

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction to: Effects of early extubation followed by noninvasive ventilation versus standard extubation on the duration of invasive mechanical ventilation in hypoxemic non-hypercapnic patients: a systematic review and individual patient data meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Rosanna Vaschetto^{1,2*†}, Alessandro Pecere^{2†}, Gavin D. Perkins³, Dipesh Mistry³, Gianmaria Cammarota¹, Federico Longhini⁴, Miguel Ferrer⁵, Renata Pletsch-Assunção⁶, Michele Carron⁷, Francesca Moretto², Haibo Qiu⁸, Francesco Della Corte^{1,2†}, Francesco Barone-Adesi^{2†} and Paolo Navalesi^{7†}

Correction to: *Vaschetto Crit Care* (2021) 25:189
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-021-03595-5>

Following publication of the original article [1], the authors identified an error in Table 1. The correct Table is given hereafter.

All the changes that were requested are implemented in this correction and the original article [1] has been corrected.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-021-03595-5>.

*Correspondence: rosanna.vaschetto@med.uniupo.it

†Rosanna Vaschetto, Alessandro Pecere, Francesco Barone-Adesi and Paolo Navalesi have contributed equally to this work

¹Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria "Maggiore della Carità", Anestesia e Terapia Intensiva, Novara, Italy

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2021. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Table 1 Characteristics of the randomized control trials included in qualitative synthesis

Study	Setting	Primary endpoint	Secondary endpoints	Number of patients included in the original paper	Baseline characteristics of patients at entry into the study	Number of excluded patients and reasons	Number of patients potentially to be analyzed	Number of patients analyzed
Ferrer et al. 2003	2 Spanish hospitals	The decrease of the duration of invasive ventilation defined as positive pressure ventilation delivered through orotracheal intubation or tracheotomy, in the NIV group.	1. Total period of ventilatory support 2. ICU length of stay 3. Hospital length of stay 4. Reintubation 5. Main causes of reintubation -Severe persistent hypoxemia -Severe dyspnoea -Inability to manage secretions -Hemodynamic instability 6. Tracheotomy 7. ICU survival 8. Causes of death within 90d after entry in the study -Septic shock/MOF -Refractory hypoxemia -Cardiac arrest -Pneumothorax -Stroke -Pulmonary embolism	43 patients 21 NIV 22 Control	1. Age 2. Sex 3. Current or former smoker 4. Current or former alcohol abuse 5. APACHE II 6. Duration of ICU stay 7. Duration of mechanical ventilation 8. Number of comorbidities per patient 9. White blood cells 10. Haematocrit 11. Patients with chronic pulmonary disorders 12. Causes of mechanical ventilation -Exacerbation of chronic pulmonary disorders -Congestive heart failure -Community-acquired pneumonia -Hospital-acquired pneumonia -Postoperative respiratory failure -Acute lung injury -Thoracic trauma -Haemoptysis -Cardiac arrest	17 acute-on-chronic exacerbation COPD 9 acute cardiogenic pulmonary oedema 3 severe asthma 8 chronic pulmonary disorder	6 patients 4 Intervention 2 Control	6 patients 4 Intervention 2 Control

Table 1 (continued)

Study	Setting	Primary endpoint	Secondary endpoints	Number of patients included in the original paper	Baseline characteristics of patients at entry into the study	Number of excluded patients and reasons	Number of patients potentially to be analyzed	Number of patients analyzed
Trevisan et al. 2008	Single-centre Brazil	To evaluate the use of bi-level NIV for patients who fail weaning from i-MV	1. ICU length of stay 2. Hospital length of stay 3. total length of stay in hospital 4. ICU death 5. Ward death 6. Mechanical ventilation time after randomization 7. Total mechanical ventilation time 8. Complications -Pneumonia -Sepsis -Congestive heart failure -Tracheotomy -Return to IMV -Skin necrosis	65 patients 28 NIV 37 Control	1. Age 2. Sex 3. APACHE II 4. Duration of mechanical ventilation 5. Causes of mechanical ventilation -COPD aggravation and -Asthma -Heart diseases -Respiratory diseases -Post-surgery respiratory failure -Acute pulmonary lesion -Pneumonia -Tuberculosis -Thoracic trauma	23 acute-on-chronic exacerbation COPD and asthma 11 acute cardiogenic pulmonary oedema 5 PaCO ₂ >50 mmHg and pH<7.35 2 age <18 years old	24 patients 10 Intervention 14 Control	24 patients 10 Intervention 14 Control
Vaschetto et al. 2012	Single-centre Italy	Duration of i-MV		20 patients 10 NIV 10 Control	1. Age 2. Sex 3. APACHE II 4. Causes of mechanical ventilation -Pancreatitis -Pneumonia -Thoracic trauma -Bowel obstruction	None	20 patients 10 Intervention 10 Control	20 patients 10 Intervention 10 Control

Table 1 (continued)

Study	Setting	Primary endpoint	Secondary endpoints	Number of patients included in the original paper	Baseline characteristics of patients at entry into the study	Number of excluded patients and reasons	Number of patients potentially to be analyzed	Number of patients analyzed
Carron et al. 2014	Single-centre Italy	Weaning success/failure rate	1. Duration of i-MV 2. Duration of ventilator support for weaning 3. Duration of total ventilator support 4. Weaning failure 5. Reintubation -Refractory hypoxemia -Bronchial hypersecretion -Transient ischemic attack -Hypercapnia 6. Conventional weaning after reintubation with/without percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy 7. Main complication after entry in the study -VAP -Catheter-related pneumonia -Septic shock -Multiple-organ Failure -Disseminated intravascular coagulation -Cardiogenic shock -Cardiac arrest 8. ICU length of stay 9. Hospital length of stay 10. ICU survival 11. Hospital survival	64 patients 32 NIV 32 Control	1. Age 2. Sex 3. Weight 4. APACHE II 5. ARF hypoxic hypercapnic (n. of patients) -Exacerbation of chronic pulmonary disease -Asthma -Community-acquired bronchopneumonia -Hospital acquired-bronchopneumonia 6. ARF hypoxicemic (n. of patients) -Postoperative respiratory failure -Community-acquired bronchopneumonia -Hospital acquired-bronchopneumonia -Acute cardiogenic pulmonary oedema -Congestive heart failure -Acute pulmonary embolism -Acute pancreatitis -Acute lung injury following abdominal ingestis -Thoracic trauma -Burn	17 acute-on-chronic exacerbation COPD 1 Asthma 5 acute cardiogenic pulmonary oedema 4 BMI \geq 30 10 PaCO ₂ >50 mmHg and pH <7.35 -Community-acquired bronchopneumonia -Hospital acquired-bronchopneumonia 6. ARF hypoxicemic (n. of patients) -Postoperative respiratory failure -Community-acquired bronchopneumonia -Hospital acquired-bronchopneumonia -Acute cardiogenic pulmonary oedema -Congestive heart failure -Acute pulmonary embolism -Acute pancreatitis -Acute lung injury following abdominal ingestis -Thoracic trauma -Burn	27 patients 14 Intervention 13 Control	27 patients 14 Intervention 13 Control

Table 1 (continued)

Study	Setting	Primary endpoint	Secondary endpoints	Number of patients included in the original paper	Baseline characteristics of patients at entry into the study	Number of excluded patients and reasons	Number of patients potentially to be analyzed	Number of patients analyzed
Perkins et al. 2018	41 hospitals UK	Time from randomization to successful liberation from all forms of mechanical ventilation	1. Mortality at 30, 90, 180 days 2. Duration of I-MV 3. Duration of total ventilation 4. Time to meeting ICU discharge criteria (defines as no further requirement for level 2/3 care) 5. Reintubation rates 6. Tracheostomy 7. Adverse events and serious adverse events	364 patients 182 NIV 182 Control	1. Age 2. Sex 3. Evidence of delirium 4. Body mass index 5. Duration of ventilation prior to randomization 6. Antibiotics for respiratory infections 7. Infections 8. APACHE II 9. Admission diagnosis -Pneumonia/respiratory infection -Post-surgery respiratory failure -Cardiac -Non-respiratory infection -Neuromuscular -COPD/asthma exacerbation -Traumatic injuries -GIT bleeding -Pancreatitis -Stroke	15 neuromuscular patients 14 COPD/asthma exacerbation 33 acute cardiogenic pulmonary oedema 48 PaCO ₂ >50 mmHg and pH >7.35	254 patients 130 Intervention 124 Control	254 patients 130 Intervention 124 Control

Table 1 (continued)

Study	Setting	Primary endpoint	Secondary endpoints	Number of patients included in the original paper	Baseline characteristics of patients at entry into the study	Number of excluded patients and reasons	Number of patients potentially to be analyzed	Number of patients analyzed
Vaschetto et al. 2019	6 hospitals China 3 hospitals Italy	1. Days of i-MV Overall Medical Surgical 2. ICU length of stay Overall Medical Surgical	1. Treatment failure 2. Severe events 3. Tracheostomy 4. VAT 5. VAP 6. Use of sedatives 7. Hospital length of stay 8. ICU mortality 9. Hospital mortality	130 patients 65 NIV 65 Control	1. Main causes of i-MV -ARDS -Pneumonia -Septic shock -Polytrauma -Postoperative abdominal surgery -Postoperative vascular surgery -Postoperative thoracic surgery -GIT bleeding -Cerebral bleeding -Pancreatitis 2. Days of i-MV pre-protocol 3. Days of NIV pre-protocol	2 PaCO ₂ >50 mmHg and pH >7.35	128 patients 65 Intervention 63 Control	128 patients 65 Intervention 63 Control

APACHE II, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Disease Classification System II; ARDS, Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome; ARF, Acute Respiratory Failure; BMI, Body Mass Index; COPD, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; GIT, Gastrointestinal; ICU, Intensive Care Unit; i-MV, invasive Mechanical Ventilation; i.v., intravenous; LOS, Length Of Stay; MOF, Multiple Organ Failure; N.A., Not Applicable; NIV, Non-Invasive Ventilation; PaCO₂, arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PE, Pulmonary Embolism; UK, United Kingdom; VAP, Ventilator Associated Pneumonia; VAT, Ventilator Associated Tracheobronchitis

Author details

¹Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria "Maggiore della Carità", Anestesia e Terapia Intensiva, Novara, Italy. ²Dipartimento di Medicina Traslazionale, Università del Piemonte Orientale, via Solaroli 17, 28100 Novara, Italy. ³Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, Warwick University, Gibbet Hill, Coventry, UK. ⁴Anestesia E Rianimazione, Dipartimento Di Scienze Mediche E Chirurgiche, Università "Magna Graecia", Catanzaro, Italy. ⁵RICU, Department of Pneumology, Respiratory Institute, Hospital Clinic of Barcelona, IDIBAPS, CibeRes (CB/06/06/0028), University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. ⁶Department of Physiotherapy, Centro Universitário Padre Anchieta, UNIANCHIETA, Jundiaí, SP, Brazil. ⁷Department of Medicine - DIMED, Section of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, University of Padua, Padua, Italy. ⁸Department of Critical Care Medicine, Zhongda Hospital, School of Medicine, Southeast University, Nanjing 210009, Jiangsu, China.

Reference

1. Vaschetto R, Pecere A, Perkins GD, et al. Effects of early extubation followed by noninvasive ventilation versus standard extubation on the duration of invasive mechanical ventilation in hypoxemic non-hypercapnic patients: a systematic review and individual patient data meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Crit Care*. 2021;25:189. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-021-03595-5>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Published online: 03 August 2021

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

