



Biological CO₂ fixation in up-flow reactors via exogenous H₂ addition

Kougias, P. G.; Tsapekos, Panagiotis; Treu, L; Kostoula, M; Campanaro, S; Lyberatos, G; Angelidaki, Irini

Published in:
Journal of Biotechnology

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1016/j.jbiotec.2020.05.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2020.05.012)

Publication date:
2020

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Kougias, P. G., Tsapekos, P., Treu, L., Kostoula, M., Campanaro, S., Lyberatos, G., & Angelidaki, I. (2020). Biological CO₂ fixation in up-flow reactors via exogenous H₂ addition. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 319, 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2020.05.012>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 **Biological CO₂ fixation in up-flow reactors via**
2 **exogenous H₂ addition**

3
4 P.G. Kougiass^{b,1}, P. Tsapekos^{a,1,*}, L. Treu^d, M. Kostoula^c, S. Campanaro^d, G.
5 Lyberatos^c and I. Angelidaki^{a*}

6
7 ^aDepartment of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of
8 Denmark, Kgs. Lyngby, DK-2800, Denmark

9 ^bHellenic Agricultural Organisation Demeter, Institute of Animal Science,
10 58100 Paralimni, Greece

11 ^cSchool of Chemical Engineering, National Technical University of Athens,
12 Zografou Campus, Athens 15780, Greece

13 ^dDepartment of Biology, University of Padova, Via U. Bassi 58/b, 35121,
14 Padova Italy

15
16 ¹ These authors contributed equally to the present work.

17
18 *Corresponding authors:

19 Irini Angelidaki, Department of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of
20 Denmark, Bld 115, 2800 Lyngby, Denmark, iria@env.dtu.dk, Tel.: +45 45251429

21
22 Panagiotis Tsapekos, Department of Environmental Engineering, Technical University
23 of Denmark, Bld 115, 2800 Lyngby, Denmark, ptsa@env.dtu.dk, Tel.: +45 45251404

24

25 **Abstract**

26 Gas fermentation for the production of building block molecules and biofuels is
27 lately gaining attention as a means to eliminate the greenhouse gases emissions.
28 Especially CO₂ capture and recycling are in focus. Thus, the biological coupling of CO₂
29 and H₂ is of high interest. Therefore, the focus of the present work was to evaluate the
30 performances of two up-flow reactors for CO₂ and H₂ assimilation. Process monitoring
31 showed that the gas-liquid H₂ transfer was highly affected by reactor design. A reactor
32 filled with Raschig rings could lift up gases utilization leading to a CH₄ content of 81%
33 at 6 h gas retention time and 8.8 L/L_R.h gas recirculation rate. In contrast, limited
34 biomethanation was achieved in the absence of Raschig rings highlighting the positive
35 role of packing material to the performance of up-flow-reactors. Additionally, high-
36 throughput 16S rRNA sequencing revealed that the microbial community was
37 ultimately resided by *Methanothermobacter* methanogens.

38

39 **Keywords**

40 CO₂ fixation; packed column reactors; hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis;
41 homoacetogenesis; anaerobic digestion

42

43 **1. Introduction**

44 In EU countries, the upgraded biogas is injected in the gas grid or used as
45 transportation fuel depending on the national legislation (Browne et al., 2011).
46 Nowadays, various commercial upgrading technologies exist, and several new concepts
47 are under development. Conventional methods for biogas upgrade using high pressure

48 (e.g. pressure swing adsorption), water (e.g. water physical scrubbing) and/or chemicals
49 (e.g. amine chemical scrubbing) are available (Baena-Moreno et al., 2020). Despite the
50 high efficiency of these technologies, the increased costs initiated a further research for
51 alternative options (Bassani et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2015). Recently, the biological
52 process coupling of CO₂ and H₂ is a rapidly growing platform since it is less energy
53 demanding and retains the efficiency of the physicochemical methods (Angelidaki et al.,
54 2018). Moreover, biological upgrading is considered as second generation upgrading
55 since CO₂ is not only deposited or released to the atmosphere but is coupled with H₂ by
56 the action of hydrogenotrophic methanogens forming additional amounts of CH₄
57 (Bassani et al., 2015; Luo and Angelidaki, 2012; Vo et al., 2018). Therefore, biological
58 biogas upgrading can be considered as CO₂ capturing and recycling technology. In
59 addition, H₂ derived from inexpensive renewable electricity in periods with intense
60 wind peak loads for wind mills and sunny days for photovoltaics ensures no energy
61 wasting, while it maintains a stable electricity grid and improves sustainability (Alfaro
62 et al., 2018; De Vrieze et al., 2019; Strübing et al., 2018). Hence, it can be considered as
63 energy storage technology.

64 Biological biogas upgrading can be conducted either simultaneously with the
65 anaerobic digestion (AD) process or in a separate consecutive step. In the first option,
66 which is called “in-situ process” (Kougias et al., 2017), there is a risk of exceeding the
67 acceptable pH range for AD (i.e. pH of 6.5-8.5) due to capturing the endogenously
68 produced CO₂, which will lead to irreversible inhibition for the methanogenic
69 communities (Bassani et al., 2016). In contrast, during “ex-situ processes” H₂ and CO₂
70 are externally provided in a separated chamber and therefore the pH is not affected
71 (Kougias et al., 2017). However, in both processes, the increased H₂ partial pressure

72 might provoke volatile fatty acids (VFA) accumulation and subsequently, inhibition of
73 the methanogenic archaea (Kougias et al., 2017).

74 Previous research works reported that the injection of H₂ into hydrogenotrophic up-
75 flow reactors enhanced tremendously the CH₄ content from 23% to 96% during an ex-
76 situ process (Bassani et al., 2017). Specifically, the up-flow reactors were filled with
77 either alumina ceramic sponges or membranes and were associated with high
78 biomethanation efficiency, despite the stepwise reductions of gas retention time (GRT)
79 from 15 to 4 h. High CH₄ output at low GRT ensures high production capacity which is
80 very important in order to ensure economic feasibility at scaling-up (Sieborg et al.,
81 2020). However, the previously used materials can be easily clogged and also, are rather
82 expensive. Thus, they are linked to rather inconvenient daily operation/maintenance and
83 also, reduced potential investments in real-life.

84 Among crucial parameters, the H₂ transfer from gas to liquid phase is the most
85 important bottleneck to succeeding a high biomethane content (Dupnock and
86 Deshusses, 2019). In addition, an increased contact area between liquid and gas flow
87 and modulation of gas recirculation rate are key factors for high efficiency. Specifically,
88 the contact area between the gas and liquid phases is markedly increased when filling
89 the reactor with packing material (Bassani et al., 2017) and there is a variety of
90 literature examining different carriers. For example, Lee *et al.* (2012) examined
91 reticulated polyester urethane sponge as packing material which led to efficient
92 biological uptake of gases at 3.8 h while the bioconversion was decreased at 2 h.
93 Similarly, Burkhardt and Busch (2013) exploited the Bioflow 40 from Rauschert that
94 was associated with high H₂ conversion at 4 h but lower performance was observed
95 after that point. In addition, Rachbauer *et al.* (2016) filled a trickle-bed reactor with

96 polypropylene packing rings as carrier material leading to efficient bioconversion
97 efficiencies up to 2 h GRT. Despite the high efficiency of trickle-bed reactors, the usage
98 of submerged bed carriers in up-flow reactors could potentially be advantageous as no
99 liquid recirculation will be needed avoiding extra operational costs. Furthermore, the
100 introduction of gas recirculation seems to positively affect the gas conversion
101 (Voelklein et al., 2019; Yun et al., 2017). Despite the positive effect of both parameters
102 (packing and recirculation), the exact effect that each factor has on methane formation is
103 still not clear.

104 Biological upgrading is mediated by dynamic microbial communities that can
105 progressively shape during H₂ injection periods (Treu et al., 2018). Among archaea,
106 hydrogenotrophic methanogens belonging to *Methanoculleus* or *Methanothermobacter*
107 genera are commonly abundant during well-performing ex-situ processes (Bassani et al.,
108 2015; Kougias et al., 2017). However, the increased H₂ partial pressure caused by the
109 injection of exogenous H₂, can change the thermodynamic equilibrium promoting other
110 metabolic pathways that act as sink of H₂ utilization. Hence, the establishment of
111 archaeal members could be challenging.

112 The aim of the present work was to investigate the effect of packing material on
113 CO₂ fixation in up-flow reactors fed with exogenous H₂. The purpose of using packed
114 column reactors was to increase the gas-liquid mass transfer by achieving a more
115 efficient dispersion of CO₂ and H₂. It was not attempted to optimize biomethanation, but
116 it was aimed to monitor the end-products from the CO₂ hydrogenation and to compare
117 the reactor configuration with a control system that was operating in the absence of
118 packing material. Moreover, the microbial community changes upon exogenous CO₂
119 and H₂ addition were identified by performing high throughput 16S rRNA gene

120 amplicon sequencing.

121

122 **2. Materials and methods**

123 **2.1. Inoculum and nutrient media**

124 An active mixed hydrogenotrophic culture was collected from the effluent tank of
125 well-performing biogas upgrading reactor and used as inoculum (Bassani et al., 2015).

126 Fully degassed digestate was collected from the effluent tank of the Snertinge biogas
127 plant (Denmark) and used for providing necessary nutrients for the microbial consortia
128 in the upgrading reactor. The digestate was filtered through a 2 mm net to remove large
129 particles and stored at a thermophilic incubator 55 ° C for more than two months in
130 order to achieve complete decomposition of the degradable organic matter and to ensure
131 the absence of biogas production. Before usage, orthophosphoric acid droplets were
132 added to the digestate to decrease the pH from 9.6 to 8.0 and keep it within the optimal
133 range for methanogenesis (Weiland, 2010). The chemical composition of the
134 hydrogenotrophic inoculum and the degassed digestate are presented in Table 1.

135

136 **2.2. Reactors configuration and operation**

137 The experimental setup consisted of two cylindrical up-flow reactors with a working
138 volume of 1.4 L. Enriched hydrogenotrophic inoculum was used to fill the control
139 reactor (R_c) prior to start-up. Apart from inoculum, Raschig rings were added as
140 packing material to the second reactor (R_{r-r}) in order to increase the gas-liquid contact
141 and improve H_2 transfer to the liquid phase providing a surface area of 1.3 m². Both
142 reactors were fed twice a day with 50 mL of degassed digestate as nutrient feedstock.
143 The reactors were operated at thermophilic conditions (55 ± 1 °C) by recirculating hot

144 water. The glass walls were covered with insulating material to prevent heat loss and
145 avoid light penetration. Reactors mixing was achieved by continuous gas recirculation.
146 The reactors were provided with a gas mixture of 62% H₂, 15% CO₂ and 23% CH₄,
147 (AGA A/S, Denmark), sparged through two stainless steel diffusers with pore size of
148 2.0 μm. The Q_{RC} was set to approximately 42 times the gas injection rate as previously
149 described (Kougias et al., 2017). The experiment was divided into 5 distinguished
150 periods, in which, either the gas injection rate (L/L_R/day) was increased in order to
151 decrease the gas retention time (GRT) from 8 to 5 hours or the gas recirculation rate
152 (Q_{RC}) was increased from 5.3 to 8.8 L/L_R/day in order to evaluate the effect of these two
153 important operating conditions on CO₂ and H₂ utilization. The utilisation efficiency of
154 H₂ and CO₂, η_{CO_2} and η_{H_2} , respectively, were determined in each period.

155

156 **2.3. Analytical methods**

157 Analyses of pH, TS, VS, TKN and NH₄-N were conducted according to Standard
158 Methods for the examination of water and wastewater (APHA, 2005). The individual
159 VFAs were quantified by gas chromatograph (GC Shimadzu) with flame ionisation
160 detector (FID) based on Kougias *et al.* (2015). Biogas composition (CH₄, CO₂, H₂) was
161 determined twice per week by gas chromatography equipped with thermal conductivity
162 detectors (GC-TCD) as previously described (Bassani et al., 2017). **H₂ gas transfer**
163 **coefficient (day⁻¹) was calculated according to the following equation:**

$$164 \quad r_t = 22.4k_L a(H_{2gTH} - H_{2l})$$

165 **where, r_t (L/(L.day)) represents the H₂ gas to liquid mass transfer rate, 22.4 (L/mol) is**
166 **the gas volume to mole ratio at STP, $k_L a$ (day⁻¹) represents the gas transfer coefficient,**
167 **H_{2gTH} (mol/L) represents the H₂ content in the gas phase while H_{2l} (mol/L) represents**

168 the H₂ dissolved in the liquid phase.

169

170 **2.4. Microbial community composition**

171 Samples were obtained at the end of the experiment to ensure microbial community
172 stability. Genomic DNA was extracted from reactors' liquid samples, in triplicates, with
173 PowerSoil[®] DNA Isolation Kit (MO BIO Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA). The followed
174 procedure was previously described by Bassani *et al.* (2015). NanoDrop (ThermoFisher
175 Scientific, Waltham, MA) and Qubit[™] fluorimeter (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA)
176 were used for quality and quantity control of the extracted DNA. Universal primers
177 were used for 16S rRNA gene V4 hypervariable region amplification (Caporaso *et al.*,
178 2012). The 16S rRNA genes were sequenced using Illumina MiSeq sequencing
179 technology. The obtained reads were submitted to the NCBI sequence read archive
180 database (SRA) under the BioProject ID PRJNA607234. Detailed sample IDs are
181 presented in Supplementary Data. The 16S rRNA gene sequences were analyzed
182 according to Kougias *et al.* (2017) and subsequently, additional taxonomic verification
183 was conducted using BLASTN against NCBI 16S rRNA. Alpha diversity was
184 calculated from the number of operational taxonomic units (OTUs), while beta diversity
185 was calculated with the Bray-Curtis matrix and represented as Principal Coordinate
186 Analysis (PCoA). The relative abundance and fold change of most relevant OTUs are
187 presented in heat map drawn using Multiexperiment Viewer software (MeV) (Howe *et*
188 *al.*, 2010).

189

190 **2.5. Statistical analysis**

191 Descriptive statistics were performed for all data, mean values and standard

192 deviations were calculated using Graphpad Prism 5 program (Graphpad Software, Inc.,
193 San Diego, CA). Anova Analysis with a Post Hoc test (Tukey HSD) was carried out to
194 compare and determine significant differences ($p < 0.05$) among the different
195 experimental periods. Concerning the AD microbiome, the significant abundance
196 differences for each microorganism were conducted using STAMP.

197

198 **3. Results and Discussion**

199 **3.1. Overview of reactors performance**

200 Coupling CO₂ and H₂ was examined under five separately distinguished operating
201 conditions. Experimental data from operation of the control reactor (R_c) and the reactor
202 filled with packing material (R_{r-r}) are summarized in Table 2. With respect to CO₂
203 fixation, H₂ utilization and CH₄ production; the performance of both reactors was
204 increasing from the beginning of the experiment since the end of the second
205 experimental period. Subsequently, the efficiency of R_c steadily decreased, reaching the
206 lowest performance at the end of the experiment, when the gas injection rate was set at a
207 GRT of 5 h. Indeed, the microbiome did not manage to sufficiently metabolize the extra
208 CO₂ and thus, the overall efficiency of the reactor decreased. On the contrary, R_{r-r}
209 retained a relatively more stable performance during the whole experiment. The added
210 packing material increased the surface area that was served for both biofilm formation
211 (Maegaard et al., 2019) and also, as a means for bubbles disruption of the injected gas
212 (Burkhardt and Busch, 2013). The comparison between the two reactors under all
213 experimental phases is further discussed in the following sections.

214 Regarding the pH, relatively unchanged values (8.53 ± 0.06) were measured during
215 the first two experimental periods. In contrast, different levels of VFAs and especially,

216 acetate were detected in two reactors in the same interval (Fig. 1). The detected
217 accumulation indicated that the injected CO₂ and H₂ were not fully utilized by
218 hydrogenotrophic methanogens but by homoacetogens, producing acetate instead of
219 methane (Bassani et al., 2017). Consequently, the pH dropped from the end of period III
220 until the end of period IV. In parallel, a second trend of acetate accumulation was
221 observed during period IV (Fig. 1). In accordance, η_{CO_2} values were increased and η_{H_2}
222 remained at high levels. Hence, we assumed presence of homoacetogenic microbes for
223 CO₂ and H₂ fixation (Ragsdale and Pierce, 2008). This hypothesis was validated by the
224 microbial analysis as shown in the following sections. Acetogenic CO₂/H₂ fermentation
225 is lately gaining increased attention. Acetate can be produced as sole molecule
226 containing trace by-products which makes the economic feasibility of its biological
227 production route promising (De Vrieze et al., 2019). Hence, acetate production can be
228 an alternative to biomethanation, offering flexibility to the gas fermentation system.

229

230 **3.2. The role of packing materials**

231 During the first experimental period, the performance of both reactors revealed a
232 similar behaviour and an immediate start of CO₂ and H₂ utilisation for CH₄ production.
233 At the end of period I, the control reactor had slightly higher CH₄ content (R_c: 62%)
234 compared to the reactor filled with packing material (R_{r-r}: 60%). In the beginning of the
235 experiment, the volume of the hydrogenotrophic inoculum was higher in R_c than R_{r-r}
236 which was partially filled with packing materials. Hence, the enriched microbial
237 community could have positively affected the biomethanation due to the already high
238 abundance of specialized microbes harbouring the key metabolic pathways to conduct
239 the specific biological conversion (Herrero and Stuckey, 2015). In contrast, the

240 microbiome in R_{r-r} might have needed an adaptation period to create biofilm around the
241 Raschig rings **where the microorganisms are immobilised** and boost the biomethanation
242 at higher levels. **On this topic**, it has been **previously** observed that a tremendously more
243 prolonged adaptation period (>150 days) was necessary also under thermophilic
244 conditions to build-up adequate and stable amount of anaerobic biomass **that could**
245 efficiently bioconvert CO_2 and H_2 (Pokorna et al., 2019).

246 **Subsequently**, the performance of R_{r-r} was significantly improved ($p < 0.05$) during
247 the second period, indicating **that sufficient biofilm was developed and consisted of**
248 **specialized microbes at increased abundance to enhance the biological absorption of**
249 **gases (Kim and Deshusses, 2003)**. Specifically, during period II, H_2 utilization was
250 significantly enhanced ($p < 0.05$) from 73.9% to 90.6% (Table 2) and the CH_4
251 concentration was 81%. Indeed, methanogenic archaea are growing rather slowly and
252 hence, a lag phase was needed in order to be amplified **around the carrier material** in
253 sufficient colonies for H_2 utilization (Siegert et al., 2014).

254 In accordance, the improved performance of the R_{r-r} containing Raschig rings was
255 revealed during the following experimental periods (III-V). Higher CO_2 fixation
256 efficiency was achieved in R_{r-r} (61.2-68.1%) in comparison with R_c (27.2-55.3%).
257 Moreover, the H_2 transfer coefficient was always higher in R_{r-r} compared to R_c (Table
258 2). In fact, it was previously shown that packing units can boost the performance of
259 biological conversion processes, providing extra surface area for microbial activity
260 (Singh and Prerna, 2009) and inducing the gas-liquid transfer (Billet and Schultes,
261 1993). The superiority of the R_{r-r} with the Raschig rings was more clearly detected at
262 the final experimental period in which the most intense experimental conditions were
263 applied (i.e. lowest GRT, highest Q_{RC}). Although the CH_4 concentration of R_{r-r} was

264 decreased at the end of period V, the packing materials managed to retain the CH₄
265 productivity at higher levels compared to the previous experimental periods. In contrast,
266 the biomethanation process performance in R_c was dramatically dropped, supporting the
267 hypothesis that the presence of packing materials with increased surface is prerequisite
268 in order to have efficient gas-liquid mass transfer (Bassani et al., 2017) and create an
269 immobilized biofilm to efficiently carry out the hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis
270 (Sieborg et al., 2020). In fact, the η_{CO_2} and η_{H_2} had the lowest values during the whole
271 experiment 30.5% and 64.9%, respectively, in the up-flow R_c without packing
272 materials.

273

274 **3.3. Effect of gas retention time and recirculation rate**

275 Reactors monitoring showed that GRT reduction did not influence positively the
276 biomethanation efficiencies. While the performance of R_{r-r} remained at the same levels
277 in the first periods (i.e. period II to III) with subsequently reduced GRTs, the efficiency
278 of the control reactor R_c clearly deteriorated at low GRT. In contrast, the
279 biomethanation process of both reactors failed in the final period with the lowest GRTs
280 applied (i.e. period IV to V).

281 The GRT was altered by changing the input gas flow rate (i.e. feeding rate).
282 However, increasing the gas feeding rate could negatively affect a previously well-
283 performing upgrading community (Rachbauer et al., 2016). Thus, the decreased
284 efficiency can be ascribed to the non-optimal operational conditions (e.g. low gas liquid
285 mass transfer for the microbiome. Alteration of GRT was previously examined for ex-
286 situ CO₂ and H₂ fixation (Burkhardt and Busch, 2013). In accordance, it was shown that
287 at GRT lower than 6 h, the biomethanation efficiency was negatively affected as

288 **advised by the lower H₂ degradation rates.** Despite the limited biomethanation, the CO₂
289 convection rate of R_{r-r} increased during the last GRT decrease. In agreement, Lee *et al.*
290 (2012) found that high conversion CO₂ rate can be achieved with a GRT up to
291 approximately 4 h. In parallel, in the same period there was a peak in acetate
292 production, highlighting CO₂ and H₂ partial utilization for homoacetogenesis instead of
293 methanogenesis.

294 Regarding the impact of the gas recirculation rate (Q_{RC}), distinct impacts were
295 observed for both reactors during the first increase (i.e. period I to II). The methane
296 production rate was increased by 52% and 35% in R_c and R_{r-r}, respectively. The positive
297 effect of Q_{RC} was also detected in a recent biogas upgrading study (Alfaro *et al.*, 2019).
298 Interestingly, in the cited study a positive effect was revealed upon changing the Q_{RC}
299 (i.e. from 5.3 to 7.0 L/L_R.h) to similar levels compared to the present work (i.e. from 4.2
300 to 8.3 L/L_R.h). The second Q_{RC} increment (period III to IV) contributed to η_{CO₂}
301 increase, while retaining the η_{H₂} at high levels for both R_c and R_{r-r}. Indeed, the gas
302 absorption rate is enhanced through increased recirculation (Jacob-Lopes *et al.*, 2009)
303 and accordingly, CO₂ fixation was finally induced. Regarding R_{r-r}, the increased
304 recirculation rate did not manage to boost the biomethanation process. In agreement,
305 Dupnock and Deshusses (2019) concluded that increasing Q_{RC} has not a clearly visible
306 impact in reactors filled with packing materials. In contrast, intense Q_{RC} is a prerequisite
307 to achieving high CH₄ purity in well-mixed reactors, by enhancing H₂ holdup and
308 increasing the gas-liquid interfacial area.

309

310 **3.4. Microbial diversity and dynamicity**

311 Alpha diversity based on the number of OTUs and the rarefaction curves showed

312 that the sequencing depth was adequate to deliver information about the microbial
313 species richness (Supplementary Data). PCoA analysis was also performed to evaluate
314 composition and variability of microorganisms (Supplementary Data).

315 In both reactors, the most abundant microorganisms belonged to the
316 *Pseudomonadaceae* family. *Pseudomonas caeni* 1 had a relative abundance of 11.29%
317 and 18.61% in R_c and R_{r-r}, respectively. Apart from *Pseudomonas caeni* 1, additional
318 members within *Pseudomonas* genus were detected (i.e. *Pseudomonas* sp. 4, 10, 11 and
319 30). This is in accordance with Kougias *et al.* (2017) who found that *Pseudomonas*
320 genera were remarkably enriched in the liquid phase of different ex-situ upgrading
321 reactor systems. Likewise, members of the genus *Pseudomonas* were detected during
322 biologically mediated CO₂ reduction containing 656 mg_{-acetate}/L (Porté *et al.*, 2019).
323 Moreover, the ability of *Pseudomonas* spp. to tolerate much higher concentrations (up
324 to 10 g_{-acetate}/L) was lately shown (Yang *et al.*, 2019). Thus, the proliferation of these
325 acetate utilizers is in agreement with the high concentrations of the carboxylic acid
326 observed in R_c (743 mg_{-acetate}/L) and R_{r-r} (539 mg_{-acetate}/L). In contrast,
327 *Porphyromonadaceae* sp. 5 was 1.9-fold higher in R_c compared to R_{r-r} and on top of
328 this, diverse species of *Porphyromonadaceae* family (*Porphyromonadaceae* sp. 7, 21,
329 28, 37, 38 and 46) were detected in both reactor systems. The high abundance of
330 members within either *Pseudomonadaceae* or *Porphyromonadaceae* family in acetate-
331 rich media could be associated to a potential presence of homoacetogenic and
332 syntrophic bacteria within these families. Specifically, the function of *Pseudomonas*
333 genera to accelerate electron transfer was suggested as the reason for their co-habitation
334 with archaea during efficient methanogenesis (Yuan *et al.*, 2020). On the other hand,
335 representatives of *Porphyromonadaceae* family dominated the bacterial community

336 during efficient hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis driven by *Methanobacteriaceae*
337 archaea (Granada et al., 2018).

338 On this topic, the only highly abundant methanogen in both reactors was the
339 *Methanothermobacter* sp. 12 which is known to create syntrophies with acetate
340 oxidizers (Treu et al., 2018). Also, it should be noted that *Methanothermobacter* sp. 12
341 was 1.5-fold higher in R_{r-r} which is explained by the higher upgrading efficiency of the
342 reactor filled with Raschig rings. Hence, the colonization of acetate-utilizing bacteria
343 could be favoured with the proliferation of *Methanothermobacter* species. The
344 preferential utilisation of acetate via syntrophic oxidation pathway, instead of direct
345 acetate methanation, is further supported by the absence of known aceticlastic
346 methanogens, such as members of the genus *Methanothrix* (Karakashev et al., 2006)
347 (Supplementary Data). Furthermore, the existence of syntrophic acetate oxidising
348 (SAO) bacteria and hydrogenotrophic archaea during ex-situ methanation is known
349 (Corbellini et al., 2018). As expected, potential SAO were also detected in the present
350 work. According to the results of the BLASTn search, *Peptococcaceae* sp. 25 was
351 closely related to *Desulfonispora thiosulfatigenes* with a sequence similarity of 93%.
352 The identified OTU was 6.3-fold higher in R_c in which higher acetate concentrations
353 were detected. Similarly, *Sulfurospirillum* sp. 29 was detected in both systems and was
354 more abundant in the control operation. Despite the fact that H₂S was not monitored in
355 the present study, it is known that the presence of sulphate reducing bacteria can
356 deteriorate process performance and is reducing sulfate to H₂S. In addition, the
357 metabolic role of *Sulfurospirillum* genera is uncertain; however, it was lately indicated
358 that their growth could rely on acetate oxidation by SAO (Westerholm et al., 2018).
359 Finally, *Thermoanaerobacteraceae* sp. 18 (90% similarity with *Moorella thermoacetica*

360 and *Moorella thermoautotrophica*) was 2.8-fold higher in R_c . Within this family well-
361 known homo- acetogenic species producing acetate from H_2 and CO_2 are available
362 (Angelidaki et al., 2018). Despite the fact that potential SAO candidates were available
363 in both systems, higher relative abundances were detected in control operation in which
364 higher concentrations of acetate were measured.

365

366 **4. Conclusions**

367 The current research showed that in order to achieve an improved biological biogas
368 upgrading by coupling CO_2 with H_2 , the addition of packing material is crucial for up-
369 flow reactors. Challenges were achieved decreasing the gas retention time. Intense gas
370 recirculation rate can partially favour the performance of ex-situ biogas upgrading. The
371 obtained results can be further interpreted as engineering data to use alternative reactors
372 design that can efficiently work at shorter retention times. Results from the microbial
373 analysis showed that *Methanothermobacter* species dominated completely the archaeal
374 population.

375

376 **Acknowledgement**

377 The present work was supported by the Energinet under the project framework
378 ForskEL “BioUpgrade- Ex-situ biogas upgrading through biologically mediated CO_2
379 reduction”.

380

381 **References**

382 Alfaro, N., Fdz-Polanco, M., Fdz-Polanco, F., Díaz, I., 2019. H_2 addition through a
383 submerged membrane for in-situ biogas upgrading in the anaerobic digestion of

384 sewage sludge. *Bioresour. Technol.* 280, 1–8.

385 Alfaro, N., Fdz-Polanco, M., Fdz-Polanco, F., Díaz, I., 2018. Evaluation of process
386 performance, energy consumption and microbiota characterization in a ceramic
387 membrane bioreactor for ex-situ biomethanation of H₂ and CO₂. *Bioresour.*
388 *Technol.* 258, 142–150.

389 Angelidaki, I., Treu, L., Tsapekos, P., Luo, G., Campanaro, S., Wenzel, H., Kougias,
390 P.G., 2018. Biogas upgrading and utilization: Current status and perspectives.
391 *Biotechnol. Adv.* 36, 452–466.

392 APHA, 2005. *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater.*
393 American Public Health Association, Washington, DC, USA.

394 Baena-Moreno, F.M., le Saché, E., Pastor-Pérez, L., Reina, T.R., 2020. Biogas as a
395 Renewable Energy Source: Focusing on Principles and Recent Advances of
396 Membrane-Based Technologies for Biogas Upgrading, in: Zhang, Z., Zhang, W.,
397 Lichtfouse, E. (Eds.), *Membranes for Environmental Applications.* Springer
398 International Publishing, Cham, pp. 95–120.

399 Bassani, I., Kougias, P.G., Angelidaki, I., 2016. In-situ biogas upgrading in
400 thermophilic granular UASB reactor: key factors affecting the hydrogen mass
401 transfer rate. *Bioresour. Technol.* 221, 485–491.

402 Bassani, I., Kougias, P.G., Treu, L., Angelidaki, I., 2015. Biogas Upgrading via
403 Hydrogenotrophic Methanogenesis in Two-Stage Continuous Stirred Tank
404 Reactors at Mesophilic and Thermophilic Conditions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49,
405 12585–12593.

406 Bassani, I., Kougias, P.G., Treu, L., Porté, H., Campanaro, S., Angelidaki, I., 2017.
407 Optimization of hydrogen dispersion in thermophilic up-flow reactors for ex-situ

408 biogas upgrading. *Bioresour. Technol.* 234, 310–319.

409 Billet, R., Schultes, M., 1993. Predicting mass transfer in packed columns. *Chem. Eng.*
410 *Technol.* 16, 1–9.

411 Browne, J., Nizami, A.S., Thamsiroj, T., Murphy, J.D., 2011. Assessing the cost of
412 biofuel production with increasing penetration of the transport fuel market: A case
413 study of gaseous biomethane in Ireland. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 15, 4537–
414 4547.

415 Burkhardt, M., Busch, G., 2013. Methanation of hydrogen and carbon dioxide. *Appl.*
416 *Energy* 111, 74–79.

417 Caporaso, J.G., Lauber, C.L., Walters, W.A., Berg-Lyons, D., Huntley, J., Fierer, N.,
418 Owens, S.M., Betley, J., Fraser, L., Bauer, M., Gormley, N., Gilbert, J.A., Smith,
419 G., Knight, R., 2012. Ultra-high-throughput microbial community analysis on the
420 Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. *ISME J.* 6, 1621–1624.

421 Corbellini, V., Kougias, P.G., Treu, L., Bassani, I., Malpei, F., Angelidaki, I., 2018.
422 Hybrid biogas upgrading in a two-stage thermophilic reactor. *Energy Convers.*
423 *Manag.* 168, 1–10.

424 De Vrieze, J., Verbeeck, K., Pikaar, I., Boere, J., Van Wijk, A., Rabaey, K., Verstraete,
425 W., 2019. The hydrogen gas bio-based economy and the production of renewable
426 building block chemicals, food and energy. *N. Biotechnol.* 55, 12–18.

427 Dupnock, T.L., Deshusses, M.A., 2019. Detailed investigations of dissolved hydrogen
428 and hydrogen mass transfer in a biotrickling filter for upgrading biogas. *Bioresour.*
429 *Technol.* 290, 121780.

430 Granada, C.E., Hasan, C., Marder, M., Konrad, O., Vargas, L.K., Passaglia, L.M.P.,
431 Giongo, A., de Oliveira, R.R., Pereira, L. de M., de Jesus Trindade, F., Sperotto,

432 R.A., 2018. Biogas from slaughterhouse wastewater anaerobic digestion is driven
433 by the archaeal family Methanobacteriaceae and bacterial families
434 Porphyromonadaceae and Tissierellaceae. *Renew. Energy* 118, 840–846.

435 Herrero, M., Stuckey, D.C., 2015. Bioaugmentation and its application in wastewater
436 treatment: A review. *Chemosphere* 140, 119–128.

437 Howe, E., Holton, K., Nair, S., Schlauch, D., Sinha, R., Quackenbush, J., 2010. MeV:
438 MultiExperiment Viewer, in: Ochs, M.F., Casagrande, J.T., Davuluri, R. V (Eds.),
439 Biomedical Informatics for Cancer Research. Springer US, Boston, MA, pp. 267–
440 277.

441 Jacob-Lopes, E., Revah, S., Hernández, S., Shirai, K., Franco, T.T., 2009. Development
442 of operational strategies to remove carbon dioxide in photobioreactors. *Chem. Eng.*
443 *J.* 153, 120–126.

444 Karakashev, D., Batstone, D.J., Trably, E., Angelidaki, I., 2006. Acetate oxidation is the
445 dominant methanogenic pathway from acetate in the absence of Methanosaetaceae.
446 *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72, 5138–5141.

447 Kim, S., Deshusses, M.A., 2003. Development and experimental validation of a
448 conceptual model for biotrickling filtration of H₂S. *Environ. Prog.* 22, 119–128.

449 Kougias, P.G., Boe, K., Einarsdottir, E.S., Angelidaki, I., 2015. Counteracting foaming
450 caused by lipids or proteins in biogas reactors using rapeseed oil or oleic acid as
451 antifoaming agents. *Water Res.* 79, 119–127.

452 Kougias, P.G., Treu, L., Benavente, D.P., Boe, K., Campanaro, S., Angelidaki, I., 2017.
453 Ex-situ biogas upgrading and enhancement in different reactor systems. *Bioresour.*
454 *Technol.* 225, 429–437.

455 Lee, J.C., Kim, J.H., Chang, W.S., Pak, D., 2012. Biological conversion of CO₂ to CH₄

456 using hydrogenotrophic methanogen in a fixed bed reactor. *J. Chem. Technol.*
457 *Biotechnol.* 87, 844–847.

458 Luo, G., Angelidaki, I., 2012. Integrated biogas upgrading and hydrogen utilization in
459 an anaerobic reactor containing enriched hydrogenotrophic methanogenic culture.
460 *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 109, 2729–2736.

461 Maegaard, K., Garcia-Robledo, E., Kofoed, M.V.W., Agneessens, L.M., de Jonge, N.,
462 Nielsen, J.L., Ottosen, L.D.M., Nielsen, L.P., Revsbech, N.P., 2019. Biogas
463 upgrading with hydrogenotrophic methanogenic biofilms. *Bioresour. Technol.* 287,
464 121422.

465 Pokorna, D., Varga, Z., Andreides, D., Zabranska, J., 2019. Adaptation of anaerobic
466 culture to bioconversion of carbon dioxide with hydrogen to biomethane. *Renew.*
467 *Energy* 142, 167–172.

468 Porté, H., Kougiyas, P.G., Alfaro, N., Treu, L., Campanaro, S., Angelidaki, I., 2019.
469 Process performance and microbial community structure in thermophilic trickling
470 biofilter reactors for biogas upgrading. *Sci. Total Environ.* 655, 529–538.

471 Rachbauer, L., Voitl, G., Bochmann, G., Fuchs, W., 2016. Biological biogas upgrading
472 capacity of a hydrogenotrophic community in a trickle-bed reactor. *Appl. Energy*
473 180, 483–490.

474 Ragsdale, S.W., Pierce, E., 2008. Acetogenesis and the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway of
475 CO₂ fixation. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Proteins Proteomics* 1784, 1873–1898.

476 Sieborg, M.U., Jønson, B.D., Ashraf, M.T., Yde, L., Triolo, J.M., 2020. Biomethanation
477 in a thermophilic biotrickling filter using cattle manure as nutrient media.
478 *Bioresour. Technol. Reports* 9, 100391.

479 Siegert, M., Li, X.F., Yates, M.D., Logan, B.E., 2014. The presence of

480 hydrogenotrophic methanogens in the inoculum improves methane gas production
481 in microbial electrolysis cells. *Front. Microbiol.* 5, 778.

482 Singh, S.P., Prerna, P., 2009. Review of recent advances in anaerobic packed-bed
483 biogas reactors. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 13, 1569–1575.

484 Strübing, D., Moeller, A.B., Mößnang, B., Lebuhn, M., Drewes, J.E., Koch, K., 2018.
485 Anaerobic thermophilic trickle bed reactor as a promising technology for flexible
486 and demand-oriented H₂/CO₂ biomethanation. *Appl. Energy* 232, 543–554.

487 Sun, Q., Li, H., Yan, J., Liu, L., Yu, Z., Yu, X., 2015. Selection of appropriate biogas
488 upgrading technology-a review of biogas cleaning, upgrading and utilisation.
489 *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 51, 521–532.

490 Treu, L., Kougias, P.G., de Diego-Díaz, B., Campanaro, S., Bassani, I., Fernández-
491 Rodríguez, J., Angelidaki, I., 2018. Two-year microbial adaptation during
492 hydrogen-mediated biogas upgrading process in a serial reactor configuration.
493 *Bioresour. Technol.* 264, 140–147.

494 Vo, T.T.Q., Wall, D.M., Ring, D., Rajendran, K., Murphy, J.D., 2018. Techno-
495 economic analysis of biogas upgrading via amine scrubber, carbon capture and ex-
496 situ methanation. *Appl. Energy* 212, 1191–1202.

497 Voelklein, M.A., Rusmanis, D., Murphy, J.D., 2019. Biological methanation: Strategies
498 for in-situ and ex-situ upgrading in anaerobic digestion. *Appl. Energy* 235, 1061–
499 1071.

500 Weiland, P., 2010. Biogas production: Current state and perspectives. *Appl. Microbiol.*
501 *Biotechnol.* 85, 849–860.

502 Westerholm, M., Müller, B., Singh, A., Karlsson Lindsjö, O., Schnürer, A., 2018.
503 Detection of novel syntrophic acetate-oxidizing bacteria from biogas processes by

504 continuous acetate enrichment approaches. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 11, 680–693.

505 Yang, S., Li, S., Jia, X., 2019. Production of medium chain length
506 polyhydroxyalkanoate from acetate by engineered *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440. *J.*
507 *Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 46, 793–800.

508 Yuan, T., Bian, S., Hac, J., Liu, J., Shi, X., Xu, Q., 2020. Exploring the roles of zero-
509 valent iron in two-stage food waste anaerobic digestion. *Waste Manag.* 107, 91–
510 100.

511 Yun, Y.M., Sung, S., Kang, S., Kim, M.S., Kim, D.H., 2017. Enrichment of
512 hydrogenotrophic methanogens by means of gas recycle and its application in
513 biogas upgrading. *Energy* 135, 294–302.

514

515

516 **Tables**517 **Table 1.** Characteristics of degassed digestate and hydrogenotrophic inoculum

	Digestate	Inoculum
pH	8.00±0.10	8.28±0.04
Total solids (TS), g/L	23.1±1.2	25.5±1.3
Volatile solids (VS), g/L	12.0±0.6	17.8±0.9
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN), g/L	4.9±0.2	2.01±0.03
NH ₄ -N, g/L	3.6±0.2	1.67±0.01
Total volatile fatty acids (TVFA), mg/L	136.6± 6.8	290.1±13.6
Acetate, mg/L	64.9±3.2	239.2±11.2
Propionate, mg/L	39.9±2.0	26.8±0.4
Iso-butyrate, mg/L	17.9±0.9	7.9±1.4
Butyrate, mg/L	2.0±0.1	1.5±0.2
Iso-valerate, mg/L	11.3±0.6	14.7±1.2
Valerate, mg/L	0.3±0.0	0.1±0.0
n-hexanoate, mg/L	0.3±0.0	0.1±0.0

518

519 **Table 2.** Reactors' upgrading performance under different experimental periods

Period	GRT, h	Q _{RC} , L/LR.h	Reactor	PCH ₄ , LCH ₄ /LR.d	η_{CO_2} , %	η_{H_2} , %	k _{LA} , 1/day
I	8	5.3	R _c	0.29±0.02	48.3±5.3	80.4±2.6	8.95*10 ²
			R _{r-r}	0.32±0.03	54.8±1.4	73.9±0.8	9.22*10 ²
II	8	7.0	R _c	0.44±0.02	63.0±4.1	94.7±0.7	2.89*10 ³
			R _{r-r}	0.42±0.04	55.2±3.5	90.6±1.3	2.24*10 ³
III	6	7.0	R _c	0.48±0.01	55.3±13.5	81.8±2.4	1.29*10 ³
			R _{r-r}	0.52±0.03	61.2±10.3	87.3±2.6	2.40*10 ³
IV	6	8.8	R _c	0.43±0.01	62.9±0.5	74.5±0.5	9.59 *10 ²
			R _{r-r}	0.54±0.07	64.1±4.6	86.1±7.0	2.11*10 ³
V	5	8.8	R _c	0.38±0.17	30.5±6.6	64.9±2.9	1.59*10 ³
			R _{r-r}	0.67±0.17	72.5±8.1	74.7±3.8	2.57*10 ³

GRT: gas retention time, Q_{RC}: gas recirculation rate, η_{CO_2} : CO₂ utilisation efficiency, η_{H_2} : H₂ utilisation efficiency, k_{LA}: H₂ gas transfer coefficient

520

521 **Figures captions**

522 **Fig. 1.** VFA concentration and pH in R_C (a) and R_{r-r} (b)

523

524 **Fig. 2.** Biogas composition at the outlet of R_C (a) and R_{r-r} (b)

525

526 **Fig. 3.** Heat maps of relative abundance (left side of the panel) and fold change in
527 microbial composition (right side of the panel). In the relative abundance heat map, the
528 colour scale varies from low abundance (blue) to high abundance (red); in the heat map
529 of fold changes, an increase trend is reported in red, while a decrease is expressed in
530 green. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is
531 referred to the web version of this article.)

532