



D+ReSoM

Diritto Religione Società Multiculturali
Law Religion Multicultural Societies

Право Религия Мультикультурные Общества

انون والدين في المجتمعات متعددة الثقافات
多元化社会中的法与宗教

LAW, RELIGION AND COVID-19 EMERGENCY

EDITED BY
PIERLUIGI CONSORTI

D+
papers 1

DiReSoM Papers

1

LAW, RELIGION AND COVID-19 EMERGENCY

edited by

Pierluigi Consorti

DiReSoM (Diritto e Religione nelle Società Multiculturali – Law and Religion in Multicultural Societies) is a research group created in 2017 by Italian Scholars in Law and Religion.

www.diresom.net

diresom.adec@gmail.com

Scientific Board:

Pierluigi Consorti (cordinator), University of Pisa
Federica Botti, Alma mater, University of Bologna
Rossella Bottoni, University of Trento
Cristina Dalla Villa, University of Teramo
Mario Ferrante, University of Palermo
Fabio Franceschi, La Sapienza, University of Rome
Luigi Mariano Guzzo, University Magna Graecia of Catanzaro
Maria Cristina Ivaldi, University of Campania “Luigi Vanvitelli”
Chiara Lapi, University of Pisa
Maria Luisa Lo Giacco, University ‘Aldo Moro’ of Bari
Adelaide Madera, University of Messina
Enrica Martinelli, University of Ferrara
Francesca Oliosi, University of Trento
Daniela Tarantino, University of Genova
Alessandro Tira, University of Bergamo

DiReSoM Papers focuses on the interdisciplinary study of Religion and Law in Multicultural Societies. All papers have been submitted to a peer-review process.

Editorial Board: Simone Baldetti, Fabio Balsamo, Luigi Mariano Guzzo, Alessandro Tira.

DiReSoM Papers 1 - Law, Religion and Covid-19 Emergency, edited by Pierluigi Consorti, may 2020

Law, Religion and Covid-19 Emergency / [edited by Pierluigi Consorti] / Pisa: DiReSoM, 2020 / pp. 286 (DiReSoM Papers: 1)

323.44

Civil and political rights; Freedom of conscience and religion; Rights of assembly and association; Pandemic and epidemic

This ebook is regulated by *Creative Commons Licence*.

It can be freely shared, but it cannot be commercialized or changed.



First edition: May 2020

©Pierluigi Consorti, DiReSoM, Pisa, 2020

ISBN: 979-12-200-6404-0

INDEX

PIERLUIGI CONSORTI, *Law, Religion and Covid-19 Emergency. Introduction* p. 7

LAW AND RELIGION

PIERLUIGI CONSORTI, *Religion and virus* p. 15

LUIGI MARIANO GUZZO, *Law and Religion during (and after) Covid-19 Emergency: the Law is Made for Man not Man for Law* p. 19

ANTONINO MANTINEO, *I have a dream: restarting, but going where?* p. 29

STATE LAW

MARIA LUISA LO GIACCO, *In Italy the Freedom of Worship is in Quarantine, too* p. 37

FABIO BALSAMO, *The loyal collaboration between State and religions at the testing bench of the Covid-19 pandemic. A perspective from Italy* p. 47

GABRIELE FATTORI, *Religious freedom at the time of coronavirus* p. 57

DOMENICO BILOTTI, *Punishment, virus and religions* p. 65

VALERIO D'ALÒ, *Covid-19: limitations to public worship in Italy, Spain and Poland* p. 73

ENRICA MARTINELLI, *The Greek Orthodox Church at the time of the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic* p. 81

ENRICA MARTINELLI, *Orthodox Easter Covid-19: Israel allows the opening of the Holy Sepulcher to receive the "Light of Resurrection"* p. 91

- GIUSEPPINA SCALA, *Freedom of the Profession versus the Health Emergency: The Physical and Spiritual Protection of the Lutheran Citizen* p. 97
- NIKOLA B. ŠARANOVIĆ, *Religion, law and Covid-19 emergency: a brief report from Montenegro* p. 105
- STEFANO PICCIAREDDA, *Religions, Africa and Covid-19* p. 109
- MASSIMO FAGGIOLI, *Pandemic and religious liberty in the USA: between privatization of the Church and neo-integralism* p. 119
- MUSTAFA YASAN, *The measures taken in the field of religion in turkey against the threat of pandemic Covid-19 virus* p. 125
- CATERINA GAGLIARDI, *Saudi Arabia's caution in times of health emergency* p. 129
- CHIARA LAPI, *The Saffron Wave Against Virus. The Hindu Nationalists and the Covid-19 Emergency* p. 137
- VASCO FRONZONI, *In Pakistan the mosques will remain open for Ramadhan but with restrictions* p. 141
- MASSIMO INTROVIGNE, *Lesson from the Shincheonji Case in South Korea: Monitoring Without Scapegoating* p. 145
- SIMONE BALDETTI, *First observations on law, religion and Covid19 in Japan. Between the "Confucian style" lockdown and religious response to pandemic* p. 151
- ANTONELLO DE OTO, *The Republic of San Marino and the practice of worship in the Covid-19 era: between history, common law and emergency decrees* p. 157
- PIERLUIGI CONSORTI, *Coronavirus emergency in the monastic autonomous republic of Mount Athos. Contagion without covid-19* p. 169
- MATTEO CARNÌ, *Vatican City State and Covid-19 emergency* p. 173

ANTONELLO DE OTO, *Andorra and Covid-19. Explanatory note* p. 187

RELIGIOUS LAW

LUIGI MARIANO GUZZO, *Hygiene of the Body and of the Spirit* p. 191

DANIELA TARANTINO, *“Non in pane solo vivet homo”. Catholics in front of Covid-19* p. 195

FRANCESCA OLIOSI, *“Mercy is what pleases me, not sacrifice”: liturgies, in pandemic, not rites* p. 209

DANIELA TARANTINO, *“I absolve you”. The priest medicus animarum at the time of the Covid-19* p. 213

STEFANO TESTA BAPPENHEIM, *Does the Covid-19 pandemic authorize the derogation from the canonical rule of absolution necessarily preceded by individual confession? (canon 961cic)* p. 221

MARIA D’ARIENZO, *Is the suspension of mass in public form legitimate?* p. 251

ENRICA MARTINELLI, *The Talmud teaches: “When pestilence is in the city, stay inside”. (Bavà Qammà 60)* p. 253

VASCO FRONZONI, *From social distance to Muslim solidarity proximity at the time of Covid-19* p. 261

POSITION PAPER

DIRESOM RESEARCH GROUP, *Proposal for a safe resumption of Religious Celebrations in Italy* p. 271

FREEDOM OF THE PROFESSION VERSUS THE HEALTH EMERGENCY: THE PHYSICAL AND SPIRITUAL PROTECTION OF THE LUTHERAN CITIZEN. A SHORT CHRONICLE FROM THE KINGDOM OF SWEDEN IN THE MIDST OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC*

Giuseppina Scala

On the 11th of March, the Swedish Government adopted, in response to the health emergency from Covid-19, the ordinance SFS 2020: 114, which entered into force the following day¹. This provision prohibits, until further notice, gatherings and public events throughout Sweden with the participation of over five hundred people².

* Submitted: March 24th 2020. Published: April 1th 2020.

¹ SFS stands for *Svenske författningssamling*, that is the Swedish Code of Statutes. The ordinance is available [here](#).

² The penalty for violating the cited prohibition is the imprisonment for at most six months or a fine pursuant to Chapter 2, Section 29 of the Public Order Act (1993: 1617). The reasons why the government has chosen the threshold of 500 people are not known, because, unlike what happens for the laws, for the Förordnings, the preparatory works are not published. However, the Government has a constitutional obligation to consult the competent authorities before taking decisions (*Regeringsform* [see [here](#), note 4], Chapter 7. art. 2 “the necessary information and opinions must be provided by the competent public authorities”). In this case it was the Swedish Public Health Agency which stated that *there* is an increased risk of infection in events *where* public participation is

The Swedish Constitution establishes that the Government, in given circumstances, may limit some constitutional freedoms and rights as provided for by section 24 of Chapter 2 of the *Regeringsform*³ which states: “Freedom of assembly and freedom to demonstrate may be limited in the interests of preserving public order and public safety at a meeting or demonstration, or with regard to the circulation of traffic. These freedoms may otherwise be limited only with regard to the security of the Realm or in order to combat an epidemic”⁴.

The aforesaid ordinance are based on the provisions of Chapter 2, section 15 of the Public Order Act, where it is stated that the Government can prohibit public gatherings in the event of war (or risk of war) and in order to avoid epidemics or epizootic events⁵.

[widespread](#). It remains however unclear, to date, for the writer, what are the medical and political evidences on the choice of the threshold of 500 people. It is likely that this number will be lowered very soon.

³ The *Regeringsform* (The Government Instrument of 1974) is one of the four texts of the Swedish Constitution which is in fact described by Italian doctrine as multi-textual or fragmented. In this regard, see G. Morbidelli – L. Pegoraro – A. Reposo – M. Volpi, (editors), *Diritto Pubblico Comparato*, 3rd ed., Turin, Giappichelli, 2009, p. 76. The other constitutional texts are: the Act of Succession of 1810 (*Successionsordningen*), the Law on Freedom of the Press of 1949 (*Tryckfrihetsförordningen*) and the Law on Freedom of Expression of 1991 (*Yttrandefrihetsgrundlagen*).

⁴ The Constitution of Sweden, The Fundamental Laws and the Riksdag Act, Sveriges Riksdag, Stockholm, Sweden, 2016, p. 71, available [here](#). For the interpretation of the terms “public order” and “public safety”, see, in general, Swedish doctrine, K. Åström, *The freedom of Religion in relation to Other Human Rights*, cit., p. 90.

⁵ *Ordningsslag* (1993: 1617), available [here](#). Article 15, Chapter 2 affirms: “The Government may prohibit public meetings and public events in a specific area, for war or risk of war for Sweden or to prevent epidemics or epizootic events under the epizootic law (1999: 657)”. The preparatory works for the Act, however, largely concern the need to guarantee respect for the freedoms and

The Lutheran Church of Sweden is also taking extraordinary measures in order to adapt to the emergency regime the religious practices that faithful normally put in place in a situation of physical contiguity⁶.

First, a series of options have been made available at the [institutional website](#) of the Church of Sweden for faithful who feel the need to contact their proper religious authority to request spiritual support: a phone call, e-mail correspondence, or through the use of social media such as *Facebook*, *Twitter* and a dedicated blog.

Secondly, the Church of Sweden has disseminated some “recommendations” concerning the rite of the Holy Mass in order to protect the health of the minister of the sacrament and to ensure protection to the faithful from any infection: it is about of avoiding from the handshake during the rite of peace and from receiving the Holy Communion at the Chalice and the host directly in the mouth⁷.

rights provided for in the Constitution. On this point, see K. Åström, *The freedom of Religion in relation to Other Human Rights*, in H. Bernitz, V. Enkvist (editors), *Freedom of Religion: An Ambiguous Right in the Contemporary European Legal Order*, Bloomsbury Publishing, 2020, p. 91.

⁶ On the role of the Lutheran Church in Sweden and, in general, on the role of Churches in the Nordic Countries, see L. Christoffersen, K. A. Modéer, and S. Andersen (editors), *Law & Religion in the 21st Century – Nordic Perspectives*, DJØF Publishing, 2010.

⁷ The [website](#) at the section: “Fortsatt gemenskap kring nattvarden” (“The Lord’s supper continues”, the translation is ours). For a brief examination of similar recommendations within religious denominations, see, P. Consorti, [Religions and virus](#), 9th March 2020. As an example, the author underlines, quoting S. Winer, that “The chief rabbi of Israel has asked to suspend the practice of kissing the Mezuzah”.

On the relationship between the Catholic faithful and the sacraments at the time of the Coronavirus, see, V. Pacillo, [The suspension of the right to religious freedom in the](#)

The reasons behind these constraints on the exercise of freedom of worship are explained by Archbishop Antje Jackelén in a video release, appeared on March 12th at the web page of the Church of Sweden⁸. In this circumstance, the Archbishop invites her faithful to follow all precautions and hygiene rules, as established by the Swedish State Public Health Agency, thus respecting the directives of the Government (*Folkbalsomyndigheten*).

In order to reinforce this exhortation, Luther's writings were also recalled. During the plague of 1520, Luther incited the population to follow doctors' suggestions and to give help to the most vulnerable individuals, using common sense thus avoiding the risk of infection.

In addition, the Lutheran Church of Sweden, in compliance with the aforementioned ordinance SFS 2020:114, has also decided to cancel religious practices that would have provided for a gathering of more than five hundred people⁹.

time of the pandemic, March 16, 2020. Here, in fact, the author clearly highlights that: “The redefinition of the whole structure of Catholic celebrations in Italy has not only – in fact – redefined the structure of public worship, but also the relationship of the faithful with the Holy Eucharist”.

⁸ For a brief biography of the Archbishop of the Swedish Lutheran Church, see, C. R. Brakenhielm, *The Study of Science and Religion*, Wipf and Stock Publishers, Eugene, Oregon, 2018, p. 157, note 9.

⁹ “Planerade arrangemang med fler än 500 deltagare kommer att ställas in, med hänvisning till regeringens beslut” (“Scheduled events with more than 500 participants will be canceled, with reference to the government decision”, the translation is ours), see *here*. The Fittja Ulu Camii Mosque in Stockholm also suspended Friday prayers. It is interesting to note that the decision does not regard the closing of buildings' Churches but only gatherings. In a comparative perspective, as regard to the latter, in Italy there as been criticalities on the interpretation of governmental decision. See, for example, S. Montesano, *L'esercizio della libertà di culto ai tempi del Coronavirus*, visited on March 20th, 2020.

The cited Act on public order does not seem to pose any doubts about the obligation on the Church. In particular cases, such as the contingent ones, Chapter 2, section 1.3 establishes that a public assembly must also be understood as a gathering of people who collectively perform a religious practice¹⁰. Being, therefore, a religious assembly similar to any other type of assembly, the Church of Sweden has complied with the State precepts.

What has been examined so far leads us to deal with two considerations.

The first is that the Archbishop's speech, which is universalistic and addressed to the Nation and not only to faithful, is certainly characterized by a theological content but it is also relevant as regards to the relationship between temporal and spiritual power as it invites faithful to unconditionally observe the rules imposed by the State.

In this sense, the conception that the Church of Sweden, despite the privileged role it plays in comparison with other religious organizations, adjusts its own ministry - in exceptional cases such as the ones we are facing now - in order to preeminently protect all faithful due to the prevalence of public health.

The second consideration is that the Church, orienting itself in accordance with the will of the State – and in view of the protection of the public interest- does not relate to the latter as an entity carrying religious purposes but in a manner similar to any

¹⁰ “2 kap. Allmänna sammankomster och offentliga tillställningar. Definitioner [...] 3. sammankomster som hålls för religionsutövning” (“Ch. 2. General meetings and public events. Definitions [...] 3. meetings held for the exercise of religion”, the translation is ours). In a comparative perspective, on the relationship between the Italian governmental measure “I stay at home” and freedom of religion in Italy, see: M.L. Lo Giacco, *In Italy the Freedom of Worship is in Quarantine, too*, visited on March 22nd, 2020.

other organization operating within a legal system. The Church of Sweden does nothing but recognizes the need for measures established under a State law, especially in the contingent limitation of fundamental rights in view of a higher general interest, thus preventing the occurrence of a fracture difficult to heal once the emergency situation will be solved.