ and } \text{diam}(S_i) \leq \varepsilon \}.$$

In the following by S^n we will denote the set defined by $S^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \sum_i |x_i| = 1\}$. About S^n we have the following result of Luster-nik-Schnirelman-Borsuk.

THEOREM 1 [1]. In any covering M_1, \dots, M_{n+1} of S^n by $(n+1)$ closed sets, at least one set M_i must contain a pair of antipodal points.

3. Main results. Our purpose is to prove the following:

THEOREM 2. Let S be a bounded decomposable subset of $\mathcal{L}^1(I)$. Then

$$\alpha(S) = \text{diam}(S).$$

Proof. It is clear that $\alpha(S) \leq \text{diam}(S)$. To prove the opposite inequality, fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Let f and g in S be such that $\|f - g\|_1 \geq \text{diam}(S) - \varepsilon$. Since $S(f, g)$ is contained in S , it is enough to prove that $\alpha(S(f, g)) \geq \|f - g\|_1$.

(i) Consider the map

$$T: S(f, g) \rightarrow S(f, g) \text{ to be } T(f1_A + g1_{I \setminus A}) = f1_{I \setminus A} + g1_A.$$

To prove that T is well defined, we need to show that whenever $f1_A + g1_{I \setminus A} = f1_B + g1_{I \setminus B}$ for some A and B in \mathcal{M} , then $f1_{I \setminus A} + g1_A = f1_{I \setminus B} + g1_B$.

Set C to be $\{x \in I: f(x) = g(x)\}$. Remark that, for x in C , the last equality holds. Hence consider $x \notin C$. Then either $x \in A$ or $x \notin A$. In the first case, when $x \notin B$, we have $f(x) = g(x)$, which is a contradiction. So x is in B , and the claim follows. Analogously for the second case. As it is evident, the map T has the following property:

$$(1) \quad \text{for every } h = f1_A + g1_{I \setminus A} \quad \|h - T(h)\|_1 = \|f - g\|_1.$$

(ii) We wish to define a sequence of maps φ_n from S^n to $S(f, g)$ satisfying:

$$(a) \quad \varphi_n(-x) = T(\varphi_n(x));$$

$$(b) \quad \varphi_n \text{ is continuous.}$$

For this purpose consider a family $(A(\alpha))_{\alpha \in [0, 1]}$ in \mathcal{M} (existing by Proposition 4) such that

$$A(0) = \emptyset; \quad A(1) = I; \quad A(\alpha) \subset A(\beta)$$

if $\alpha \leq \beta$ and for every

$$\alpha \in [0, 1]: \quad \int_{A(\alpha)} |f| d\mu = \alpha \int_I |f| d\mu; \quad \int_{A(\alpha)} |g| d\mu = \alpha \int_I |g| d\mu.$$

For $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and $z \in S^n$, set $p_j(z) = |z_0| + \dots + |z_j|$. Given $x = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$ in S^n , set

$$N_0(x) = A(|x_0|) \text{ and } N_i(x) = A(p_i(x)) \setminus A(p_{i-1}(x)) \quad \text{for } i \geq 1.$$

Remark that the $N_i(x)$ are a partition of I and that the following properties hold:

$$\text{for every } i, \quad \int_{N_i(x)} |f| d\mu = |x_i| \int_I |f| d\mu, \quad \int_{N_i(x)} |g| d\mu = |x_i| \int_I |g| d\mu.$$

For the same x consider also the index sets $I_x^+ = \{i: x_i > 0\}$ and $I_x^- = \{i: x_i < 0\}$. Set

$$\varphi_n: S^n \rightarrow S(f, g) \text{ to be } \varphi_n(x) = \sum_{i \in I_x^+} f1_{N_i(x)} + \sum_{i \in I_x^-} g1_{N_i(x)}.$$

(iii) We claim that (a) and (b) above hold.

(a). Since $I_x^+ = I_x^-$ and $I_{-x}^- = I_x^+$, it is clear that

$$\varphi_n(-x) = \sum_{i \in I_x^-} f 1_{N_i(x)} + \sum_{i \in I_x^+} g 1_{N_i(x)} = T\left(\sum_{i \in I_x^+} f 1_{N_i(x)} + \sum_{i \in I_x^-} g 1_{N_i(x)}\right) = T(\varphi_n(x)).$$

(b). Fix x in S^n and $\varepsilon > 0$. Set ϱ , ε' and δ to be

$$\varrho = \min\{|x_i|: x_i \neq 0\}, \quad \varepsilon' = \min\{\varrho, \varepsilon/(\|f\|_1 + \|g\|_1)\}, \quad \delta = \varepsilon'/2(n+1)^2.$$

Let y in S^n be such that $|x_i - y_i| \leq \delta$ for every i . Remark then that $x_i \neq 0$ implies $\text{sgn}(y_i) = \text{sgn}(x_i)$; hence

$$(2) \quad |x_i - y_i| = \|x_i\| - |y_i|$$

for every i . Set

$$J^+ = \{i: x_i = 0 \text{ and } y_i > 0\}; \quad J^- = \{i: x_i = 0 \text{ and } y_i < 0\}.$$

From (2), $I_y^+ = I_x^+ \cup J^+$ and $I_y^- = I_x^- \cup J^-$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n(y) - \varphi_n(x) &= \sum_{i \in I_x^+} (f 1_{N_i(y)} - f 1_{N_i(x)}) + \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \in I_x^-} (g 1_{N_i(y)} - g 1_{N_i(x)}) + \sum_{i \in J^+} f 1_{N_i(y)} + \sum_{i \in J^-} g 1_{N_i(y)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let i be any index in $\{1, \dots, n\}$. As a consequence of (2) and of the choice of δ we have:

$$p_{i-1}(x) - \varepsilon'/2(n+1) \leq p_{i-1}(y) \quad \text{and} \quad p_i(y) \leq p_i(x) + \varepsilon'/2(n+1).$$

It follows that

$$N_i(y) = A(p_i(y)) \setminus A(p_{i-1}(y)) \subset A(p_i(x) + \varepsilon'/2(n+1)) \setminus A(p_{i-1}(x) - \varepsilon'/2(n+1)).$$

Hence

$$\|f 1_{N_i(y)} - f 1_{N_i(x)}\|_1 \leq 2\varepsilon' \|f\|_1 / 2(n+1) = \varepsilon' \|f\|_1 / (n+1).$$

Similarly

$$\|g 1_{N_i(y)} - g 1_{N_i(x)}\|_1 \leq \|g\|_1 \varepsilon' / (n+1).$$

Furthermore, it is clear that, if $x_i = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f 1_{N_i(y)}\|_1 &= |y_i| \|f\|_1 \leq \delta \|f\|_1 = \|f\|_1 \varepsilon' / 2(n+1)^2 \leq \|f\|_1 \varepsilon' / (n+1), \\ &\quad \text{and} \quad \|g 1_{N_i(y)}\|_1 \leq \|g\|_1 \varepsilon' / (n+1). \end{aligned}$$

From these inequalities it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_n(y) - \varphi_n(x)\|_1 &\leq [(n+1)\|f\|_1 \varepsilon' / (n+1)] + [(n+1)\|g\|_1 \varepsilon' / (n+1)] \\ &= \varepsilon'(\|f\|_1 + \|g\|_1) \leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

hence our claim is proved. □

(iv) To conclude the proof, suppose that there exists a finite covering of $S(f, g)$ by sets (that we can assume to be closed) M_1, \dots, M_{n+1} , having diameter strictly less than $\|f-g\|_1$. Consider φ_n . We have:

$$S^n = \varphi_n^{-1}(S(f, g)) = \varphi_n^{-1}(M_1) \cup \dots \cup \varphi_n^{-1}(M_{n+1}).$$

Since φ_n is continuous, each $\varphi_n^{-1}(M_i)$ is a closed subset of S^n . By Theorem 1, there exist x and i such that

$$x \in \varphi_n^{-1}(M_i) \text{ and } -x \in \varphi_n^{-1}(M_i) \quad \text{i.e. } \varphi_n(x) \in M_i \text{ and } \varphi_n(-x) \in M_i.$$

By (a) of (iii), $\varphi_n(-x) = T(\varphi_n(x))$ so that $\varphi_n(x) \in M_i$ and $T(\varphi_n(x)) \in M_i$. Finally, from (1), $\|\varphi_n(x) - T(\varphi_n(x))\|_1 = \|f-g\|_1$, thus contradicting the assumptions on M_i . \square

COROLLARY 1. Let $F: I \rightarrow 2^E$ be a nonempty valued integrably bounded measurable multifunction with closed values. Let S_F in $\mathcal{L}^1(I)$ be the set of integrable selection of F . Then

$$\alpha(S_F) = \int_I \text{diam}(F(w)) d\mu.$$

COROLLARY 2. Let $S \subset \mathcal{L}^1(I)$ be a bounded decomposable set. Then there exists a family $(S(\lambda))_{\lambda \in [0,1]}$ of bounded decomposable subsets of $\mathcal{L}^1(I)$ such that

- (a) $S(0) = \{f^*\}$, $S(1) = S$ and $S(\alpha) \subset S(\beta)$ when $\alpha \leq \beta$
- (b) for every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$: $\alpha(S(\lambda)) = \lambda\alpha(S)$.

Proof. Let F be such that $\text{cl } S = S_F$ and let $(A(\lambda))_\lambda$, so that (a) and (b) of Proposition 4 hold with $f_i = \text{diam } F$. Consider the set of restrictions to $A(\lambda)$, denoted by $S|_{A(\lambda)}$, a subset of $\mathcal{L}^1(A(\lambda))$. We claim that $\alpha(S|_{A(\lambda)}) = \lambda\alpha(S)$. In fact, it is easy to see that $\text{cl}(S|_{A(\lambda)}) = (\text{cl}(S))|_{A(\lambda)}$. Hence $\alpha(S|_{A(\lambda)}) = \alpha(\text{cl}(S|_{A(\lambda)})) = \alpha(\text{cl}(S)|_{A(\lambda)}) = \int_{A(\lambda)} \text{diam}(F(w)) d\mu = \lambda\alpha(S)$. Fix f^* in S . Let $S(\lambda)$ be $S(\lambda) = S|_{A(\lambda)} + f^* 1_{I \setminus A(\lambda)}$. Clearly, $S(\lambda)$ is a decomposable subset of S so that (a) and (b) hold. \square

INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL FOR ADVANCED STUDIES (SISSA), STR. COSTIERA 11, 34014 TRIESTE, ITALY

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Dugundji, A. Granas, *Fixed point theory*, Vol. 1, Monografie Matematyczne 61, PWN, Warszawa, 1982.
- [2] A. Fryszkowski, *Continuous selections for a class of non convex multivalued maps*, Studia Math., 76 (1983), 163–174.
- [3] C. J. Himmelberg, *Measurable relations*, Fundamenta Mathematicae, 87 (1975), 53–72.

[4] F. Hiai, H. Umegaki, *Integrals, conditional expectations, and martingales of multivalued functions*, J. Multivariate Anal., 7 (1977), 149–182.

[5] C. Olech, *Decomposability as a substitute for convexity*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1091, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1984), 193–205.

А. Челлина, К. Мариконда, Индекс Куратовского разлагаемого множества

В настоящей статье доказывается, что индекс альфа разлагаемого множества Куратовского является интегралом диаметра ассоциированной мультифункции.

