Appropriate exercise prescription in primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease: why this skill remains to be improved among clinicians and healthcare professionals.

A call for action from the EXPERT* Network

*EXPERT stands for 'EXercise Prescription in Everyday practice & Rehabilitative Training', this working group is endorsed by the European Association of Preventive Cardiology (EAPC)

Dominique Hansen, PhD, FESC^{a,b}; Karin Coninx, PhD^c; Paul Beckers, PT, PhD, EFESC^d; Véronique Cornelissen, PhD^e; Evangelia Kouidi, MD, PhD^f; Daniel Neunhauserer, MD^g; Josef Niebauer, MD, PhD^h; Martijn A. Spruit, PhD, MBA, PT, FERSⁱ; Tim Takken, PhD^j; Paul Dendale, MD, PhD^{a,b}

^aHeart Centre Hasselt, Jessa Hospital, Hasselt, Belgium

^bUHasselt, BIOMED (Biomedical Research Institute) and REVAL (Rehabilitation Research Centre), Hasselt University, Belgium

^cUHasselt, Human-Computer Interaction and eHealth, Faculty of Sciences, Hasselt University, Belgium

^dDepartment of Rehabilitation Sciences and Physiotherapy, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Antwerp

^eResearch group of Cardiovascular Rehabilitation, Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, Faculty of Kinesiology and Rehabilitation Sciences, KU Leuven, and Department Rehabilitation Sciences, University Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

Laboratory of Sports Medicine, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

^gSport and Exercise Medicine Division, Department of Medicine, University of Padova, Padova, Italy

^hInstitute of Sports Medicine, Prevention and Rehabilitation, Research Institute of Molecular Sports Medicine and Rehabilitation, Paracelsus Medical University Salzburg, Rehab-Center Salzburg, Ludwig Boltzmann Institute for digital Health and Prevention, Salzburg, Austria

ⁱDept. of Research & Education; CIRO+, centre of expertise for chronic organ failure, Horn / Department of Respiratory Medicine, Maastricht University Medical Centre, NUTRIM School of Nutrition and Translational Research in Metabolism, Maastricht, The Netherlands

^jDivision of Pediatrics, Child Development & Exercise Center, Wilhelmina Children's Hospital, UMC Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Grant support: The realisation of the proof of concept of the EXPERT tool was supported by an UHasselt IOF PoC project. This work was supported by the Flemish Research Fund (FWO, FWO-ICA: G0F4220N).

Conflicts of interest: The Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Authorship: DH contributed to the conception or design of the work. DH and KC drafted the manuscript. PB, VC, EK, DN, JN, MS, TT, and PD critically revised the manuscript. All gave final approval and agree to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy. DH concepted the idea of the paper. All authors were involved in literature review, writing, and critical review/revision of the manuscript.

On behalf of the EXPERT Network working group, which also includes:

Birna Bjarnason-Wehrens, PhD; Massimo F. Piepoli, MD, PhD; Bernhard Rauch, MD, FESC; Heinz Völler, MD, PhD; Ugo Corrà, MD; Esteban Garcia-Porrero, MD; Jean-Paul Schmid, MD, FESC; Michel Lamotte, PhD; Patrick Doherty, PhD; Rona Reibis, MD; Constantinos H. Davos, MD, PhD, FESC; Luc Vanhees, PhD, FESC; Frank Edelmann, MD; Olga Barna, MD; Christoph Stettler, MD; Cajsa Tonoli, PhD; Eugenio Greco, MD, PhD, FESC; Roberto Pedretti, MD; Ana Abreu, MD; Marco Ambrosetti, MD; Simona Sarzi Braga, MD; Maurizio Bussotti, MD; Pompilio Faggiano, MD; Carlo Vigorito, MD, PhD; Bernhard Schwaab, MD, Wim Ramakers, MSc.

Paper word count: 2047

Abstract

In Europe alone, on a yearly basis millions of people need an appropriate exercise prescription to prevent the occurrence or progression of cardiovascular disease (CVD). A general exercise recommendation can be provided to these individuals (at least 150 min of moderate-intensity endurance exercise, spread over 3-5 days/week, complemented by dynamic moderate-intensity resistance exercise 2 days/week). However, recent evidence shows that this one size does not fit all and that individual adjustments should be made according to the patient's underlying disease(s), risk profile and individual needs, to maximise clinical benefits of exercise. In this paper, we 1. argue that this general exercise prescription simply provided to all patients with CVD, or elevated risk for CVD, is insufficient for optimal CVD prevention, and 2. show that clinicians and healthcare professionals perform heterogeneously when asked to adjust exercise characteristics (e.g. intensity, volume and type) according to the patient's condition, hereby leading to suboptimal CVD risk factor control. Since exercise training is a class 1A intervention in the primary and secondary prevention of CVD, the awareness of the need to improve exercise prescription has to be raised among clinicians and healthcare professionals, if optimized prevention of CVD is ambitioned.

Key words: cardiovascular risk, exercise training, rehabilitation, training adaptation

Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases (CVD) remain the most important causes of premature death across the globe.¹ Data from the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) show that many individuals are at high risk of CVD or already suffer from a CVD.² The European prevalence rates for arterial hypertension, obesity, hyperlipidaemia, and diabetes in adults without established CVD are 25%, 22.5%, >50%, and 6.1% respectively.² Moreover, around 80% of the global population does not achieve a sufficient amount of physical activity (PA).³ Hence, this leads to a huge prevalence and incidence of CVD: in 2019, Europe counted 113 million people with CVD, and another 12.6 million individuals experienced CVD for the first time.² Collectively, this accounts for an estimated 85 million Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALYs) in Europe. The rise of CVD is not only an issue in Western countries/regions, since increments in CVD prevalence and incidence have been noticed in the South and East, as well.¹

Physical activity and exercise are key in the primary and secondary prevention of CVD.⁴⁻⁶ PA is defined as any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that results in an increase of energy expenditure.⁷ Physical exercise or exercise training is PA that is structured, repetitive, and purposeful to improve or maintain one or more components of physical fitness.⁷ Exercise training or exercise-based rehabilitation programs lead to significant improvements in health-related physical fitness, CVD risk factor control, and quality of life, in patients with different chronic CVDs, further leading to reduced CV event rates, hospitalisations and/or mortality during follow-up.^{4,8-11} Hence, exercise training is therefore classified as an intervention with the highest level of evidence for the treatment <u>and prevention</u> of CVD by numerous international position statements and guidelines.⁴⁻⁷ Consequently, millions of individuals annually would benefit from an individualised exercise prescription that effectively prevents or attenuates the progression of CVD. How well clinicians (medical doctors) and healthcare professionals (e.g. physiotherapists, clinical exercise physiologists, (cardiac) nurses) are able to prescribe such tailored exercise programs has been a topic of investigation in the last decade.¹²⁻

Definitions of primary and secondary prevention of CVD

Throughout this paper, the primary prevention of CVD is defined as the prevention of CVD (e.g. acute coronary syndrome, stroke, peripheral arterial disease, etc.) in persons with significantly elevated risk to develop CVD (e.g. persons with obesity, diabetes, hypertension, or dyslipidaemia). Hence, these are not individuals with a fully negative CVD risk profile ('healthy' persons). The secondary prevention of CVD is defined as the prevention of recurrent or additional CVD (e.g. acute coronary syndrome, restenosis, stroke, etc.) in persons with established CVD (e.g. persons with acute coronary syndrome, critical coronary stenosis, stroke, peripheral arterial disease, etc.).

How accurately do clinicians and healthcare professionals prescribe exercise in the primary and secondary prevention of CVD?

In general, in primary and secondary prevention of CVD at least 150 minutes of low-to-moderate-intensity endurance exercise training per week should be performed, ideally spread over 3-5 days per week, as part of a healthy lifestyle. An energy expenditure of 1000-2000 kcal per week should be achieved in this way, and endurance exercise training should be complemented by dynamic resistance exercise training two times per week on non-consecutive days at a moderate intensity.⁵⁻⁷

From such recommendations, it may seem that prescribing exercise to patients with CVD, or an <u>elevated risk</u>, is easy and straightforward, and always has the same content, so it can be done by any clinician or healthcare professional, regardless of prior (specific) training/education.

However, the reality is very different and, in line with pharmacological treatment, person-tailored exercise prescriptions are needed to target a specific risk factor or manage a specific CVD. For example, to optimise glycaemic control (in type 2 diabetes) or maximally reduce adipose tissue mass (in persons with obesity) would require different exercise prescriptions. Data show that clinicians and healthcare professionals perform poorly, when it comes to tailoring the exercise prescription according to the goal of the exercise intervention (which can, at least in part, be affected by the patient's phenotype, underlying diseases and co-morbidities and individual goals (e.g. CVD risk factors)). 12-14

For example, 53 clinicians and healthcare professionals from Belgium, the Netherlands, Germany, France, the United Kingdom, Italy, Spain, Austria and Portugal, including cardiologists (68%), physiotherapists (11%), cardiovascular rehabilitation scientists (7%), physiatrists (6%), and sports physicians, general practitioners, rehabilitation physicians and exercise physiologists (2% in each category), were requested to formulate exercise prescriptions for five standardised patient cases (see Table 1). These were cases in primary (e.g. case 2) and secondary (e.g. cases 1, 3, 4, and 5) prevention of CVD. The participants were quite experienced, based on their reported years of experience (median 10 (interquartile range (IQR) 15) years). However, none of the participants had any experience with the use of the EXPERT tool or did receive any training on exercise prescriptions as formulated in the EXPERT tool. They were requested to define the exercise intensity, session duration, weekly exercise frequency, minimal program duration and exercise type (e.g. additional resistance training), considering all CVD risk factors, including medication intake and exercise capacity (peak oxygen uptake (VO_{2peak})). Figure 1 shows that the totally prescribed exercise volume ranged from 300 up to 9000 peakeffort training minutes, which is a significant variance. ¹² Similar patient cases were used in a study that involved 26 primary care physicians (from Italy)¹³ and 47 physiotherapists (from Belgium),¹⁴ with identical findings/outcomes (very large inter-clinician variances). This also highlights the low level of agreement (76.4±26.1, on a maximal score of 180) between physiotherapist's exercise prescriptions and European (ESC/EAPC) recommendations, even though >90% of the participants agreed on the

declaration that they 'fully understand how to prescribe exercise in accordance with the current CV guidelines'. Hence, a gap exists between the perceptions of clinicians and healthcare professionals on their exercise prescription skills and their actual prescription skills that are not fully in line with the contemporary recommendations.

This series of surveys indicates that state-of-the-art exercise prescription to patients with CVD, or an elevated CVD risk, is far from established and that clinicians and healthcare professionals need guidance that goes beyond existing guidelines and position statements (i.e. how to tailor exercise interventions based on the patient's CVD, risk profile, physical fitness, pharmacological treatment and individual needs).

It can, however, be argued that such tailoring is unnecessary because evidence shows that simply increasing the level of PA already elicits mortality-reducing effects.

Just getting people physically active helps to prevent premature death; is tailoring of exercise prescription for primary and secondary prevention of CVD then needed?

In 90,211 participants without prior or concurrent CVD in the UK Biobank cohort, the hazard ratios (HRs) for CVD were decreased in a dose-response manner by PA (most vs. least active individuals for moderate-intensity PA: 0.46; for vigorous-intensity PA: 0.41; and for total volume of PA: 0.47. Similar dose-response relations have been found between the amount of PA and risk for premature death or incident CVD in many other large studies (see Figure 2). Additionally, clinical trials also revealed that engagement in short bouts (15-30 min) of high-intensity exercise, could elicit significant mortality reductions. Hence, getting people active would be a great success in terms of mortality reductions. But plenty of evidence has been delivered in the last decade(s) showing that a one-size-fits-all approach in exercise prescription (every patient with CVD, or an elevated CVD risk, should execute at least 150 minutes of low-to-moderate-intensity endurance exercise training per week, ideally spread over 3-5 days per week, complemented by dynamic resistance exercise training two times per week at a moderate intensity) is not effective enough at the individual level.

<u>For example</u>, in healthy individuals, it already has been revealed that one in five adults following general PA guidelines do not demonstrate any improvement in cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF).²⁴ This non-response to exercise training was completely abolished by increasing the dose of exercise,²⁵ as an example of tailored exercise prescription to increase its efficacy.

Similar findings have been reported in CVD patients (secondary prevention): the volume of exercise is the key driver towards improvements in CRF and thus should be prescribed appropriately.²⁶⁻²⁸ Moreover, in patients with CVD, or with elevated CVD (primary and secondary prevention), it is essential to optimise all CV risk factors to enhance long-term outcomes (hospitalisations and mortality),⁴ next to improvements in CRF. In these patients the provision of PA trackers (which provide

general (one-size-fits-all) exercise advice) leads to significant increments in PA among people with CVD.¹⁹ Yet, despite the significantly positive impact on PA, no effect was found on arterial blood pressure, high-density and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol level, and body weight.²⁹ Another meta-analysis confirmed that PA tracker interventions failed to affect most of the CVD risk factors, and the remaining factors to only a minor extent (waist circumference, LDL cholesterol and systolic blood pressure).³⁰ Moreover, exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation without proper tailoring of exercise characteristics is less effective to optimally improve CVD risk and CRF in patients with CVD (secondary prevention).^{31,32} Indeed, in people with elevated CVD risk (primary prevention), moderate-intensity PA, equivalent to 40 minutes of walking per day, attenuates but does not completely offset CVD risk among 29,333 participants.³³

What clinical benefits do we miss <u>in primary and secondary prevention of CVD</u> if we do not tailor the exercise prescription appropriately?

Table 2 presents the additional clinical benefits as a result of tailoring exercise prescription for various CVD risk factors, when compared with the one-size-fits-all approach (i.e. 150 minutes of low-tomoderate-intensity endurance exercise training per week, spread over 3-5 days per week, complemented by dynamic resistance exercise training two times per week at a moderate intensity). From these data, it can be observed that depending on which CVD risk factor is being targeted, very different exercise modalities may require significant adjustment. For example, if significant and clinically meaningful fat mass reduction is ambitioned in a person with obesity, the one-size-fits-all exercise prescription should be adapted towards a high-volume aerobic exercise prescription (250-420 min/week of aerobic exercise then become the target).41 Such adaptation leads to a significantly greater weight loss (-8.2+-4 vs. -3.7+-5 kg; P<0.001), fat mass loss (-5.9+-4 vs. -2.8+-3 kg; P<0.001) and waist circumference reduction (-7+-5 vs. -5+-5 cm; P=0.02).⁴² Moreover, if blood pressure reduction is one of the aims of the exercise intervention, the one-size-fits-all exercise prescription may not be sufficiently effective, and patients with hypertension should then be exposed to an appropriate exercise session duration (>30 min/session)⁴⁵, an appropriate intensity of endurance exercise (at least moderate-intense)⁴⁵, and an appropriate number of sets of resistance exercise (≥8 sets).⁴⁶ These adaptations lead to significant greater reduction in systolic blood pressure. 45,46 How to adapt the onesize-fits-all exercise prescription in case of hyperglycaemia, dyslipidaemia, a lowered endurance capacity and muscle strength are explained as well in Table 2.

Hence, this requires thorough education of clinicians and healthcare professionals and also detailed examination of the patient's clinical status (e.g. CVD risk factors and components of physical fitness).

Proposal of a staged approach for optimized CVD risk factor control in primary and secondary prevention of CVD

Because an increment in PA leads to mortality reductions, getting people from a sedentary lifestyle to an active lifestyle is a major step forward and should always be ambitioned. However, when it comes to maximally improving CRF and the entire CVD risk profile, and in particular in people with elevated CVD risk or established CVD, the general 'one-size-fits-all' PA intervention is insufficiently effective. Therefore, a general PA intervention is well-suited to initiate a first engagement in PA (first stage), but to maximise the impact of exercise intervention on the entire CVD profile, tailoring the exercise prescription becomes highly relevant (second stage, see Figure 3).

How to overcome the clinician's and healthcare professional's struggle with tailoring an exercise prescription?

There have been previous attempts to improve exercise prescription skills by clinicians and healthcare professionals. For example, it has been tested whether a computerized decision support (CDS) system, based on guidelines, can improve the personalisation of exercise prescriptions in ten Dutch cardiac rehabilitation centres.³⁴ Despite the introduction of such a CDS system, it did not improve the overall concordance of actual cardiac rehabilitation prescription by healthcare professionals to the personalised training prescription (from 60% to 62%, P=0.82).

The EXPERT (EXercise Prescription in Everyday practice & Rehabilitative Training) tool (an online training and CDS system, see Figure 4) is a potent method to encourage standardisation of exercise prescription and enhance the implementation of exercise recommendations into practice, meant for all clinicians and healthcare professionals involved in primary and secondary prevention of CVD. 35,36 The EXPERT tool was developed by Hasselt university, Belgium, by input provided by the CVD EXPERT Network group (involving >30 CV rehabilitation experts out of >10 European countries), and in close collaboration with the EAPC. In the EXPERT tool, European (ESC/EAPC) exercise training recommendations and safety precautions are available for ten CVDs (coronary artery disease (with or without percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass graft surgery), heart failure, cardiomyopathy, intermittent claudication, implantable cardioverter defibrillator or pacemaker, ventricular assist devices, heart transplantation, valve disease or surgery, congenital heart disease, pulmonary arterial hypertension, and in-hospital phase rehabilitation) five CVD risk factors (obesity, diabetes (type 1 and 2), hypertension, dyslipidaemia), and three common chronic non-CV conditions (sarcopenia, chronic pulmonary disease, renal failure). The tool also incorporates a training centre where users can learn how to prescribe exercise to patients with CVD (risk) by solving imaginary patient cases. In the decision support system, the user can activate these CVD's or risk factors, leading to the corresponding exercise prescriptions. In addition, from fill out of the patient's body height and weight,

arterial blood pressure, blood lipid profile, fasting glycaemia, resting and peak heart rate during exercise testing, and peak oxygen uptake capacity, these CVD risk factors are automatically activated when certain thresholds are exceeded (leading to the corresponding exercise prescriptions). Clinicians or healthcare professionals can also activate certain medications with significant repercussions for exercise prescription and performance (i.e. beta-blockers, statins, exogenous insulin administration, meglitinide/sulfonylurea) and adverse events during exercise testing (i.e. myocardial ischemia, exercise-induced atrial fibrillation, exercise-induced ventricular tachycardia, implantable cardioverterdefibrillator firing threshold), for further refinement of exercise prescription. Next to exercise training recommendations, the EXPERT tool also mentions contraindications for certain types of exercise, as well as which safety precautions should be taken into account, based on the patient's profile. These safety advices include which symptoms during exercise training may be anticipated and how to monitor these, and how to adapt training modalities to prevent eliciting/worsening in these symptoms. In the EXPERT training centre (called the EXPERT Training tool), numerous patient cases (n>50) from easy to difficult) are available. By using this part of the tool, users can train and optimize their exercise prescription skills. The clinician or healthcare professional consults the patient's characteristics. Only after fill out of his/her own exercise prescription, he/she gets immediate feedback in the tool by showing the recommendations from the EXPERT algorithm. Hence, the targets of the use of the EXPERT tool are a greater clinical effectiveness of CV rehabilitation, enhanced medical safety of CV rehabilitation, and improved adherence to, or getting to know better, the European exercise prescription recommendations for CVD (risk), leading to lower variance in exercise prescription between different clinicians and healthcare professionals. The EXPERT Training is tool is now available on https://www.escardio.org/Education/Practice-Tools/CVD-prevention-toolbox/expert-tool. The clinical decision support system is not commercially available, but is undergoing clinical validation in large-scale studies.

In a recent trial, 23 Belgian physiotherapists first prescribed exercise intensity, frequency, session duration, program duration and exercise type (endurance and resistance training) for the same three patient cases on secondary prevention of CVD; the agreement with ESC/EAPC recommendations (based on a maximal agreement score of 60/per case) was assessed.³⁷ Next, they completed a one-month digital training by using the EXPERT training tool and completed 31±13 (out of 45 available) training cases. Thereafter, the same three patient cases as at entry of study were filled out again, with re-assessment of level of agreement with ESC/EAPC recommendations. It was found that after using the EXPERT training tool the physiotherapists prescribed significantly greater exercise frequencies, program durations and total exercise volumes in all three patient cases (p<0.05). In case 1, 2 and 3, the agreement score (with ESC/EAPC recommendations) increased from 29±9 (out of 60) to 41±9, from 28±9 to 41±10, and from 34±7 to 45±8, respectively (p<0.001). Hence, the total agreement score

increased from 91±17 to 127±19 (out of 180; improvement by +44±32%, p<0.001) (see Figure 5). This study shows that exercise prescriptions to patients with CVD, generated by healthcare professionals, can be significantly improved by advanced education and specific training, which should already start in the respective university courses.³⁷ In fact, how to properly prescribe PA and exercise (also in the primary and secondary prevention of CVD) should be delivered significantly better in the teaching curricula of medicine, physiotherapy, nursing, clinical exercise physiology, etc. to be able to deliver appropriate PA and exercise prescription to patients with CVD, or elevated risk for CVD, in the near future.³⁸⁻⁴⁰

<u>In final, recommended actions which will assist to achieve tailored exercise prescriptions in the primary</u> and secondary prevention of CVD are provided in Table 3.

Conclusion

Many people need an individualised exercise prescription because of an increased CVD risk or established CVD. Even though exercise training has the highest level of evidence in the <u>primary and secondary</u> prevention of premature CVD morbidity and mortality, many clinicians and healthcare professionals did never acquire the skills needed to maximally tailor this potent therapeutic option. This likely leads to suboptimal prevention by exercise training. The EXPERT tool has been shown to aid in alleviating this deficit.

References

- https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/the-top-10-causes-of-death. Consulted in April 2023.
- 2. https://www.flipsnack.com/escardio/esc-cardiovascular-realities-2022/full-view.html. Consulted in April 2023.
- 3. Garcia-Hermoso A, López-Gil JF, Ramírez-Vélez R, Alonso-Martínez AM, Izquierdo M, Ezzatvar Y. Adherence to aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities guidelines: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 3.3 million participants across 32 countries. *Br J Sports Med* 2022 Nov 23:bjsports-2022-106189. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2022-106189. Epub ahead of print.
- 4. Visseren FLJ, Mach F, Smulders YM, Carballo D, Koskinas KC, Bäck M, et al. 2021 ESC Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice. *Eur Heart J* 2021;42:3227-337.
- 5. Ambrosetti M, Abreu A, Corrà U, Davos CH, Hansen D, Frederix I, et al. Secondary prevention through comprehensive cardiovascular rehabilitation: From knowledge to implementation. 2020 update. A position paper from the Secondary Prevention and Rehabilitation Section of the European Association of Preventive Cardiology. Eur J Prev Cardiol 2020 Apr 7:2047487320913379.
- 6. Eckel RH, Jakicic JM, Ard JD, de Jesus JM, Houston Miller N, Hubbard VS, et al. 2013 AHA/ACC guideline on lifestyle management to reduce cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014;63:2960-84.
- 7. Pelliccia A, Sharma S, Gati S, Bäck M, Börjesson M, Caselli S, et al. 2020 ESC Guidelines on sports cardiology and exercise in patients with cardiovascular disease. *Eur Heart J* 2021;42:17-96.
- 8. Rauch B, Davos CH, Doherty P, Saure D, Metzendorf MI, Salzwedel A, et al. The prognostic effect of cardiac rehabilitation in the era of acute revascularisation and statin therapy: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized and non-randomized studies The Cardiac Rehabilitation Outcome Study (CROS). *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2016;23:1914-39.
- 9. Lewinter C, Doherty P, Gale CP, Crouch S, Stirk L, Lewin RJ, et al. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation in patients with heart failure: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials between 1999 and 2013. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2015;22:1504-12.
- 10. Sibilitz KL, Berg SK, Tang LH, Risom SS, Gluud C, Lindschou J, et al. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation for adults after heart valve surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016;3:CD010876.
- 11. Lane R, Ellis B, Watson L, Leng GC. Exercise for intermittent claudication. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014;7:CD000990.
- 12. Hansen D, Rovelo Ruiz G, Doherty P, Iliou MC, Vromen T, Hinton S, et al. Do clinicians prescribe exercise similarly in patients with different cardiovascular diseases? Findings from the EAPC EXPERT working group survey. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2018;25:682-91.

- 13. Foccardi G, Hansen D, Quinto G, Favero C, Coninx K, Ruiz GR, et al. How do General Practitioners assess physical activity and prescribe exercise in patients with different cardiovascular diseases? An Italian pilot study. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2020 May 16:2047487320925221. doi: 10.1177/2047487320925221. Epub ahead of print.
- 14. Marinus N, Cornelissen V, Meesen R, et al. Are exercise prescriptions for patients with cardiometabolic disease, made by physiotherapists, in agreement with international recommendations? Eur J Cardiovac Nurs 2023; in press.
- 15. Hansen D, Niebauer J, Cornelissen V, Barna O, Neunhäuserer D, Stettler C, et al. Exercise Prescription in Patients with Different Combinations of Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors: A Consensus Statement from the EXPERT Working Group. *Sports Med* 2018;48:1781-97.
- 16. Ramakrishnan R, Doherty A, Smith-Byrne K, Rahimi K, Bennett D, Woodward M, et al. Accelerometer measured physical activity and the incidence of cardiovascular disease: Evidence from the UK Biobank cohort study. *PLoS Med* 2021;18:e1003487.
- 17. Jefferis BJ, Parsons TJ, Sartini C, Ash S, Lennon LT, Papacosta O, et al. Objectively measured physical activity, sedentary behaviour and all-cause mortality in older men: does volume of activity matter more than pattern of accumulation? *Br J Sports Med*.2019;53:1013-20.
- 18. Yerramalla MS, McGregor DE, van Hees VT, Fayosse A, Dugravot A, Tabak AG, et al. Association of daily composition of physical activity and sedentary behaviour with incidence of cardiovascular disease in older adults. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2021;18:83.
- 19. Jeong SW, Kim SH, Kang SH, Kim HJ, Yoon CH, Youn TJ, et al. Mortality reduction with physical activity in patients with and without cardiovascular disease. *Eur Heart J* 2019;40:3547-55.
- 20. Stamatakis E, Ahmadi MN, Gill JMR, Thøgersen-Ntoumani C, Gibala MJ, Doherty A, et al. Association of wearable device-measured vigorous intermittent lifestyle physical activity with mortality. *Nat Med* 2022 Dec 8. doi: 10.1038/s41591-022-02100-x. Epub ahead of print.
- 21. Ding D, Van Buskirk J, Nguyen B, Stamatakis E, Elbarbary M, Veronese N, et al. Physical activity, diet quality and all-cause cardiovascular disease and cancer mortality: a prospective study of 346 627 UK Biobank participants. *Br J Sports Med* 2022 Jul 10:bjsports-2021-105195.
- 22. Paluch AE, Bajpai S, Bassett DR, Carnethon MR, Ekelund U, Evenson KR, et al. Daily steps and all-cause mortality: a meta-analysis of 15 international cohorts. *Lancet Public Health* 2022;7:e219-28.
- 23. Garcia L, Pearce M, Abbas A, Mok A, Strain T, Ali S, et al. Non-occupational physical activity and risk of cardiovascular disease, cancer and mortality outcomes: a dose-response meta-analysis of large prospective studies. *Br J Sports Med* 2023 Feb 28:bjsports-2022-105669. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2022-105669. Epub ahead of print.

- 24. Timmons JA, Knudsen S, Rankinen T, Koch LG, Sarzynski M, Jensen T, et al. Using molecular classification to predict gains in maximal aerobic capacity following endurance exercise training in humans. *J Appl Physiol* 2010;108:1487-96.
- 25. Montero D, Lundby C. Refuting the myth of non-response to exercise training: 'non-responders' do respond to higher dose of training. *J Physiol* 2017;595:3377-87.
- 26. Vromen T, Kraal JJ, Kuiper J, Spee RF, Peek N, Kemps HM. The influence of training characteristics on the effect of aerobic exercise training in patients with chronic heart failure: a meta-regression analysis. *Int J Cardiol* 2016;208:120-7.
- 27. Kraal JJ, Vromen T, Spee R, Kemps HMC, Peek N. The influence of training characteristics on the effect of exercise training in patients with coronary artery disease: systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *Int J Cardiol* 2017;245:52-8.
- 28. Hansen D, Abreu A, Ambrosetti M, Cornelissen V, Gevaert A, Kemps H, et al. Exercise intensity assessment and prescription in cardiovascular rehabilitation and beyond: why and how: a position statement from the Secondary Prevention and Rehabilitation Section of the European Association of Preventive Cardiology. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2022;29:230-45.
- 29. Hodkinson A, Kontopantelis E, Adeniji C, van Marwijk H, McMillian B, Bower P, et al. Interventions
 Using Wearable Physical Activity Trackers Among Adults With Cardiometabolic Conditions: A
 Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw Open* 2021;4:e2116382.
- 30. Franssen WMA, Franssen GHLM, Spaas J, Solmi F, Eijnde BO. Can consumer wearable activity tracker-based interventions improve physical activity and cardiometabolic health in patients with chronic diseases? A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2020;17:57.
- 31. Silva V, Matos Vilela E, Campos L, Miranda F, Torres S, João A, et al. Suboptimal control of cardiovascular risk factors in myocardial infarction survivors in a cardiac rehabilitation program. *Rev Port Cardiol* 2021;40:911-20.
- 32. Nichols S, Taylor C, Goodman T, Page R, Kallvikbacka-Bennett A, Nation F, et al. Routine exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation does not increase aerobic fitness: A CARE CR study. *Int J Cardiol* 2020;305:25-34.
- 33. Fortuin-de Smidt MC, Sewe MO, Lassale C, Weiderpass E, Andersson J, Huerta JM, et al. Physical activity attenuates but does not eliminate coronary heart disease risk amongst adults with risk factors: EPIC-CVD case-cohort study. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2022;29:1618-29.
- 34. Vromen T, Peek NB, Abu-Hanna A, Abu-Hanna A, Kornaat M, Kemps HM. A computerized decision support system did not improve personalization of exercise based cardiac rehabilitation according to the latest recommendations. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2021;28:572-80.
- 35. Hansen D, Coninx K, Dendale P. The EAPC EXPERT tool. Eur Heart J 2017;38:2318-20.

- 36.Hansen D, Dendale P, Coninx K, Vanhees L, Piepoli MF, Niebauer J, et al. The European Association of Preventive Cardiology Exercise Prescription in Everyday Practice and Rehabilitative Training (EXPERT) tool: A digital training and decision support system for optimized exercise prescription in cardiovascular disease. Concept, definitions and construction methodology. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2017;24:1017-31.
- 37. Hansen D, Marinus N, Cornelissen V, Ramakers W, Coninx K. Exercise prescription by physiotherapists to patients with cardiovascular disease is in greater agreement with European recommendations after using the EXPERT training tool. *Med Educ Online* 2023;28:2182660.
- 38. Solmundson K, Koehle M, McKenzie D. Are we adequately preparing the next generation of physicians to prescribe exercise as prevention and treatment? Residents express the desire for more training in exercise prescription. *Can Med Educ J* 2016;7:e79–96.
- 39. Sallis R. Exercise is medicine: a call to action for physicians to assess and prescribe exercise. *Phys Sportsmed* 2015;43:22-6.
- 40. O'Brien MW, Shields CA, Oh PI, Fowles JR. Health care provider confidence and exercise prescription practices of exercise is medicine Canada workshop attendees. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2017;42:384-90.
- 41. Swift DL, Johannsen NM, Lavie CJ, Earnest CP, Church TS. The role of exercise and physical activity in weight loss and maintenance. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2014;56:441-7.
- 42. Ades PA, Savage PD, Toth MJ, Harvey-Berino J, Schneider DJ, Bunn JY, et al. High-calorie-expenditure exercise: a new approach to cardiac rehabilitation for overweight coronary patients. *Circulation* 2009;119:2671-8.
- 43. Umpierre D, Ribeiro PA, Schaan BD, Ribeiro JP. Volume of supervised exercise training impacts glycaemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review with meta-regression analysis. *Diabetologia* 2013;56:242-51.
- 44. Ishiguro H, Kodama S, Horikawa C, Fujihara K, Hirose AS, Hirasawa R, et al. In Search of the Ideal Resistance Training Program to Improve Glycemic Control and its Indication for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sports Med* 2016;46:67-77.
- 45. Cornelissen VA, Smart NA. Exercise training for blood pressure: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2013;2:e004473.
- 46. Hanssen H, Boardman H, Deiseroth A, Moholdt T, Simonenko M, Kränkel N, et al. Personalized exercise prescription in the prevention and treatment of arterial hypertension: a Consensus Document from the European Association of Preventive Cardiology (EAPC) and the ESC Council on Hypertension. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2022;29:205-15.
- 47. Martínez-Vizcaíno V, Amaro-Gahete FJ, Fernández-Rodríguez R, Garrido-Miguel M, Cavero-Redondo I, Pozuelo-Carrascosa DP, et al. Effectiveness of Fixed-Dose Combination Therapy (Polypill)

- Versus Exercise to Improve the Blood-Lipid Profile: A Network Meta-analysis. *Sports Med* 2022;52:1161-73.
- 48. Ismail H, McFarlane JR, Dieberg G, Smart NA. Exercise training program characteristics and magnitude of change in functional capacity of heart failure patients. *Int J Cardiol* 2014;171:62-5.
- 49. Borde R, Hortobágyi T, Granacher U. Dose-Response Relationships of Resistance Training in Healthy Old Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sports Med* 2015;45:1693-720.

Tables

Table 1 Survey patient cases

Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5
Age: 65 years	Age: 55 years	Age: 70 years	Age: 65 years	Age: 79 years
Body height: 171 cm	Body height: 160 cm	Body height: 182 cm	Body height: 165 cm	Body height: 170 cm
Body weight: 65 kg	Body weight: 85 kg	Body weight: 80 kg	Body weight: 90 kg	Body weight: 59 kg
Sex: male	Sex: female	Sex: male	Sex: female	Sex: male
VO2max: 2500 ml/min, 38.5	VO2max: 1600 ml/min, 18.8	VO2max: 1500 ml/min, 18.7	VO2max: 1450 ml/min, 16.1	VO2max: 1250 ml/min, 21.2
ml/kg/min (116% of predicted	ml/kg/min (108% of predicted	ml/kg/min (73% of predicted	ml/kg/min (90% of predicted	ml/kg/min (88% of predicted
normal value)	normal value)	normal value)	normal value)	normal value)
Resting HR: 55 bts/min	Resting HR: 102 bts/min	Resting HR: 52 bts/min	Resting HR: 52 bts/min	Resting HR: 56 bts/min
Peak exercise HR: 123 bts/min	Peak exercise HR: 151 bts/min	Peak exercise HR: 112 bts/min	Peak exercise HR: 100 bts/min	Peak exercise HR: 111 bts/min
Total cholesterol: 180 mg/dl	Total cholesterol: 267 mg/dl	Total cholesterol: 189 mg/dl	Total cholesterol: 234 mg/dl	Total cholesterol: 178 mg/dl
Fasting glycaemia: 92 mg/dl	Fasting glycaemia: 108 mg/dl	Fasting glycaemia: 102 mg/dl	Fasting glycaemia: 115 mg/dl	Fasting glycaemia: 125 mg/dl
Blood pressure: 145/82 mmHg	Blood pressure: 115/72 mmHg	Blood pressure: 125/80 mmHg	Blood pressure: 135/75 mmHg	Blood pressure: 135/87 mmHg
Medication intake: beta-blocker,	Medication intake: statin, ACE-	Medication intake: statin,	Medication intake: beta-	Medication intake: beta-
nitrate, statin, antiplatelet.	inhibitor, orlistat, antiplatelet,	antiplatelet, beta-blocker,	blocker, statin, exogenous	blocker, bronchodilator,
Referred to rehabilitation for:	metformin, sulfonylurea.	digitalis, mucolytics,	insulin, nitrate, erythropoietin.	antiplatelet.
acute myocardial infarction with	Referred to rehabilitation for:	bronchodilators.	Referred to rehabilitation for:	Referred to rehabilitation for:
PCI.	obesity.	Referred to rehabilitation for:	stable myocardial ischemia	peripheral vascular disease.
Comorbidities: None.	Comorbidities: type 2 diabetes.	AMI with CABG.	(threshold at 87 bts/min)	Comorbidities: cachexia and
	Additional information:	Comorbidities: Heart failure with	Comorbidities: renal failure,	frailty, COPD.
	gonarthrosis present.	preserved ejection fraction, mild	type 1 diabetes.	
		COPD.	Additional information: chronic	
			nonspecific low back pain	
			present.	

Table 2 Additional clinical benefits of appropriate tailoring of exercise on CVD risk

CVD risk factor	Adjustment in exercise prescription	Additional clinical benefit (when compared with 150	
		min of moderate-intense exercise/week, and	
		moderate-intense dynamic resistance training)	
Obesity	Exposing to appropriate exercise volume (250-420	At five months: greater weight loss (-8.2+-4 vs3.7+-5	
	min/week) ⁴¹	kg; P<0.001), fat mass loss (-5.9+-4 vs2.8+-3 kg;	
		P<0.001) and waist circumference reduction (-7+-5 vs.	
		-5+-5 cm; P=0.02) ⁴²	
Hyperglycaemia (in type 2 diabetes)	Exposing to appropriate weekly exercise frequency (≥5	At 3-6 months: A greater frequency of exercise sessions	
	days/week) ⁴³	associates with greater reductions in HbA1c (weighted	
		$r = -0.64)^{43}$	
	Exposing to appropriate sets of resistance exercise (≥21	At 3-6 months: a resistance program with 21 or more	
	sets) ⁴⁴	sets per session have a larger effect size on HbA1c	
		(effect size by -0.65) than one with fewer than 21 sets	
		per session (effect size by -0.16, $P = 0.03$) ⁴⁴	
Arterial hypertension	Exposing to appropriate exercise session duration (>30	At 3-6 months: exercise sessions with a duration of 30-	
	min/session) ⁴⁵	45 min have a greater impact on resting SBP (by -3.8	
		mmHg) vs. shorter sessions (by -0.43 mmHg) ⁴⁵	
	Exposing to appropriate intensity of endurance	At 3-6 months: exercise sessions of greater intensity	
	exercise (at least moderate-intense) ⁴⁵	have a greater impact on resting SBP (by -4.8 mmHg)	
		vs. low-intensity exercise sessions (by +0.07 mmHg) ⁴⁵	
	Exposing to appropriate sets of resistance exercise (≥8	A greater number of exercises per session (≥8 vs. <8) is	
	sets) ⁴⁶	significantly associated with greater SBP reduction ⁴⁶	
Dyslipidaemia	Exposing to appropriate type of endurance exercise	At 3-6 months:	
	(e.g. aerobic interval training) ⁴⁷		
Dyslipidaemia	exercise (at least moderate-intense) ⁴⁵ Exposing to appropriate sets of resistance exercise (≥8 sets) ⁴⁶ Exposing to appropriate type of endurance exercise	have a greater impact on resting SBP (by -4.8 m vs. low-intensity exercise sessions (by +0.07 mml A greater number of exercises per session (≥8 vs. significantly associated with greater SBP reduction	

	For LDL-c, a greater decrease (effect size) is observed in
	response to aerobic interval training (by -0.55) vs.
	aerobic continuous exercise (by – 0.38) ⁴⁷
	For HDL-c, a greater increase (effect size) is observed in
	response to aerobic interval training (by +0.46) vs.
	aerobic continuous exercise (by + 0.36) ⁴⁷
Exposing to appropriate exercise volume (close to 2000	At 3-6 months:
kcal/week) ^{27,48}	In HF patients: every increment in weekly exercise
	energy expenditure by >460 kcal is associated with a
	greater mean increase in VO _{2peak} by 2.6 ml/kg/min,
	P<0.05 ⁴⁸
	In CAD patients: A greater total energy expenditure is
	significantly related to greater improvements in
	exercise capacity (effect size: 0.91 ml/min/kg per
	100J/kg, P<0.01) ²⁷
Exposing to appropriate resistance exercise intensity	At 3-6 months:
(70-79% of the 1RM) ⁴⁹	The resistance training intensity (p < 0.01) has a
	significant effect on muscle strength, with the largest
	effect sizes for intensities of 70-79% of the 1RM
	(between-subject standardized mean differences of
	1.89) ⁴⁹
	kcal/week) ^{27,48} Exposing to appropriate resistance exercise intensity

Abbreviations: hbA1c, glycated haemoglobin; SBP, systolic blood pressure; LDL, low density lipoprotein; HDL, high density lipoprotein; VO_{2peak}, peak oxygen uptake; 1RM, one-repetition maximum;

 Table 3 Recommended actions to achieve tailored exercise prescriptions in the primary and secondary prevention of CVD

Action	Responsible party	
In clinical practice, exercise prescriptions should be adjusted according to the patient's phenotype, which thus necessitates the assessment of the entire CVD risk profile and physical fitness (e.g. endurance capacity, muscle strength), and analysis of pharmacological treatment and prevalence of comorbidities	Clinicians and healthcare professionals	
How to adjust the exercise prescription according to the patient's phenotype could be described in clinical guidelines, and disagreement between national and international guidelines should be remediated	(Inter)national scientific organisations	
How to adjust the exercise prescription according to the patient's phenotype could be brought into clinical practice, or supported, by validated clinical decision support systems	Universities, international scientific organisations and IT companies	
How to adjust the exercise prescription according to the patient's phenotype should be teached in (para)medical curricula	Universities and university colleges	

Figure legends

Figure 1 Inter-clinician variance in exercise prescription for five patient cases (on x-axis)

Reproduced from reference 12, with permission

One point in the figure may reflect multiple clinicians as similar exercise modality selections have occurred between clinicians.

Figure 2 Relationship between physical activity and mortality risk according to the presence of cardiovascular disease.

Reproduced from reference 19, with permission.

Figure 3 Exercise prescription in CVD prevention: a stepwise approach

Figure 4 The EXPERT Tool

Figure 5 Participants' total agreement score (out of 180) at entry and after online training by the EXPERT Training tool (n=23)

From reference 14