

# Non-prosoluble profinite groups with a rational probabilistic zeta function

Eloisa Detomi and Andrea Lucchini

(Communicated by R. M. Guralnick)

**Abstract.** We discuss finiteness properties of a profinite group  $G$  whose probabilistic zeta function  $P_G(s)$  is rational. In particular we prove that if  $P_G(s)$  is rational and  $G$  has a finite number of non-alternating and non-abelian composition factors in a given composition series, then  $G/\text{Frat}(G)$  is finite.

## 1 Introduction

To a finitely generated profinite group  $G$  we associate a formal Dirichlet series  $P_G(s)$ , defined as

$$P_G(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_n(G)}{n^s} \quad \text{where } a_n(G) := \sum_{|G:H|=n} \mu_G(H).$$

Here  $\mu_G(H)$  denotes the Möbius function of the poset of open subgroups of  $G$ , which is defined by recursion as follows:  $\mu_G(G) = 1$  and  $\mu_G(H) = -\sum_{H < K} \mu_G(K)$  if  $H < G$ . We do not know whether the series  $P_G(s)$  converges (related questions are discussed in [1], [6], [7] and [8]), however in this paper we just use the name ‘probabilistic zeta function’ to indicate the inverse of  $P_G(s)$  in the ring of formal Dirichlet series.

In [3] we conjectured that if  $P_G(s)$  is rational (i.e. if it is a quotient  $A(s)/B(s)$  with  $A(s)$ ,  $B(s)$  Dirichlet polynomials with integer coefficients) then  $G/\text{Frat}(G)$  is a finite group, and we proved this conjecture in the particular case of prosoluble groups. Our aim is now to generalize this result to a wider class of profinite groups.

Let  $\{G_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a countable descending series of open normal subgroups with the properties that  $G_1 = G$ ,  $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i = 1$  and  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is a chief factor of  $G$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . As explained in [1], to each chief factor  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  of  $G$  we can associate a Dirichlet polynomial  $P_i(s)$  such that  $P_G(s)$  can be written as an infinite formal product

$$P_G(s) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} P_i(s).$$

In the prosolvable case the polynomials  $P_i(s)$  are very simple; indeed  $P_i(s) = 1 - c_i/q_i^s$  where  $q_i = |G_i/G_{i+1}|$ ,  $c_i$  is a non-negative integer and  $c_i = 0$  if and only if  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is a Frattini factor, i.e.  $G_i/G_{i+1} \leq \text{Frat}(G/G_{i+1})$ . In particular, if  $G$  is prosoluble, then  $P_G(s)$  has an Euler factorization over the set of prime numbers and, given that  $P_G(s)$  is rational, each Euler factor is rational and  $\pi(G)$  is finite (where  $\pi(G)$  is the set of primes involved in the factorization of the indices of the open subgroups of  $G$ ). Working on each Euler factor we were able to prove that if  $P_G(s)$  is rational, then  $P_i(s) = 1$  for all but a finite number of  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ; equivalently almost every chief factor  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is a Frattini factor, and this implies that  $G/\text{Frat}(G)$  is finite.

Unfortunately, when  $G$  is not prosoluble there is no such nice Euler factorization of  $P_G(s)$  and in addition the factors  $P_i(s)$  are not such simple polynomials. So, even the first natural question, to deduce the finiteness of  $\pi(G)$  from the rationality of  $P_G(s)$ , seems to be a hard problem for non-prosoluble groups. However we do obtain a kind of Euler factorization over the finite simple groups by collecting together, for each simple group  $S$ , all factors  $P_i(s)$  such that  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is isomorphic to a direct product of copies of  $S$ :

$$P_G(s) = \prod_{S \text{ simple}} E_S(s), \quad \text{where } E_S(s) = \prod_{G_i/G_{i+1} \cong S^{r_i}} P_i(s).$$

At this point we have several unsolved problems: we do not know whether there are finitely many Euler factors  $E_S(s)$ ; we cannot infer from the rationality of  $P_G(s)$  that each Euler factor  $E_S(s)$  is rational, indeed products of non-rational series might be rational; even if an Euler factor  $E_S(s)$  is rational, we cannot deduce from this that there are only finitely many chief factors  $G_i/G_{i+1} \cong S^{r_i}$  corresponding to it.

In this paper we analyze the case when, for all but a finite number of indices  $i$ , the factors  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  are either abelian or direct products of alternating groups. By a close investigation of subgroup indices in alternating groups, and some new reduction techniques we obtain the following result:

**Main Result** (Theorem 6.1). *Let  $G$  be a finitely generated profinite group such that almost every composition factor is cyclic or isomorphic to an alternating group. Then  $P_G(s)$  is rational only if  $G/\text{Frat}(G)$  is a finite group (and in this case  $P_G(s)$  is a Dirichlet polynomial).*

## 2 Notation and preliminary results

Let  $G$  be a finitely generated profinite group and let  $\{G_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a fixed countable descending series of open normal subgroups with the properties that  $G_1 = G$ ,  $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i = 1$  and  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is a chief factor of  $G$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist a simple group  $S_i$  and a positive integer  $r_i$  such that  $G_i/G_{i+1} \cong S_i^{r_i}$ . Moreover, as described in [1], for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , a finite Dirichlet series

$$P_i(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{b_{i,n}}{n^s} \tag{2.1}$$

is associated with the chief factor  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  and  $P_G(s)$  can be written as an infinite formal product of the finite Dirichlet series  $P_i(s)$ :

$$P_G(s) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} P_i(s).$$

We recall some properties of the series  $P_i(s)$ . If  $S_i$  is cyclic of prime order  $p_i$ , then  $P_i(s) = 1 - c_i/(p_i^{r_i})^s$ , where  $c_i$  is the number of complements of  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  in  $G/G_{i+1}$ . It is more difficult to compute the series  $P_i(s)$  when  $S_i$  is a non-abelian simple group. In this case an important role is played by the group  $L_i = G/C_G(G_i/G_{i+1})$ . This is a monolithic primitive group whose unique minimal normal subgroup is isomorphic to  $G_i/G_{i+1} \cong S_i^{r_i}$ . If  $n \neq |S_i|^{r_i}$ , then the coefficient  $b_{i,n}$  in (2.1) depends only on  $L_i$ ; more precisely we have

$$b_{i,n} = \sum_{\substack{|L_i:H|=n \\ L_i=H \text{ soc}(L_i)}} \mu_{L_i}(H).$$

It is not easy to compute the coefficient  $b_{i,n}$  even for  $n \neq |S_i|^{r_i}$ . Some help comes from knowledge of the subgroup  $X_i$  of  $\text{Aut } S_i$  induced by the conjugation action of the normalizer in  $L_i$  of a composition factor of the socle  $S_i^{r_i}$  (note that  $X_i$  is an almost simple group with socle isomorphic to  $S_i$ ). Let us describe some results that one can apply in this context.

Let  $L$  be a monolithic primitive group with  $N = \text{soc } L = T_1 \times \dots \times T_r$  and  $T_i \cong S$  a finite non-abelian simple group and let  $X$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut } S$  induced by the conjugation action of  $N_L(T_1)$  on  $T_1$ . As described in [2, Section 1],  $L$  can be viewed as a subgroup of  $X \wr \text{Sym}(r)$ , with  $N = \text{soc } L = S^r$  contained in the base  $X^r$  of this wreath product. To compute the number

$$b_n = \sum_{\substack{|L:H|=n \\ L=HN}} \mu_L(H), \quad \text{for } n \neq |S|^r,$$

we have to consider only the subgroups with non-trivial Möbius function. If  $H$  is a maximal subgroup of  $L$ , then  $\mu_L(H) = -1$ . On the other hand  $\mu_L(H) \neq 0$  only if  $H$  is an intersection of maximal subgroups of  $L$ . Now recall that if  $M$  is a maximal supplement to  $N$  in  $L$ , then there are two possibilities: either  $M \cap N$  is a subdirect product of  $S^r$  (a maximal subgroup of diagonal type) or  $M \cap N \cong U^r$  with  $U < S$  (a maximal subgroup of product type); in the second case if  $1 \neq U$ , then there exists a maximal supplement  $Y$  of  $S$  in  $X$  such that  $M$  is conjugate to  $(Y \wr \text{Sym}(r)) \cap L$ . We will say that  $n$  is a *useful index* of  $L$  if  $b_n \neq 0$  and there exists a prime  $p$  which divides  $|S|$  but does not divide  $n$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $n$  is a useful index of  $L$ , then there exists a subgroup  $Y$  of  $X$  such that  $X = YS$  and  $n = |X : Y|^r$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $b_n \neq 0$ , there exists  $H \leq L$  with  $HN = L$ ,  $|L : H| = n$  and  $\mu_L(H) \neq 0$ . In particular  $H$  must be an intersection of maximal subgroups of  $L$  and all of these maximal subgroups must be of product type, since otherwise  $|S|$  would divide  $|L : M|$  (and consequently  $n$ ) for some maximal subgroup  $M$  containing  $H$ . At this point it is easy to check (see for example the proof of [2, Lemma 5]) that  $H \cap N \cong (Y \cap S)^r$  for a suitable supplement  $Y$  of  $S$  in  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $u$  be a positive integer such that there exists a prime  $p$  which divides  $|S|$  but does not divide  $u$ , and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the set of subgroups  $Y$  with index  $u$  in  $X$  and with the property that  $YS = X$ . If  $\mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$  and every subgroup of  $\mathcal{U}$  is maximal, then  $u^r$  is a useful index of  $L$  and  $b_{u^r} < 0$ .*

*Proof.* By the same arguments of the previous lemma, all subgroups  $M$  of  $L$  with  $MN = L$  and  $|L : M| = u^r$  are maximal; moreover, by [2, Lemma 2], the set  $\mathcal{M}$  of maximal subgroups of  $L$  with these properties is non-empty. Hence  $b_{u^r} = -|\mathcal{M}| < 0$ .

Given a prime  $q$ , we denote by  $v_q(n)$  the largest integer  $r$  such that  $q^r$  divides  $n$ . If  $q$  divides  $|S|$ , we will say that a useful index  $n$  of  $L$  is *q-useful* if  $n$  is divisible by  $q$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Assume that  $L$  is monolithic primitive group with  $\text{soc } L = (\text{Alt}(m))^r$  and that  $m$  is not a prime, and let  $q$  be the largest prime with  $q \leq m$ . Define  $w$  as follows:*

$$w = \begin{cases} \binom{m}{q-1} & \text{if } m \notin \{6, 10\}, \\ 126 & \text{if } m = 10, \\ 10 & \text{if } m = 6. \end{cases}$$

*Then  $b_{w^r} < 0$  and  $w^r$  is the smallest  $q$ -useful index in  $L$ .*

*Proof.* First note there there exists a prime  $p$  which divides  $|\text{Alt}(m)|$  but does not divide  $w$ . Indeed we take  $p = 3$  if  $m = 6$ ,  $p = 5$  if  $m = 10$ , while in the other cases there exists a prime  $p$  with  $m/2 < p < q < m$  (e.g. by Nagura’s result [9]). Note that either  $m = 6$  or  $X \in \{\text{Alt}(m), \text{Sym}(m)\}$ . In any case, by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, it suffices to prove that

- (1)  $X$  contains a supplement  $Y$  of  $S$  with  $|X : Y| = w$ , and
- (2) if  $U$  is a supplement of  $S$  in  $X$  with index  $|X : U|$  at most  $w$  and a multiple of  $q$ , then  $|X : U| = w$  and  $U$  is a maximal subgroup.

These statements can easily be verified when  $m \in \{6, 10\}$ , so assume that  $m \neq 6, 10$ . First note that given a subset  $\Delta \subset \Omega = \{1, \dots, m\}$  of size  $|\Delta| = q - 1$ , the subgroup  $Y = (\text{Sym}(\Delta) \times \text{Sym}(\Omega \setminus \Delta)) \cap X$  is a maximal subgroup with index  $w$  in  $X$ . Now let

$U$  be a supplement of  $S$  in  $X$  with index  $x = |X : U|$ , where  $q$  divides  $x$  and  $x \leq w$ . Since

$$x \leq w < \binom{m}{m - q + 2}$$

and  $m - q + 2 \leq m/2$ , then by [4, Theorem 5.2 A, B] one of the following holds.

(a) There exists  $\Delta \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that

$$|\Delta| = k < m - q + 2 \quad \text{and} \quad X_{(\Delta)} \leq U \leq X_{\{\Delta\}}.$$

Considering the indices we get that  $x$ , and hence  $q$ , divides  $m!/(m - k)!$ . Since  $m/2 < q < m$ , we have  $v_q(m!) = 1$ , so that  $v_q((m - k)!) = 0$  and thus  $m - k < q$ . As  $k < m - q + 2$  we conclude that  $k = m - q + 1$ ; thus  $x = w$  and  $U = X_{\{\Delta\}}$  is maximal.

(b)  $m$  is even and  $U$  has index  $x = \frac{1}{2} \binom{m}{m/2}$ . When  $m \geq 30$ , Nagura's result [9] gives that  $q > 5/6m$  and this implies that

$$x > \binom{m}{\lfloor 5/6m \rfloor - 1} \geq \binom{m}{q - 1} = w.$$

It can be checked by direct computation that

$$x > \binom{m}{q - 1} = w$$

even for  $m < 30$ ,  $m \notin \{6, 10\}$ . This contradicts  $x \leq w$ .

(c)  $m = 9$  or  $8$  and  $X$  has index 120, 15 or 30. Since none of these indices is divisible by  $q = 7$ , this case never occurs.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Assume that  $L$  is a monolithic primitive group with  $\text{soc } L = (\text{Alt}(m))^r$  and  $m > 10$ . Let  $p, q$  be primes with  $p < q < m$  and let  $\alpha$  be the minimal useful index with the properties that  $v_p(\alpha) = 0$  and  $v_q(\alpha) = r$ . If  $\alpha < \binom{m}{q-1}^r$ , then  $b_\alpha < 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1 there exists a supplement  $Y$  to  $S$  in  $X$  with index  $w$  such that  $\alpha = w^r$ ; clearly  $v_p(w) = 0$ ,  $v_q(w) = 1$  and  $w < \binom{m}{q-1}$ . Let  $z$  be the minimal index of a supplement to  $S$  in  $X$  with the properties that  $v_p(z) = 0$  and  $v_q(z) = 1$ ; then  $z \leq w < \binom{m}{q-1}$ . We claim that every supplement  $Y$  of  $S$  with index  $z$  in  $X$  is a maximal subgroup. Since  $z < \binom{m}{q-1}$  and  $m > 10$ , we can apply [4, Theorem 5.2 A, B] which says that  $Y$  is either a maximal imprimitive subgroup, and we are finished, or  $Y$  lies between a maximal subgroup  $M$  with index  $\binom{m}{k}$  and a subgroup of index  $m!/(m - k)!$  where  $k < \min\{q - 1, m - q + 1\}$ . Note that, as  $q$  divides  $z$ , then  $v_q(m!/(m - k)!) \geq 1$ . If  $q - 1 > m/2$ , then  $m < 2q$  and  $v_q(m!) = 1$ ; since  $k < m - q + 1$ , we get that  $m - k + 1 > q$  and hence  $q$  does not divide  $m!/(m - k)!$ , a contradiction; so this case never occurs. Therefore  $q - 1 \leq m/2$ . Then  $k < q - 1 < q$  and  $q$  does not divide  $k!$ , and hence

$$v_q\left(\binom{m}{k}\right) = v_q(m!/(m - k)!) = 1.$$

Moreover, as  $\binom{m}{k}$  divides  $z$ , we have  $v_p\left(\binom{m}{k}\right) = 0$ . By minimality of  $z$ , we have  $z = \binom{m}{k}$  and  $Y = M$  is a maximal subgroup, as claimed.

By Lemma 2.2 it follows that  $z^r$  is a useful index of  $L$  and  $b_{z^r} < 0$ ; by minimality of  $\alpha$  we conclude that  $\alpha = z^r$  and  $b_\alpha < 0$ .

### 3 The number of non-isomorphic composition factors

Assume that  $G$  is a finitely generated profinite group, choose a descending series  $\{G_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  as described in Section 2 and assume that almost every composition factor is cyclic or isomorphic to an alternating group. Let  $\pi(G)$  be the set of prime divisors of indices of open subgroups of  $G$ . The aim of this section is to prove that if the formal series  $P_G(s) = \sum_n a_n/n^s$  is rational, then  $\pi(G)$  is finite. We start by noting that if  $P_G(s)$  is rational then the set  $\pi$  of primes  $p$  such that there exists  $n$  divisible by  $p$  with  $a_n \neq 0$  is finite. Moreover we have:

**Lemma 3.1.** *Assume that  $P_G(s)$  is rational and let  $p$  be a prime with  $p \notin \pi$ ; then, for any  $i \in I$ , the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *If  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is a non-Frattini abelian chief factor, then  $|G_i/G_{i+1}|$  is not a  $p$ -power.*
- (2) *If  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is non-abelian, then the almost simple group  $X_i$  has no maximal subgroups of  $p$ -power index which are supplements for  $S_i = \text{soc } X_i$  in  $X_i$ .*

*Proof.* We first note that  $G$  has no subgroup with index a power of a prime  $p$ . Indeed, if we consider the minimal  $p$ -power index, say  $p^t$ , of a subgroup of  $G$ , then every subgroup  $H$  with index  $p^t$  is definitely a maximal subgroup, so that  $\mu_G(H) = -1$  and therefore the coefficient  $a_{p^t} = \sum_{|G:H|=p^t} \mu_G(H)$  is non-zero, against the definition of  $\pi$ . If  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is a non-Frattini chief factor of  $p$ -power order, then there is a complement to  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  in  $G/G_{i+1}$ , while if  $X_i$  is almost simple and contains a subgroup  $Y$  with  $|X_i : Y| = p^t$  and  $X_i = YS_i$ , then if  $t$  is minimal, by [2, Lemma 2],  $L_i$ , and consequently  $G$ , has a maximal subgroup of index  $p^{tr_i}$ .

In the case of prosolvable groups, Lemma 3.1 leads immediately to the conclusion that  $\pi(G)$  is finite if  $P_G(s)$  is rational. The same is true also under our weaker hypothesis, but the argument is more complicated. We will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a finite set of simple groups. Assume that, for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $P_i(s) \neq 1$ , then  $S_i$  is isomorphic to an element of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\pi(G)$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Let  $p \in \pi(G)$ . Then  $p$  divides  $|G_i/G_{i+1}|$  for an index  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ; let  $i$  be the minimal index with this property. If  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is abelian, then  $|G_i/G_{i+1}| = p^{r_i}$  and, by the Schur–Zassenhaus Theorem,  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is a complemented chief factor. Since  $P_i(s) = 1$

if and only if  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is a Frattini chief factor, it follows that  $p$  is a prime divisor of  $|S|$  for some  $S \in \mathcal{F}$ . Therefore  $\pi(G)$  is finite.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a finitely generated profinite group such that almost every composition factor is cyclic or isomorphic to an alternating group. If  $P_G(s)$  is rational then  $\pi(G)$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Using the notation introduced in Section 2, we have

$$P_G(s) = \sum_n a_n/n^s = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} P_i(s),$$

where  $P_i(s)$  is the finite Dirichlet series associated to the chief factor  $G_i/G_{i+1}$ . Let  $I$  be the set of indices such that either  $S_i$  is cyclic of order  $n_i$  or  $S_i \cong \text{Alt}(n_i)$  is an alternating group and such that  $P_i(s) \neq 1$ . Since all but a finite number of composition factors are abelian or alternating groups, if we restrict the product to the subset  $I$ , we still get that  $Q(s) = \sum_n c_n/n^s = \prod_{i \in I} P_i(s)$  is rational. In particular, we can choose a prime number  $u > 10$  such that  $a_n = c_n = 0$  whenever  $n$  is divisible by a prime  $q \geq u$ . Our goal is to prove that  $n_i < u$  for every  $i \in I$ ; from this and Lemma 3.2 it follows that  $\pi(G)$  is finite. Assume for a contradiction that the set

$$I_u = \{i \in I \mid n_i \geq u\}$$

is non-empty. By Lemma 3.1, for each  $i \in I_u$ , the number  $n_i$  is not a prime and  $S_i$  is of alternating type; that is,  $S_i \cong \text{Alt}(n_i)$ . Now we define

$$r = \min\{r_i \mid n_i \geq u\} = \min\{r_i \mid i \in I_u\},$$

$$m = \min\{n_i \mid r_i = r, i \in I_u\};$$

as  $u > 10$ , we can choose two primes  $p$  and  $q$  such that  $m/2 < p < q \leq m$  and  $q$  is the largest prime not greater than  $m$ ; note that  $m$  is not prime, so that  $q \neq m$ , and that  $u \leq q$ . Now consider the set  $\Lambda$  of all integers  $n$  divisible by  $q$  but not by  $p$ . If  $n \in \Lambda$  and  $b_{i,n} \neq 0$ , then  $n_i \geq q > p$ , so  $i \in I_u$  and  $n$  is a useful index for  $L_i$ ; hence, by Lemma 2.1,  $n$  is an  $r_i$ th power and  $v_q(n) \geq r$ . Moreover if  $i$  is an index of  $I_u$  such that  $m = n_i$  and  $r = r_i$ , then, by Lemma 2.3,  $v = \binom{m}{q-1} \in \Lambda$  and  $b_{i,v^r} < 0$ . Choose  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  minimal with the properties that there exist  $i \in I_u$  such that  $r_i = r$ ,  $v_q(\alpha) = r$  and  $\alpha$  is a useful index for  $L_i$ ; then  $\alpha \leq v^r$ . Note that if  $n \in \Lambda$  is a useful index for  $L_i$ , then either  $v_q(n) > r$  or  $r_i = r$ ,  $v_q(n) = r$  and  $n \geq \alpha$ . This implies in particular that the coefficient  $c_\alpha$  of  $1/\alpha^s$  in  $Q(s) = \prod_{i \in I} P_i(s)$  is

$$c_\alpha = \sum_{i \in I_u, r_i=r} b_{i,\alpha}.$$

If  $r = r_i$  and  $m = n_i$ , then  $v^r$  is the minimal  $q$ -useful index for  $L_i$  and thus  $b_{i,\alpha} \leq 0$ . Now let  $i \in I_u$  with  $r_i = r$ ,  $n_i \neq m$  and assume that  $b_{i,\alpha} \neq 0$ . Since  $n_i > m$  we get

$$\alpha \leq \binom{m}{q-1}^r < \binom{n_i}{q-1}^r,$$

and we conclude by Lemma 2.4 that  $b_{i,\alpha} < 0$ . Since  $b_{i,\alpha} \neq 0$  for at least one index  $i$ , this gives that  $c_\alpha = \sum_i b_{i,\alpha} \neq 0$ . But  $q$  divides  $\alpha$  and  $q \geq u$ , and this contradicts the choice of  $u$ .

#### 4 Infinite products of formal Dirichlet series

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the ring of formal Dirichlet series with integer coefficients. For every prime number  $p$  we consider the ring endomorphism of  $\mathcal{R}$  defined by

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \mapsto F^p(s) = \sum_{(n,p)=1} \frac{a_n}{n^s}.$$

The following observation is crucial for the proof that if  $G$  is prosoluble and  $P_G(s)$  is rational, then  $G/\text{Frat}(G)$  is finite:

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and  $\{F_i(s)\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of finite Dirichlet series. If  $\prod_{i \in I} F_i(s)$  is rational, then  $\prod_{i \in I} F_i^p(s)$  is rational.*

Note that if the chief factor  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is abelian, then the Dirichlet series  $P_i(s)$  is very simple:  $P_i(s) \neq 1$  if and only if  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is non-Frattini; in particular if  $|G_i/G_{i+1}| = p_i^{r_i}$ , then

$$P_i(s) = 1 - \frac{c_i}{(p_i^{r_i})^s}$$

where  $c_i$  is the number of complements of  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  in  $G/G_{i+1}$ . When  $G$  is prosoluble, we consider the set  $I_p$  of the indices  $i \in I$  such that  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is non-Frattini and has order a  $p$ -power:  $P_G(s)$  is the product of the Euler factors

$$E_p(s) = \prod_{i \in I_p} \left( 1 - \frac{c_i}{(p^{r_i})^s} \right)$$

where  $p$  runs through the set of primes. If  $P_G(s)$  is rational, then, by Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 4.1, every Euler factor  $E_p(s)$  is rational and  $E_p(s) = 1$  for all but a finite number of primes  $p$ . So, in the solvable case, it was sufficient to work on the Euler factors, proving that if  $E_p(s) = \prod_{i \in I_p} P_i(s)$  is rational, then the set  $I_p$  is finite. We succeeded in proving this, thanks to the following consequence of the Skolem–Mahler–Lech Theorem:

**Proposition 4.2** ([3, Proposition 3.2]). *Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and let  $q, r_i, c_i$ , be positive integers for each  $i \in I$ . Assume that the product*



$$F(s) = \prod_{i \in I} \left( 1 - \frac{c_i}{(q^{r_i})^s} \right)$$

is rational and that there exists a prime  $t$  such that  $t$  does not divide  $r_i$  for any  $i \in I$ . Then  $I$  is finite.

The earlier approach fails in the general case, since the finite series  $P_i(s)$  are more complicated and may involve many non-trivial terms. However we will be able to prove that if the product  $P_G(s) = \prod_{i \in I} P_i(s)$  is rational, then it is possible to construct nice subseries  $P_i^*(s)$  of  $P_i(s)$  (all of the kind  $1 + \gamma_i/w^{r_i s}$  for a fixed  $w$ ), such that the product  $\prod_{i \in I} P_i^*(s)$  is still rational and satisfies the assumption of Proposition 4.2. The technical result we will employ in order to do this is the following:

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $F(s)$  be a product of finite Dirichlet series:*

$$F(s) = \prod_{i \in I} F_i(s), \quad \text{where } F_i(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{b_{i,n}}{n^s}.$$

Let  $q$  be a prime and  $\Lambda$  the set of positive integers divisible by  $q$ . Assume that there exists a set  $\{r_i\}_{i \in I}$  of positive integers such that if  $n \in \Lambda$  and  $b_{i,n} \neq 0$  then  $n$  is an  $r_i$ -th power of some integer and  $v_q(n) = r_i$ . Define

$$w = \min\{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid v_q(x) = 1 \text{ and } b_{i,x^{r_i}} \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \in I\}.$$

If  $F(s)$  is rational, then the product

$$F^*(s) = \prod_{i \in I} \left( 1 + \frac{b_{i,w^{r_i}}}{(w^{r_i})^s} \right)$$

is rational.

*Proof.* Observe that in the product

$$F(s) = \prod_{i \in I} F_i(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{c_n}{n^s}$$

each integer  $n$  such that  $c_n \neq 0$  satisfies  $n \geq w^{v_q(n)}$ . Moreover for  $n = w^{v_q(n)}$  the coefficient  $c_n$  of  $F(s)$  is in fact the coefficient of  $1/n^s$  in the product  $F^*(s)$ :

$$F^*(s) = \prod_{i \in I} \left( 1 + \frac{b_{i,w^{r_i}}}{(w^{r_i})^s} \right) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{c_{w^t}}{(w^t)^s};$$

this means that we are able to recognize the powers of  $1/w^s$ , and hence  $F^*(s)$ , as a subseries of  $F(s)$ .

Let  $F(s)$  be rational and let  $A(s)$  be a finite Dirichlet series such that  $A(s)F(s)$  is a finite Dirichlet series. Let

$$A(s) = \sum \frac{a_n}{n^s};$$

we set

$$\zeta = \min\{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid x = n/w^{v_q(n)} \text{ and } a_n \neq 0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n/w^{v_q(n)} = \zeta \text{ and } a_n \neq 0\} = \{\zeta w^m \in \mathbb{N} \mid a_{\zeta w^m} \neq 0, m \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Finally we define the new series

$$A^*(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{a_n}{n^s} = \sum_m \frac{a_{\zeta w^m}}{(\zeta w^m)^s}.$$

We now prove that  $A^*(s)F^*(s)$  is a finite Dirichlet series, from which it follows that  $F^*(s)$  is rational.

Note that

$$A^*(s)F^*(s) = \sum_{\substack{\zeta w^m \in \mathcal{N} \\ t \in \mathbb{N}}} \frac{a_{\zeta w^m} c_{w^t}}{(\zeta w^{(m+t)})^s}.$$

We examine the coefficient of  $1/(\zeta w^{(m+t)})^s$  in  $A(s)F(s)$ : this is the sum

$$\sum_{ln = \zeta w^{(m+t)}} a_l c_n \quad \text{where } l \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq w^{v_q(n)}.$$

Take  $l$  and  $n$  such that  $a_l c_n \neq 0$  and  $ln = \zeta w^{(m+t)}$ . If  $n > w^{v_q(n)}$  then

$$\zeta w^{(m+t)} = ln > lw^{v_q(n)}$$

and, since  $v_q(n) + v_q(l) = m + t$ , this gives  $l/w^{v_q(l)} < \zeta$ , a contradiction. Hence  $n = w^{v_q(n)}$  and  $c_n/n^s$  is a term of  $F^*(s)$ . Moreover

$$\zeta w^{(m+t)} = nl = w^{v_q(n)} l$$

implies  $l/w^{v_q(l)} = \zeta$  and hence  $l \in \mathcal{N}$ . This means that the coefficient of  $1/(\zeta w^{(m+t)})^s$  in  $A(s)F(s)$  is the coefficient of  $1/(\zeta w^{(m+t)})^s$  in  $A^*(s)F^*(s)$ , and since  $A(s)F(s)$  is a finite series, we conclude that  $A^*(s)F^*(s)$  is finite, too.

### 5 Chief factors

In this section we will prove that given a finitely generated profinite group  $G$  with  $\pi(G)$  finite, there exists a prime  $t$  such that for every primitive monolithic image  $L$  of  $G$ ,  $t$  does not divide the composition length  $r$  of  $\text{soc } L = S^r$ , where  $S$  is a simple group.

Let  $\pi$  be a finite set of primes and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of finite simple groups  $S$  such that  $\pi(S) \subseteq \pi$ ; by the classification of finite simple groups  $\mathcal{S}$  is finite. Now let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the set of quasisimple groups  $X$  such that  $X/Z(X) \in \mathcal{S}$ ; since the universal cover of a finite non-abelian simple group is finite, it follows that the set  $\mathcal{Q}$  is finite. Then, for every prime  $p$ , define  $\alpha_p$  to be the largest prime divisor of the degree of an absolutely irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_p X$ -module, for  $X \in \mathcal{Q}$ . Finally we set

$$\eta = \max(\{\alpha_p\}_{p \in \pi} \cup \pi).$$

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $H$  be a finite  $\pi$ -group. Let  $n$  be the degree of an irreducible linear representation over a finite field  $F$  of the group  $H$ . If  $q$  is a prime divisor of  $n$ , then  $q \leq \eta$ .*

*Proof.* By a result of Brauer there exists a field extension  $L$  of  $F$  such that  $L$  is a splitting field for  $H$  and all of its subgroups, and the degree  $|L : F|$  divides  $\varphi(\exp(H))$ . Let  $V$  be an irreducible  $FH$ -module of dimension  $n$  and let  $W$  be an irreducible constituent of  $V_L = V \otimes_F L$ . Then

$$\dim_F(V) = n = r \cdot \dim_L(W),$$

where  $r$  divides  $|L : F|$ , and hence divides  $\varphi(\exp(H))$ ; if  $\pi(H) = \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$  and  $\exp(H) = p_1^{m_1} \dots p_r^{m_r}$ , then

$$\varphi(\exp(H)) = \prod_{m_i \neq 0} (p_i - 1)p_i^{m_i - 1}.$$

It follows that each prime divisor of  $r$ , dividing  $\varphi(\exp(H))$ , is bounded by  $\max\{\pi(H)\} \subseteq \max\{\pi\}$ ; this implies that we may assume that  $F = L$  or, equivalently, that without loss of generality  $F$  is algebraically closed.

We shall prove the lemma by induction on  $|H|$ . If  $V$  is an imprimitive  $FH$ -module, say  $V = W^t$ , then  $H$  has a transitive representation with degree  $t$ , for a  $\pi$ -number  $t$ , and  $W$  is an  $FK$ -irreducible module where  $K = N_H(W)$ ; since  $n = t \cdot \dim W$ , a prime divisor  $q$  of  $n$  divides either  $t$ , whence  $q \in \pi$ , or  $q \leq \eta$ , by the inductive assumption.

So we can assume that  $H$  is an absolutely irreducible primitive group. Then there are primitive groups  $H_i \leq \text{GL}(n_i, F)$  where  $n = n_1 \dots n_r$  such that  $Z_i = Z(H_i) \cong F^*$ , each  $H_i/Z_i$  is a homomorphic image of  $H$  (hence a  $\pi$ -group) and every normal subgroup of  $H_i$  is scalar or irreducible (see e.g. [10, §II 2.3]). Thus we are reduced to proving that each prime divisor  $q$  of  $n_i$  is bounded by  $\eta$ .

If  $H_i$  contains a non-scalar soluble normal subgroup, then we choose  $A$  to be minimal with this property: we conclude that  $A$  is an  $r$ -group for a prime  $r$  and  $n_i$  is a power of  $r$ , so that  $r \in \pi$  and  $n_i$  is a  $\pi$ -number.

Otherwise, if all soluble normal subgroups of  $G$  are scalar, we choose a minimal normal subgroup  $N/Z_i$  of  $H_i/Z_i$ ; then  $N'$  is an irreducible normal subgroup with degree  $n_i$  and is the central product of  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  for some  $Q_i \in \mathcal{Q}$ ; thus  $n_i = k_1 \dots k_s$  where  $k_i$  is the degree of an absolutely irreducible representation of  $Q_i$ , and therefore each prime divisor of  $k_i$  is bounded by  $\alpha_p$ .

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let  $G$  be a finitely generated profinite group. If  $\pi(G)$  is finite, then there exists a prime  $t$  such that, for every  $i \in I$ , the composition length  $r_i$  of the chief factor  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is not divisible by  $t$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u$  be a prime divisor of  $r_i$  where  $G_i/G_{i+1} \cong S_i^{r_i}$ . If  $S_i$  is abelian, then Proposition 5.1 gives  $u \leq \eta$ ; otherwise  $\text{soc } L_i = S_i^{r_i}$  where  $S$  is a finite non-abelian group and  $r_i$  is the degree of a transitive representation of a finite image of  $G$ , so that  $u \in \pi(G)$ .

### 6 The main theorem

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finitely generated profinite group such that almost every composition factor is cyclic or isomorphic to an alternating group. Then  $P_G(s)$  is rational only if  $G$  has finitely many non-Frattini chief factors, i.e. only if  $G/\text{Frat}(G)$  is a finite group.*

*Proof.* Let us use the notation introduced in Section 2 and let  $I^*$  be the set of indices such that  $P_i(s) \neq 1$  and  $S_i$  is either cyclic of order  $n_i$  or isomorphic to  $\text{Alt}(n_i)$ . If  $P_G(s)$  is rational, then clearly also  $\prod_{i \in I^*} P_i(s)$  is rational. Moreover, if for a given integer  $n$  the set  $\{i \in I^* \mid n_i = n\}$  is finite, then the product

$$\prod_{\substack{i \in I^* \\ n_i \neq n}} P_i(s)$$

is again rational. Define  $J$  to be the subset of  $I^*$  of the indices  $i$  with the property that  $n_i = n_j$  for infinitely many  $j \in I^*$ ; since by Proposition 3.3 there is a bound on the set  $\{n_i\}_{i \in I^*}$ , the set  $J$  differs from  $I^*$  for a finite number of indices and thus the product

$$\prod_{i \in J} P_i(s)$$

is still rational. Our claim is that  $J$  is empty; this will imply that there are only finitely many non-Frattini chief factors and consequently that  $G/\text{Frat}(G)$  is a finite group (see e.g. [3, Theorem 4.3]). Assume by contradiction that  $J$  is non-empty and set

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_0 &= \max\{n_i \mid i \in J\}, \\
 q &= \text{largest prime} \leq m_0, \\
 m &= \min\{n_i \mid n_i \geq q, i \in J\}, \\
 p &= \text{largest prime} < q.
 \end{aligned}$$

We will work on the product  $\prod_{i \in J} P_i^p(s)$  of the finite Dirichlet series

$$P_i^p(s) = \sum_{p \nmid n} \frac{b_{i,n}}{n^s}.$$

Since  $\prod_i P_i(s)$  is rational, then also  $\prod_i P_i^p(s)$  is rational (see Corollary 4.1). Let  $\Lambda$  be the set of positive integers  $n$  divisible by  $q$  but not by  $p$ . Note that for any choices of  $i$ , if  $n \in \Lambda$  and  $b_{i,n} \neq 0$ , then  $n_i \geq q > p$  and, by Lemma 2.1, there exists a subgroup  $Y_i$  of index  $x_i$  in  $X_i$  such that  $n = x_i^{r_i}$ ; hence  $v_q(n) = r_i v_q(x_i)$ . On the other hand,  $q > m_0/2$  implies that  $n_i < 2q$ , which means that  $v_q(x_i) \leq 1$  and thus  $v_q(n) = r_i$ . Let

$$w = \min\{x \in \Lambda \mid b_{i,x^{r_i}} \neq 0 \text{ and } v_q(x) = 1\}.$$

By Proposition 4.3 applied to  $\prod_i P_i^p(s)$ , it follows that the product

$$\prod_{i \in J} \left(1 + \frac{b_{i,w^{r_i}}}{w^{r_i s}}\right)$$

is rational. By Proposition 3.3 we have that  $\pi(G)$  is finite, and hence, by Corollary 5.2, there exists a prime  $t$  such that  $t$  does not divide  $r_i$  for every  $i \in J$ . Now it is sufficient to prove that  $b_{i,w^{r_i}} \leq 0$  for every  $i \in J$  and  $b_{i,w^{r_i}} < 0$  for infinitely many  $i \in J$  to reach a contradiction and prove the theorem; indeed by the Skolem–Mahler–Lech Theorem (Proposition 4.2) it follows that if  $b_{i,w^{r_i}} \leq 0$  for every  $i \in J$ , then  $b_{i,w^{r_i}} = 0$  for all but a finite number of indices  $i \in J$ .

We have two possibilities:

*Case 1:*  $m = q$ . If  $b_{i,q^{r_i}} \neq 0$  then  $X_i$  has a subgroup of index  $q$  and therefore  $i$  is one of the infinitely many indices of  $J$  such that  $n_i = m = q$ . If  $S_i$  is abelian then  $b_{i,q^{r_i}} < 0$ ; otherwise  $S_i = \text{Alt}(q)$  and every supplement with index  $q$  is maximal, so that  $b_{i,q^{r_i}} < 0$  by Lemma 2.2. It follows that  $w = q$  and  $b_{i,w^{r_i}} < 0$  for infinitely many  $i \in J$ .

*Case 2:*  $m > q$ . In this case, both  $m_0$  and  $m$  are non-prime, since otherwise we would have  $m_0 = q = m$  or  $m = q$ . Moreover, if  $n \in \Lambda$  and  $b_{i,n} \neq 0$ , then  $n_i \geq q$  hence  $n_i \geq m > q$ ; in particular  $S_i$  is non-abelian, for otherwise  $n = q^{r_i}$  and  $n_i = q$ . We claim that

$$w = \begin{cases} \binom{m}{q-1} & \text{if } m \notin \{6, 10\}, \\ 126 & \text{if } m = 10, \\ 10 & \text{if } m = 6, \end{cases}$$

and  $b_{i,w^{r_i}} \leq 0$  for every  $i \in J$ . Whenever  $n_i = m$  (and this holds for infinitely many  $i \in J$ ), by Lemma 2.3 the number  $w^r$  is the smallest  $q$ -useful index in  $L_i$  and  $b_{i,w^{r_i}} < 0$ . For  $n_i < m$  there are no  $q$ -useful indices, so let  $n_i > m$ . As  $q$  is still the largest prime less than or equal to  $n_i$ , and  $n_i$  is not a prime, we can apply Lemma 2.3. If  $n_i \notin \{6, 10\}$ , then the minimal  $q$ -useful index in  $L_i$  prime to  $p$  is

$$\binom{n_i}{q-1}^{r_i} > \binom{m}{q-1}^{r_i} = w^{r_i}$$

since  $n_i > m$ . We cannot have  $n_i = 6$  since then  $n_i = m$ , and so the last cases are  $n_i = 10$  and  $m = 8$  or  $m = 9$ ; the minimal  $q$ -useful index in  $L_i$  is then  $126^{r_i}$  which is larger than  $\binom{m}{q-1}^{r_i}$  for both  $m = 8, 9$  (with  $q = 7$ ). This proves that  $b_{i,w^{r_i}} \leq 0$ , and so our discussion is complete.

### References

- [1] E. Detomi and A. Lucchini. Crowns in profinite groups and applications. In *Non-commutative algebra and geometry*, Lecture Notes in Pure Appl. Math. 243 (Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2006), pp. 47–62.
- [2] E. Detomi and A. Lucchini. Profinite groups with multiplicative probabilistic zeta function. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **70** (2004), 165–181.
- [3] E. Detomi and A. Lucchini. Profinite groups with a rational probabilistic zeta function. *J. Group Theory* **9** (2006), 203–217.
- [4] J. Dixon and B. Mortimer. *Permutation groups*. Graduate Texts in Math. 163 (Springer-Verlag, 1996).
- [5] P. Hall. The Eulerian functions of a group. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **7** (1936), 134–151.
- [6] A. Lubotzky and D. Segal. *Subgroup growth*. Progress in Math. 212 (Birkhäuser Verlag, 2003).
- [7] A. Mann. A probabilistic zeta function for arithmetic groups. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput* **15** (2005), 1053–1059.
- [8] A. Mann. Positively finitely generated groups. *Forum Math.* **8** (1996), 429–459.
- [9] J. Nagura. On the interval containing at least one prime number. *Proc. Japan Acad.* **28** (1952), 177–181.
- [10] A. E. Zalesskij. Linear groups. In *Algebra, IV*, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci. 37 (Springer-Verlag, 1993), pp. 97–196.

Received 28 April, 2006; revised 14 September, 2006

Eloisa Detomi, Dipartimento di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, Università di Padova, via Trieste, 63, 35121 Padova, Italy  
E-mail: detomi@math.unipd.it

Andrea Lucchini, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Brescia, Via Valotti, 9, 25133 Brescia, Italy  
E-mail: lucchini@ing.unibs.it