RESEARCH ARTICLE | AUGUST 20 2024

Pt-decorated graphitic carbon nitride on carbon paper by xray photoelectron spectroscopy

Special Collection: [Materials for Energy and the Environment](https://pubs.aip.org/sss/collection/44027/Materials-for-Energy-and-the-Environment)

[Mattia Brugia](javascript:;) \bigcirc ; [Alberto Gasparotto](javascript:;) \bigcirc ; [Mattia Benedet](javascript:;) \bigcirc ; [Davide Barreca](javascript:;) \bigcirc ; [Gian Andrea Rizzi](javascript:;) \bigcirc \bigcirc ; [Chiara Maccato](javascript:;)^O

Surf. Sci. Spectra 31, 024002 (2024) <https://doi.org/10.1116/6.0003741>

Pt-decorated graphitic carbon nitride on carbon paper by x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy

Cite as: Surf. Sci. Spectra 31, 024002 (2024); [doi: 10.1116/6.0003741](https://doi.org/10.1116/6.0003741) Submitted: 6 May 2024 \cdot Accepted: 30 July 2024 \cdot Published Online: 20 August 2024

Mattia Brugia,^{1,a)} ^{(D} Alberto Gasparotto,^{1,2} (D Mattia Benedet,^{1,2} (D Davide Barreca,² (D Gian Andrea Rizzi,^{1,2,b)} (D and Chiara Maccato^{1,2} D

AFFILIATIONS

¹ Department of Chemical Sciences, Padova University and INSTM, 35131 Padova, Italy 2 CNR-ICMATE and INSTM, Department of Chemical Sciences, Padova University, 35131 Padova, Italy

Note: This paper is part of the 2024 Special Topic Collection on Materials for Energy and the Environment.

a)Electronic mail: mattia.brugia@unipd.it

b) Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: gianandrea.rizzi@unipd.it

ABSTRACT

Nanocomposites based on Pt nanoparticles (NPs) and graphitic carbon nitride (gCN) have emerged as promising (photo)electrocatalysts for 13 September 2024 15:26:12sustainable energy production, thanks to the synergistical combination of Pt NPs catalytic performances with the favorable gCN chemico- $\frac{5}{6}$ physical properties. In this work, we have focused on electrophoretic deposition of graphitic carbon nitride on carbon paper and subsequent $\frac{6}{3}$ system functionalization with Pt NPs by means of radio frequency sputtering under mild conditions. A final thermal treatment was performed both in air and under inert atmospheres to assess its influence on Pt oxidation states and the related interplay with ultimate functional performances. The present study is focused on x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy characterization of two representative $gCN-Pt \approx$ nanocomposite specimens, annealed in Ar and in air. In particular, we report the survey spectra and the detailed scans for C 1s, N 1s, O 1s, $\frac{\pi}{8}$ and Pt 4f regions, analyzing the principal spectral features and comparatively discussing the resulting material properties. 5

© 2024 Author(s). All article content, except where otherwise noted, is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). <https://doi.org/10.1116/6.0003741>

INTRODUCTION

Graphitic carbon nitride (gCN), the most stable phase of carbon nitride polymorphs under ambient conditions, is a fascinating nontoxic polymeric semiconductor possessing a good visible light response for wavelengths <455 nm, corresponding to a bandgap of \approx 2.7 eV (Refs. 1–[3\)](#page-4-0). Over the last decade, gCN-based systems have attracted an enormous attention as metal-free, multipurpose photocatalysts for pollutant degradation and clean energy generation ($\overline{\text{Refs}}$. 1–[7\)](#page-4-0). These applications rely on the unique set of gCN optical, electronic, and chemical characteristics, combined with its facile synthesis from readily available precursors, and its stability even in adverse chemical and physical environments

(Refs. 5, [8,](#page-4-0) and [9](#page-4-0)). Nevertheless, these gCN advantages are at least partially eclipsed by some shortcomings, including the limited active area and the rapid recombination of photogenerated charge carriers (Refs. 6, [10,](#page-4-0) and [11](#page-4-0)). In the framework of the various research activities aimed at alleviating these problems and boosting the system performances (Refs. 1, [2](#page-4-0), [6](#page-4-0), [12,](#page-4-0) and [13\)](#page-4-0), valuable strategies consist in nanostructure design, to attain a larger specific surface area [\(Refs. 10](#page-4-0) and [14](#page-4-0)), as well as in the introduction of nanodispersed metal or metal oxide co-catalysts (Refs. 9, [11](#page-4-0), [15](#page-4-0), and [16\)](#page-4-0). In fact, graphitic carbon nitride is endowed with abundant reactive sites for the construction of metal/gCN hybrids, in which gCN can act not only as template but also as a photoactive support

for anchoring metal nanostructures (Refs. 3, [8](#page-4-0), [17,](#page-4-0) and [18\)](#page-4-0). The corresponding heterojunction formation can favorably extend the lifetime of electron/hole pairs and limit recombination losses, thus promoting an increase in material catalytic activity [\(Ref. 17\)](#page-4-0). In this context, platinum nanoparticles represent an attractive choice as metal functionalizing agents in the manufacturing of various functional nanocomposites ($\overline{\text{Refs}}$, 2, [8,](#page-4-0) [9](#page-4-0), [14](#page-4-0), and [17](#page-4-0)–[25\)](#page-4-0).

In general, deposition of noble metal nanoparticles on gCN can be performed by different methods, encompassing chemical or microwave-assisted reduction, photodeposition, and electrochemical synthesis [\(Refs. 9](#page-4-0) and [14\)](#page-4-0). The resulting nanoparticles typically have mean sizes of several nanometers and are prone to aggregation phenomena, leading to a decrease in surface active sites that detrimentally affect the system performances ([Ref. 21](#page-4-0)). In a different way, the use of low-temperature plasmas for metal nanoparticles (NPs) introduction represents an energy-efficient nanofabrication tool for the ultrafine dispersion of NPs, ultimately affording a higher material photoactivity [\(Ref. 9\)](#page-4-0).

In the course of our activities on gCN-containing nanostructures as photoelectrocatalysts for the oxygen evolution reaction [\(Refs. 15](#page-4-0) and [16\)](#page-4-0), we have successfully fabricated composite systems containing ultradispersed metal or metal oxide-based co-catalysts by the initial liquid-phase gCN preparation, followed by radio frequency (RF) sputtering from nonequilibrium plasmas at low temperatures. Following an analogous strategy, in the present work, Pt-gCN nanocomposites were prepared by the initial electrophoretic deposition (EPD) of gCN on carbon paper (CP), followed by platinum sputtering from Ar plasmas under optimized conditions. An ultimate thermal treatment was, hence, performed either in air or under Ar. In this study, we present a detailed comparative x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) characterization of the resulting systems, showing that the mutual content of oxidized Pt species is directly dependent on adopted annealing conditions. The critical discussion of the results provided herein may serve as a useful reference guide for additional studies on homologous materials and also support the interpretation of material structureproperty interplay in view of various photocatalytic and electrocatalytic end-uses.

SPECIMEN DESCRIPTION [ACCESSION # 01959]

Specimen: gCN-Pt, annealed in Ar

CAS Registry #: Unknown

Specimen Characteristics: Homogeneous; solid; polycrystalline; semiconductor; composite; thin film

Chemical Name: Graphitic carbon nitride-platinum

Source: Specimen prepared by gCN electrophoretic deposition on carbon paper, followed by platinum sputtering for 60 min and final thermal treatment in Ar at 400 °C for 2.5 h.

Composition: C, N, O, and Pt

Form: Supported nanocomposite

Structure: X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis evidenced one intense reflection at $2\theta \approx 26.4^\circ$ and minor peaks at $\approx 42.4^\circ$, 44.5°, and 54.5°, respectively, ascribed to (002), (100), (101), and (404) crystallographic planes of graphite from the CP support (ICDD PDF #08-0415). Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy analysis revealed the typical vibrational modes of the gCN network in

the 1200–1700 cm⁻¹ range [\(Ref. 1\)](#page-4-0), a peak at 810 cm⁻¹ due to out-of-plane bending of heptazinic rings [\(Ref. 4\)](#page-4-0), and a broad band at 3200–3300 cm−¹ due to the presence of uncondensed $-NH_x$ groups (x = 1 and 2) and chemisorbed $-OH/H₂O$ [\(Refs. 5](#page-4-0) and [10](#page-4-0)). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analyses (see the inset in figure accession $#$ 01959-01) evidenced that the gCN deposit was characterized by a rounded and globular morphology covering the underlying CP and was uniformly decorated by Pt-based nanoparticles (mean size ≈ 8.3 nm).

History and Significance: gCN powders were synthesized by thermal condensation of the cyanuric acid–melamine supramolecular complex (CM complex; see [Ref. 15](#page-4-0)). The obtained powders were used as precursors for gCN deposition on precleaned carbon paper substrates (P50, AvCarb®; 150 μ m thickness, \approx 1 \times 1 cm² area) by EPD. Depositions were carried out by applying a potential of 10 V for an overall duration of 30 s, following a previous work [\(Ref. 12\)](#page-4-0). The obtained sample was annealed at 400 °C for 2.5 h under flowing Ar. The subsequent functionalization with Pt was performed by RF sputtering $(v = 13.56 \text{ MHz})$ from an Ar plasma in a custom-built two-electrode reactor. A Pt target (Alfa Aesar, 99%; thickness = 0.3 mm) was fixed on the RF electrode, while carbon paper-supported gCN samples were mounted on the grounded one. According to a previous work [\(Ref. 26\)](#page-4-0), depositions were performed using the following settings: electronic grade Ar flow rate $= 10$ standard cubic centimeters per minute (SCCM); total pressure = 0.30 mbar; RF power = 5 W; sputtering time = 60 min; and growth temperature = 60 °C. Finally, thermal treatment was carried out at 400 °C for 2.5 h under flowing Ar.

As Received Condition: As grown

Analyzed Region: Same as the host material

Ex Situ Preparation/Mounting: Sample fixed by a metallic clip on a grounded sample holder and introduced into the analysis $\frac{8}{8}$ chamber through a fast entry system. 13 September 2024 15:26:12

In Situ Preparation: None

Charge Control: After fixing the carbon paper-supported sample \vec{p} to the sample holder with metallic clips, we observed charging problems upon spectra acquisition, evidently caused by an improper electrical contact between the carbon paper and the sample holder. As a consequence, all the spectra reported in this article have been acquired using charge compensation. The latter was performed by a dual-beam low-energy electron and ion coaxial flood source (0.1 V, 175μ A, and gas cell at 20 V).

Temp. During Analysis: 298 K

Pressure During Analysis: <10−⁷ Pa

Pre-analysis Beam Exposure: 120 s

SPECIMEN DESCRIPTION (ACCESSION # 01960)

Specimen: gCN-Pt, annealed in air

CAS Registry #: Unknown

- Specimen Characteristics: Homogeneous; solid; polycrystalline; semiconductor; composite; thin film
- Chemical Name: Graphitic carbon nitride-platinum
- Source: Specimen prepared by gCN electrophoretic deposition on carbon paper, followed by platinum sputtering for 60 min and final thermal treatment in air at 400 °C for 2.5 h.

Composition: C, N, O, and Pt

13 Septe

Form: Supported nanocomposite

- Structure: The XRD and FT-IR analyses yielded results analogous to the specimen described in accession # 01959. SEM analyses (see the inset in figure accession # 01960-01) evidenced a similar morphology for gCN that was evenly decorated by Pt-based nanoparticles (average dimensions of ≈7.9 nm).
- History and Significance: Synthesis, deposition, and functionalization conditions were the same described for the previous specimen. The only difference was the final thermal treatment, carried out at 400 °C for 2.5 h in open air.

As Received Condition: As grown

Analyzed Region: Same as the host material

Ex Situ Preparation/Mounting: Sample fixed by a metallic clip on a grounded sample holder and introduced into the analysis chamber through a fast entry system.

In Situ Preparation: None

Charge Control: Charge compensation was performed by a dualbeam low-energy electron and ion coaxial flood source (0.1 V, 175μ A, and gas cell at 20 V).

Temp. During Analysis: 298 K

Pressure During Analysis: <10−⁷ Pa

Pre-analysis Beam Exposure: 120 s

INSTRUMENT DESCRIPTION

Manufacturer and Model: ThermoFisher Scientific EscalabTM QXi

Analyzer Type: Spherical sector Detector: Channeltron Number of Detector Elements: 6

INSTRUMENT PARAMETERS COMMON TO ALL **SPECTRA**

Spectrometer

Analyzer Mode: Constant pass energy

Throughput ($T = E^N$): The transmission function is calculated from a cubic polynomial fit to a plot of log[peak area/ $(PE \times XSF)$] versus $log(KE/PE)$, where PE is the pass energy, KE is the kinetic energy, and XSF is the relative sensitivity factor [\(Refs. 27](#page-4-0) and [28](#page-4-0)).

Excitation Source Window: None Excitation Source: Al K_{α} monochromatic Source Energy: 1486.6 eV Source Strength: 200 W Source Beam Size: $500 \times 500 \mu m^2$ Signal Mode: Single channel direct

Geometry

Incident Angle: 58° Source-to-Analyzer Angle: 58° Emission Angle: 0° Specimen Azimuthal Angle: 90° Acceptance Angle from Analyzer Axis: 45° Analyzer Angular Acceptance Width: 22.5° × 22.5°

Ion Gun

Manufacturer and Model: ThermoFisher Scientific MAGCIS Dual Beam Ion Source

Energy: 4000 eV Current: 7 mA Current Measurement Method: Biased stage Sputtering Species and Charge: $Ar⁺$ Spot Size (unrastered): 500 μm Raster Size: $4500 \times 4500 \mu m^2$ Incident Angle: 40° Polar Angle: 40° Azimuthal Angle: 270° Comment: Differentially pumped ion gun

DATA ANALYSIS METHOD

- Energy Scale Correction: The reported binding energies were corrected for charging phenomena by assigning a BE of 284.8 eV to the adventitious C 1s signal ([Refs. 27](#page-4-0) and [28\)](#page-4-0).
- Recommended Energy Scale Shift: +0.78 eV for accession # 01959 and $+0.82$ eV for accession $\#$ 01960.
- Peak Shape and Background Method: In this work, peak fitting was carried out with utmost care to avoid any major source of significant errors [\(Ref. 29](#page-4-0)). In particular, after Shirley-type background subtraction [\(Ref. 30\)](#page-4-0), least-squares fitting was performed using XPSPEAK software (version 4.1) [\(Ref. 31\)](#page-4-0), adopting Gaussian/Lorentzian sum functions (typical mixing parameter = 0.2–0.3) [\(Ref. 32](#page-4-0)). Doniach–Sunjic functions were used for the $\frac{a}{a}$ $f(x) = 0.2-0.3$ (Ref. 32). Domach-sunjic functions were used for the $\frac{1}{60}$ fitting of Pt(0) contributions to the Pt 4f photoelectron signals. Asymmetric peaks were also used for the $sp^2 C$ 1s low BE com- $\frac{3}{8}$ ponents at 284.2 eV.
- **Quantitation Method:** Relative quantification was accomplished $\frac{8}{6}$ by normalizing peak areas for the respective sensitivity factors [\(Ref. 33](#page-4-0)). The sensitivity factors used in this work have been provided by Thermo Scientific Avantage software (version 6.6.0, Build $\overrightarrow{6}$ 00114). The involved calculations were carried out using a smart Shirley function for the background, which implements an additional constraint to ensure the background intensity is not higher than the actual data at any point in the region [\(Refs. 27](#page-4-0) and [28\)](#page-4-0).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was financially supported by CNR (Progetti di Ricerca @CNR—avviso 2020—ASSIST), Padova University (Nos. P-DiSC#04BIRD2020-UNIPD EUREKA, P-DiSC#02BIRD2023- UNIPD RIGENERA, and DOR 2021–2023), INSTM Consortium (Nos. INSTM21PDGASPAROTTO-NANO^{MÁT} and INSTM21PDB ARMAC-ATENA), and Finanziato dall'Unione europea - Next Generation EU - Bando PRIN 2022 – M4.C2.1.1, Progetto: 2022474YE8 - SCI-TROPHY. The instrument used in this work was funded by "Sviluppo delle infrastrutture e programma biennale degli interventi del Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (2019)."

AUTHOR DECLARATIONS

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

Author Contributions

Mattia Brugia: Investigation (equal); Software (equal); Validation (equal); Visualization (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal). Alberto Gasparotto: Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (lead); Funding acquisition (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Writing – original draft (lead). Mattia Benedet: Data curation (equal); Methodology (equal); Validation (equal); Visualization (equal). Davide Barreca: Conceptualization (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Funding acquisition (equal); Supervision (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal). Gian Andrea Rizzi: Data curation (equal); Funding acquisition (equal); Investigation (equal); Visualization (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal). Chiara Maccato: Formal analysis (equal); Funding acquisition (lead); Methodology (equal); Supervision (equal); Visualization (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available within the article and its [supplementary material.](https://doi.org/10.60893/figshare.sss.c.7373527)

REFERENCES

¹K. Wang, Q. Li, B. Liu, B. Cheng, W. Ho, and J. Yu, [Appl. Catal. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2015.03.045) 176-177, 44 (2015).

²J. Yu, K. Wang, W. Xiao, and B. Cheng, [Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c4cp00133h) 16, 11492 (2014).

³C. Han, L. Du, M. Konarova, D.-C. Qi, D. L. Phillips, and J. Xu, [ACS Catal.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c01932) 10, 9227 (2020).

⁴X. Yuan, K. Luo, K. Zhang, J. He, Y. Zhao, and D. Yu, [J. Phys. Chem. A](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.6b06015) 120, 7427 (2016).

5 T. S. Miller, A. B. Jorge, T. M. Suter, A. Sella, F. Corà, and P. F. McMillan, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CP02711G) [Chem. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CP02711G) 19, 15613 (2017).

P. Qiu, C. Xu, H. Chen, F. Jiang, X. Wang, R. Lu, and X. Zhang, [Appl. Catal. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.01.058) ²⁰⁶, 319 (2017). ⁷

7T. Ma, J. Bai, and C. Li, [Vacuum](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vacuum.2017.08.027) 145, 47 (2017).

⁸M. Zhu, C. Zhai, M. Sun, Y. Hu, B. Yan, and Y. Du, [Appl. Catal. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2016.10.012) 203, 108 (2017).

⁹J. Ding et al., J. Alloys Compd. 873, 159871 (2021).

10_{Y.} Xiao, G. Tian, W. Li, Y. Xie, B. Jiang, C. Tian, D. Zhao, and H. Fu, [J. Am.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b12428) Chem. Soc. 141, 2508 (2019).

¹¹P. Qiu, H. Chen, and F. Jiang, [RSC Adv.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4RA06451H) 4, 39969 (2014).

- ¹²M. Benedet *et al.*, [ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.3c09363) 15, 47368 (2023). ¹³L. Lei, H. Fan, Y. Jia, X. Wu, Q. Zhong, and W. Wang, [Appl. Surf. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2022.154938) 606, 154938 (2022).
- ¹⁴K. Li, Z. Zeng, L. Yan, S. Luo, X. Luo, M. Huo, and Y. Guo, [Appl. Catal. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2014.10.039)
- 165, 428 (2015).
¹⁵M. Benedet, A. Gasparotto, G. A. Rizzi, D. Barreca, and C. Maccato, [Surf. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1116/6.0002028)
Spectra 29, 024001 (2022).
- ¹⁶M. Benedet, G. A. Rizzi, D. Barreca, A. Gasparotto, and C. Maccato, Surf. Sci. [Spectra](https://doi.org/10.1116/6.0002028) 30, 014004 (2023).
-
- ¹⁷M. Aksoy and Ö. Metin, [ACS Appl. Nano Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsanm.0c01208) 3, 6836 (2020). ¹⁸K. S. Bhavani, T. Anusha, and P. K. Brahman, [Int. J. Hydrogen Energy](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2021.01.006) 46, 9199 (2021).
- ¹⁹M. E. A. Warwick, K. Kaunisto, G. Carraro, A. Gasparotto, C. Maccato, and
- D. Barreca, [Surf. Sci. Spectra](https://doi.org/10.1116/11.20150202) 22, 47 (2015).
²⁰H. Huang, S. Yang, R. Vajtai, X. Wang, and P. M. Ajayan, [Adv. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201401877) 26, 5160 (2014).
- ²¹M. Sadhukhan, M. K. Kundu, T. Bhowmik, and S. Barman, [Int. J. Hydrogen](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2017.03.097)
- [Energy](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2017.03.097) 42, 9371 (2017).
²²K. Ghosh, M. Kumar, H. Wang, T. Maruyama, and Y. Ando, [J. Phys. Chem. C](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp911421a)
-
- 114, 5107 (2010).
²³Z. Zeng *et al.*, [Nano Energy](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2019.104409) 69, 104409 (2020).
²⁴W. Zhang, Y. Fu, J. Wang, and X. Wang, [Adv. Mater. Interfaces](https://doi.org/10.1002/admi.201601219) 4, 1601219 (2017).
- 25_{F.} Su, C. K. Poh, J. Zeng, Z. Zhong, Z. Liu, and J. Lin, [J. Power Sources](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2012.01.050) 205,
- 136 (2012).
26 M. E. A. Warwick *et al.*, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 17, 12899 (2015).
- ²⁷K. M. Cole, D. W. Kirk, and S. J. Thorpe, [Surf. Sci. Spectra](https://doi.org/10.1116/6.0000318) 28, 014001 (2021). ²⁸K. M. Cole, D. W. Kirk, and S. J. Thorpe, Surf. Sci. Spectra 27, 024013 (2020).

 29 D. R. Baer, G. E. McGuire, K. Artyushkova, C. D. Easton, M. H. Engelhard, and A. G. Shard, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 39, 021601 (2021).

30 D. A. Shirley, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.5.4709) 5, 4709 (1972).
 31 See: [https://xpspeak.software.informer.com/4.1/.](https://xpspeak.software.informer.com/4.1/)
 32 V. Jain, M. C. Biesinger, and M. R. Linford, [Appl. Surf. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2018.03.190) 447, 548 (2018).
 33 K. Berresheim, M. Mattern-K [Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00322121) 341, 121 (1991).
³⁴See: [http://srdata.nist.gov/xps.](https://srdata.nist.gov/xps)
³⁵D. J. Morgan, [C-J. Carbon Res.](https://doi.org/10.3390/c7030051) 7, 51 (2021).
³⁶I. Bertóti, M. Mohai, and K. László, [Carbon](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2014.11.056) **84**, 185 (2015).
³⁷D. Lützenkirchen-Hecht, K. Rohrmann, T. Stö 15:26:

-
-
- [Interface Anal.](https://doi.org/10.1002/sia.2595) 39, 845 (2007).
³⁸J. F. Moulder, W. F. Stickle, P. E. Sobol, and K. D. Bomben, *Handbook of* X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (Perkin Elmer Corporation, Eden Prairie, MN, 1992).

 13 September 2024 15:26:12ದ September 202

 $\ddot{\vec{z}}$

SPECTRAL FEATURES TABLE (Continued.)

^aThe sensitivity factor is referred to the whole C 1s signal.

^bThe sensitivity factor is referred to the whole N 1s signal.

 \textdegree The sensitivity factor is referred to the whole O 1s signal.

^dThe sensitivity factor is referred to the whole Pt 4f signal.

Footnote to Spectra 01959-01 and 01960-01: Wide scan spectra presented the expected carbon and nitrogen signals along with the platinum ones, thus confirming the presence of gCN and its successful functionalization with Pt nanoparticles. The occurrence of oxygen is related to both chemisorbed species and platinum partial oxidation (see below).

Footnote to Spectra 01959-02 and 01960-02: For both specimens, five components contributed to the C 1s signal. The first one, centered at 284.2 eV, was attributed to the C sp² related to carbon paper support [\(Refs. 34](#page-4-0) and [35](#page-4-0)), while the component at 284.8 eV arose from adventitious carbon contamination (Refs. 2, [8,](#page-4-0) [19](#page-4-0), [34](#page-4-0), and [36](#page-4-0)). The signal at BE ≈ 285.4 eV was attributed to C bonded to amino groups (-NH_x, x = 1 and 2), derived from an incomplete gCN thermal condensation [\(Ref. 17\)](#page-4-0), and also to the presence of C-O-C and C-OH groups [\(Refs. 36](#page-4-0) and [37](#page-4-0)) due to air and moisture exposure. The signal located at ≈288.3 eV was due to C atoms in N-C=N moieties of the carbon nitride network (Refs. 13, [15,](#page-4-0) and [16](#page-4-0)). The last signal at 294.1 eV derived from π-electron excitations ([Refs. 6](#page-4-0) and [11\)](#page-4-0).

Footnote to Spectra 01959-03 and 01960-03: The N 1s peak was fitted with four contributing bands. The signals located at ≈398.6 and ≈399.9 eV were attributed, respectively, to bi-coordinated (C=N-C) and tri-coordinated [N-(C)₃] N atoms in the gCN network (Refs. 2, [15,](#page-4-0) and [17\)](#page-4-0). The peak at 401.0 eV was due to terminal −NH_x _{co} groups (x = 1 and 2) ([Refs. 8](#page-4-0) and [9\)](#page-4-0), whereas the signal of π electrons excitation appeared at 404.5 eV ([Refs. 7](#page-4-0) and [12](#page-4-0)).

Footnote to Spectra 01959-04 and 01960-04: For both samples, two components contributed to the O 1s photoelectron peak. The first one was centered at BE ≈ 531.6 eV and derived from surface-adsorbed hydroxyl and carbonate groups [\(Ref. 12](#page-4-0)) and also from O atoms in PtO_x (x = 1 and 2) (Refs. 9, [19](#page-4-0), and [26\)](#page-4-0). The other component at $\frac{3}{8}$ ≈533.1 eV was due to surface adsorbed water (Refs. 6, [14](#page-4-0), [34,](#page-4-0) and [38](#page-4-0)).

Footnote to Spectra 01959-05 and 01960-05: For both specimens, the Pt 4f peak was fitted with three distinct doublets, corresponding to three diverse platinum chemical $\frac{8}{4}$ environments (Refs. 17, [19,](#page-4-0) [24,](#page-4-0) and [25](#page-4-0)). The predominant contribution, with Pt 4f_{7/2} BE at ≈71.3 eV, was due to Pt(0) (Refs. 9, [18,](#page-4-0) [20](#page-4-0), and [21\)](#page-4-0). The second doublet (Pt 4f_{7/2} $\frac{1}{N}$ BE ≈ 72.6 eV) was derived from Pt(II) in PtO (Refs. 2, [3,](#page-4-0) [22](#page-4-0), and [23](#page-4-0)). The last, higher BE doublet (Pt 4f_{7/2} BE≈74.5 eV) was attributed to Pt(IV) in PtO₂ (Refs. 19, [26,](#page-4-0) and $\frac{12}{10}$ 38). In the case of accession # 01959 (see 01959-05), Pt(0) was the predominant contribution, whereas oxidized Pt was mainly present as Pt(II). In a different way, for accession # 01960 (see 01960-05), Pt(II) became the main contribution and Pt(IV) amount significantly increased. 13 September 2024 15:26:12

Overall, these results demonstrate the possibility of modulating the metal oxidation state in Pt-containing nanoparticles by a simple variation in the post-synthesis annealing atmosphere.

Comment to Analyzer Calibration Table: The peaks were acquired after $Ar⁺$ erosion.

aVoltage shift of the archived (as-measured) spectrum relative to the printed figure. The figure reflects the recommended energy scale correction due to a calibration correction, sample charging, flood gun, or other phenomenon.

1. gCN-Pt Ar, annealed in Ar.

2. gCN-Pt air, annealed in air.

© Author(s) 2024

13 September 2024 15:26:12

SURFACE SCIENCE SPECTRA

ARTICLE pubs.aip.org/avs/sss

13 September 2024 15:26:12

© Author(s) 2024

13 September 2024 15:26:12

ARTICLE pubs.aip.org/avs/sss

© Author(s) 2024

 13 September 2024 15:26:1213 September 2024 15:26:12

